## Shutesbury Finance Committee Tuesday, March 8, 2022, Virtual (Zoom) Meeting

Members Present: George Arvanitis, Ajay Khashu, Susie Mosher, Melody Chartier, Jim Hemingway, Bob Groves Members Absent: Jim Walton Select Board Members Present: Rita Farrell, Melissa Makepeace-O'Neill, Eric Stocker Personnel Board Members Present: Peg Ross, April Stein Town Administrator: Becky Torres Non-members Present: Mary Lou Conca, Mike Vinskey

Meeting called to order at 6:32 PM

- 1) Meet with PB and SB to review Market Wage and Salary Alignment for FY23 budget
  - a) GA shares the Personnel Committee's market alignment analysis and recommendations. The committee is recommending targeted wage increases for the following positions: Accountant, Administrative Assessor, Assessor Clerk, Collector, Equipment Operator (2), Librarian, Town Clerk, and Treasurer. In total, these adjustments amount to a \$31,169 increase to the FY23 budget. \$7,038 of that amount corresponds to already approved COLA increases for those employees.
  - b) JH asks if we have had difficulty hiring and retaining employees because of wage issues. BT responds that yes, this has come up in several cases including hiring equipment operators, land use clerk, etc.
  - c) SM stated that when the Broadband Committee proposed a salary increase for the MLP manager there was no significant discussion or concerns expressed. SM states we should be consistent and have some empathy for town employees.
  - d) BG responds that he was surprised that this issue was being discussed and by the size of the proposed increases. BG: I am thinking of the taxpayer and my primary consideration is the hardship that some taxpayers experience every year when paying their taxes.
  - e) AK responds to BG: GA and MC have shared several committee reports to Fin Com over the last several months indicating that the Personnel Committee was conducting a market analysis and that recommendations for salary and wage alignment would be made. This should not be a surprise to committee members.
  - f) GA states that it seems like this is creating a large budget increase but approximately. \$7k of it are COLA increases that these employees would be receiving anyway. So, we are only adding approximately \$25,000. GA: I think it is a good idea to look at the rate of pay of comparable towns.
  - g) JH states that the reference to Gayle Huntress' pay increase is not relevant because her workload is increasing substantially, and her salary is paid through subscriber fees rather than tax levy.
  - h) SM: I looked at the population figure for each of the towns included in the Personnel Board analysis. Population varies by town but there is some consistency in wages.

- i) AK asks if the Personnel Board approved this proposal unanimously. Melissa Makepeace-O'Neill responds that the committee voted unanimously to bring this proposal forward to the Select Board and Finance Committee.
- j) SM makes a motion that the Finance Committee accept the recommendations made by the Personnel Board regarding the proposed market alignment. AK seconds. Motion passes by a vote of 4-2 (GA, MC, AK, SM vote yes – BG and JH vote no).
- 2) Motion to approve 2/22 meeting minutes as amended passes unanimously 6-0.
- **3)** FY23 budget review (new draft sent on 3/8/22)
  - a) Significant changes/updates to the FY23 expense budget:
    - i) Most of the salary lines have been updated but BT needs to do a final review. The current draft does not include the market alignment adjustments that were approved at tonight's meeting.
    - ii) Health insurance budget currently includes a \$33K increase. This is likely to be adjusted down as we get more information.
    - iii) JH makes a motion to reduce the recreation committee budget from \$3,000 to \$1,000. BG seconds. The motion fails by a vote of 3-3 (GA, AK, SM vote no – JH, BG, MC vote yes).
    - iv) GA asks if we should bump up our Town Vehicle Fuel line given the current increase in oil prices. BG suggests that look at our bid from FRCOG and decide whether we want to contract our fuel expense or wait until the summer to see if prices come down. BT reports that we have about \$7,000 of unspent funds that could help absorb some of the increase. Committee members to leave the line flat for now.
    - v) The budget does not currently include the COLA increases for unionized town employees. These employees will receive a 3% increase. This will amount to a \$3,800 increase.
    - vi) GA asks what recommendations have been made by the committee that has been discussing the hiring of a new fire chief. BG requests that the Personnel committee look into the job description for the new fire chief position.
    - vii)BG asks if we will be receiving an updated budget for the elementary school. BT will forward the latest school budget for discussion at our next meeting.
    - viii) GA asks if there is going to be debt service for the culvert. BT responds that we are not anticipating debt service for this budget because of project delays.
- 4) OPEB Actuarial Update
  - a) BT will be sending out the OPEB actuarial report to committee members. We will meet with the study authors on March 22.
- 5) Committee Reports
  - a) BG for Capital Planning: The committee will be meeting on the 16<sup>th</sup> to vote on the various capital requests that have been made by town departments. The plan is for Capital Planning Committee members to attend the next Fin Com meeting to review any

approved requests. Fin Com will then deliberate and vote on these requests at its April  $5^{\text{th}}$  meeting.

