Permission for Site Visit given by:	N/A Notified town prior to visit				
Owner, applicant, other)  Date permission given: Permission received by:					
Sho	utesbury Conservation Commission Site Visit Summary				
Observation Date: 10/01/23	Time: 11:00				
Project Address/Location: Locks	Pond Road Culvert				
Applicant(s): Town of Shutesbu	ry				
<b>DEP/Permit File#:</b> 286-0279					
Type of Project: Culvert replacem	nent				
Attended:					
Commissioners: M. David,	M. DeFant				
Applicant(s)/Owner/Repres					
	ndowner's permission): John Kinder (Ass't Dam Keeper0				
Purpose of Visit: (check all apply)					
☐ Building Permit Application ☐ To assess whether RDA or NOI is a ☐ As part of RDA meeting ☐ As part of NOI hearing ☐ Verify staked boundaries ☐ Pre-construction site visit	✓ Verify erosion controls  required ☐ Emergency Certificate  ✓ Monitor Order of Conditions  ☐ Request to amend Order of Conditions  ☐ Request for Certificate of Compliance  ☐ Work done without permit				
Other (describe):					
Type of Resource Areas/Buffer Zones	: (list)				
coffer dams; one pump failed due to electhe bypass system and in work zone; dar Kinder, the Town did not adjust the gate misunderstanding regarding their ability to	sulted in overtopping and failure of the upper and lower strical problems on 9/29/23; water observed evading m sluiceway gate appeared to be releasing little water; per John settings in advance of the storm due to an apparent so seek an emergency certification; clarified this for Mr. Keeper. Exposed soils are highly saturated and some				
Recommendations:					
Bypass system was observed to be rece zone and potential for further erosion/sec with Becky Torres (TA) the need for enha the work area is desaturated. High levels	ved; erosion controls in several areas were missing or damaged. iving water; significant amount of standing water in the work diment release downstream are concerns. Commissioners discussed ancing the coffer dams. Becky reports this is not possibile untl of ground saturation are a concern.Coffer dam leaks also concern work site.Rec meeting with contractor and engineer.				
Prepared by: Miriam DeFant, Cor	nmissioner				
Name	Title				

Note: Consider relevant aspects or features such as natural or piped drainage or obvious pollution, topography, alternative locations, existing vegetation, signs of wildlife, vernal pools, rare species habitat, proximity to water bodies or drainage areas, landmarks, recent or historical disturbances, adjacent land use. Very information presented, identify problems and potential solutions.

Site visit Preamble: Pursuant to the MA Open Meeting Law, the Commission performs site visits only for the purposes of observing conditions, collecting information, and providing guidance to applicants. Decisions, approvals, and denials can be made only by the Commission at a properly advertised public meeting or hearing. Site visit discussions with Commissioners should be kept to a minimum and should in no way be construed to result in final decisions of any kind.

## 9/30/23 Pictures-taken by Mary David





