## 6) Future Meetings

- a) Mar 22: OPEB, Timeline for budget process, Capital planning recommendations
- b) April 5: Capital requests

Meeting adjourned at 8:37 PM

## Attachment A: Market Alignment Analysis

Market Alignment F	or FY 2023																									
March 2022																										
The purpose of the market alignn																										
<ol> <li>Need to pay market value fo</li> </ol>																										
<ol> <li>Need to pay market value to ii. Need to pay market value to</li> </ol>																										
<ol> <li>Will need to pay market for it</li> </ol>																										
v. Saves cost of turnover	replacements (rec	nementaj																								
<ul> <li>Limited pool of labor for som</li> </ul>	e specialized mur	nicinal positions																								
<ol> <li>Many towns contract out for</li> </ol>				over benefits ad	lmin costs an	d profit)																				
ii. Current labor shortage	terme per	.,,																								
viii. Last market alignment study	was 2013																									
x. Total budget increase would b		COLA) if incre	ases matched t	he average rate	for each posit	tion, instead of the \$24	131 proposed																			
							, ,,						Fran	klin County	owns - Ex	cludes Green	field, Montag	ue and Orar	nge							
Positions	Suresbury	Hourstheet	Proposed	HOWHYCOLA	Proposed	at increase proposed provide the	rese tare to sugar inc	Average Honer	ANS WITHOUT LOW	stedian	Lowest	Hister	Astreid	Bernardston Bucht	d chaten	ont collain	Street Ereins	611	Hawley Heat		then showed as	* Salern Worth	seld Rowe	Snetbourne	Sunderland W	swith wended with
	Hourly Rates				· /					. /	. /	. /														
countant	\$ 24.30	15.00 \$	27.57 \$	0.65 \$	26.92 1	3.5% \$ 2,551	\$ 8,977 \$	35.81 \$	35.86 \$	35.86 \$	23.91 \$	47.60					\$ 46.16		\$ 47.60				\$ 23.91		\$ 25.5	5
Iministrative Assessor	\$ 22.24	20.00 \$	27.33 \$	0.64 \$	26.69 23	2.9% \$ 5,292	\$ 8,536 \$	30.45 \$	29.65 \$	29.65 \$	26.37 \$	36.12		\$ 26.37	;	\$ 29.27 \$ 36	12 \$ 30.03									
ssessor Clerk (Includes Assistants)	\$ 19.87	15.00 \$	22.22 \$	0.52 \$	21.70 1	1.8% \$ 1,834	\$ 2,291 \$	22.81 \$	22.58 \$	22.00 \$	16.88 \$	31.63 \$	20.09 \$ 25		\$ 20.05	\$ 17		21.35	\$ 31.63	\$ 30.0			\$ 22.00	\$ 20.25 \$ 2	5.25 \$ 16.8	8 \$ 18.33 \$ 26.5
ollector	\$ 25.78	15.00 \$	27.65 \$	0.65 \$		7.2% \$ 1,454	\$ 1,150 \$	27.26 \$	26.91 \$	25.64 \$	18.22 \$	39.40 \$	23.59 \$ 39		\$ 28.81		\$ 31.36 \$			\$ 25.64 \$ 21.2						1 \$ 18.22
quipment Operator #1	\$ 21.21	40.00 \$	23.23 \$	0.54 \$	22.69	9.5% \$ 4,211	\$ 4,068 \$	23.17 \$	22.98 \$	22.74 \$	20.61 \$	29.00 \$	22.82 \$ 24	.44 \$ 20.76	\$ 20.61	\$ 25.00 \$ 22	65 \$ 26.21 \$	\$ 23.16 \$ 2	1.44 \$ 20.63	\$ 29.00						3 \$ 20.70 \$ 22.9
quipment Operator #2	\$ 21.21	40.00 \$	23.23 \$	0.54 \$		9.5% \$ 4,211		23.17 \$	22.98 \$		20.61 \$	29.00 \$					65 \$ 26.21 \$									3 \$ 20.70 \$ 22.9
ibrarian	\$ 22.24	40.00 \$	23.44 \$			5.4% \$ 2,493		23.68 \$			17.24 \$	33.54 \$		.64 \$ 20.47			\$ 29.55 \$			\$ 27.00 \$ 18.4						6 \$ 20.88 \$ 22.9
own Clerk	\$ 21.27	25.00 \$	25.21 \$	0.59 \$	24.62 1	8.6% \$ 5,129	\$ 4,657 \$	24.85 \$	24.69 \$	23.96 \$	18.00 \$	34.02 \$				\$ 22.12 \$ 29		21.94		\$ 34.02 \$ 26.4		0 \$ 23.96		\$ 22.94 \$ 3		5 \$ 31.73 \$ 27.1
reasurer	\$ 22.53	25.00 \$	25.60 \$	0.60 \$	25.00 1	3.6% \$ 3,994	\$ 4,185 \$	25.75 \$	25.13 \$	23.83 \$	18.22 \$	38.23 \$	27.00 \$ 23	.66	\$ 23.99		\$ 38.23 \$	\$ 22.28 \$ 2	8.28 \$ 23.41		\$ 32.9	3			\$ 19.4	8 \$ 18.22
				N	larket Alignm	nent For FY 2023 Increa	ises to Budget																			
	FY22 Total Budge	nt Ś	6,627,635	Propo		\$ 24,131	0.36%																			
				COLA		7.038	0.11%																			
					roposed	\$ 31,169	0.47%																			