

# Town of Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan



Adopted by the Shutesbury Select Board on December 21, 2021  
Approved by FEMA on January 5, 2022

Prepared by  
**Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Planning Team**

and

**Franklin Regional Council of Governments**  
12 Olive Street, Suite 2  
Greenfield, MA 01301  
(413) 774-3167  
[www.frcog.org](http://www.frcog.org)

This project was funded by grants received from the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



**FEMA**

January 6, 2022

Dawn Brantley, Acting Director  
Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Road  
Framingham, Massachusetts 01702-5399

Dear Acting Director Brantley:

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region I Mitigation Division has approved the Town of Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan effective **January 5, 2022** through **January 4, 2027** in accordance with the planning requirements of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), as amended, the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, and Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201.

With this plan approval, the jurisdiction is eligible to apply to the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency for mitigation grants administered by FEMA. Requests for funding will be evaluated according to the eligibility requirements identified for each of these programs. A specific mitigation activity or project identified in this community's plan may not meet the eligibility requirements for FEMA funding; even eligible mitigation activities or projects are not automatically approved.

The plan must be updated and resubmitted to the FEMA Region I Mitigation Division for approval every five years to remain eligible for FEMA mitigation grant funding.

Thank you for your continued commitment and dedication to risk reduction demonstrated by preparing and adopting a strategy for reducing future disaster losses. Should you have any questions, please contact Brigitte Ndikum-Nyada at (617) 378-7951 or [brigitte.ndikum-nyada@fema.dhs.gov](mailto:brigitte.ndikum-nyada@fema.dhs.gov).

Sincerely,

Paul F. Ford  
Acting Regional Administrator  
DHS, FEMA Region I

PFF: bnn

cc: Jeffrey Zukowski, Hazard Mitigation Planner, MEMA  
Marybeth Groff, CFM, Hazard Mitigation & Climate Adaptation Coordinator  
Beth Dubrawski, Hazard Mitigation Contract Specialist, MEMA



Shutesbury Selectboard

P.O. Box 276

Shutesbury MA 01072

413.259.1214

**CERTIFICATE OF ADOPTION**

**Town of Shutesbury, MASSACHUSETTS**

SELECTBOARD

**A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE Town of Shutesbury  
HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN**

WHEREAS, the Town of Shutesbury established a Committee to prepare the 2021 Hazard Mitigation plan; and


WHEREAS, the Town of Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan contains several potential future projects to mitigate potential impacts from natural hazards in the Town of Shutesbury, and

WHEREAS, a duly-noticed public meeting was held by the SELECTBOARD on June 15, 2021, and


WHEREAS, the Town of Shutesbury authorizes responsible departments and/or agencies to execute their responsibilities demonstrated in the plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town of Shutesbury BOARD OF SELECTMEN adopts the 2021 Hazard Mitigation Plan, in accordance with M.G.L. Ch. 40.


ADOPTED AND SIGNED this Date, December 21, 2021.



Rita Farrell, Chair



Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil



Eric Stocker

Shutesbury Selectboard

ATTEST

## **Acknowledgements**

The Shutesbury Select Board extends special thanks to the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Planning Team as follows:

Becky Torres, **Town Administrator**

Walter Tibbetts, **Fire Chief and Emergency Management Director**

Mark Foster, **Fire Department**

Leslie Bracebridge, **Emergency Management Team Volunteer Clerk**

Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil, **Selectboard Chair**

April Stein, **Selectboard**

Rita Farrell, **Selectboard**

Grace Bannasch, **Town Clerk**

Kristin Burgess, **Sergeant in Charge**

David Perlmutter, **Public Information Officer**

Catherine Hilton, **Board of Health**

Deacon Bonnar, **Planning Board**

Don Wakoluk, **Tree Warden**

Gayle Huntress, **Municipal Light Plant Manager**

The Shutesbury Select Board offers thanks to the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) for developing the 2018 Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan which served as a resource for this plan and to staff for reviewing and commenting on the draft plan and to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for making the funds available and for the development of the Local Mitigation Planning Handbook, which provides a thorough overview of the Mitigation Planning process.

### **Franklin Regional Council of Governments**

Peggy Sloan, Director of Planning & Development

Kimberly Noake MacPhee, Land Use & Natural Resources Program Manager

Tamsin Flanders, Land Use & Natural Resources Planner

Ryan Clary, Senior GIS Specialist

*Cover photo of damage during the December 2008 ice storm courtesy of the Town of Shutesbury.*

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>PLANNING PROCESS</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING TEAM	1
1.3	PARTICIPATION BY STAKEHOLDERS	3
<b>2</b>	<b>LOCAL PROFILE AND PLANNING CONTEXT</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	COMMUNITY SETTING	6
2.2	IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE	17
<b>3</b>	<b>HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1	NATURAL HAZARD RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	24
3.2	FLOODING	28
3.3	SEVERE SNOWSTORMS / ICE STORMS	55
3.4	HURRICANES / TROPICAL STORMS	69
3.5	SEVERE THUNDERSTORMS / WIND / MICROBURSTS	80
3.6	TORNADOES	93
3.7	WILDFIRE	105
3.8	EARTHQUAKES	121
3.9	DAM FAILURE	133
3.10	DROUGHT	139
3.11	LANDSLIDES	148
3.12	EXTREME TEMPERATURES	155
3.13	INVASIVE SPECIES	175
3.14	OTHER HAZARDS	192
3.14.1	VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES	192
3.14.2	HUMAN MADE HAZARDS	204
<b>4</b>	<b>MITIGATION CAPABILITIES &amp; STRATEGIES</b>	<b>211</b>
4.1	NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS FOR HAZARD MITIGATION & CLIMATE RESILIENCY	211
4.2	EXISTING AUTHORITIES POLICIES, PROGRAMS, & RESOURCES	212
4.3	HAZARD MITIGATION GOAL STATEMENTS AND ACTION PLAN	228
<b>5</b>	<b>PLAN ADOPTION AND MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>244</b>
5.1	PLAN ADOPTION	244
5.2	PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS	244
	<b>APPENDIX A: Public Participation</b>	<b>254</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B: FEMA PLAN REVIEW TOOL</b>	<b>255</b>
	<b>APPENDIX C: Lake Wyola and McAvoy Pond Inundation Mapping</b>	<b>256</b>

---

## **Table of Tables**

Table 2-1: Shutesbury 2016 MassGIS Land Cover and Land Use Data .....	8
Table 2-2: New residential permits issued in Shutesbury per year, 2010-2019 .....	10
Table 2-3: Shutesbury Cultural Resources .....	16
Table 3-1: Comparison of Hazards in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan, and Shutesbury MVP Resiliency Plan .....	22
Table 3-2: Location of Occurrence Rating Scale .....	24
Table 3-3: Probability of Occurrence Rating Scale .....	25
Table 3-4: Impacts Rating Scale .....	25
Table 3-5: Shutesbury Hazard Identification and Risk Analysis .....	26
Table 3-6: Previous Occurrences of Flash Floods in Franklin County .....	40
Table 3-7: Previous Occurrences of Floods in Franklin County .....	41
Table 3-8: Flooding Events in Shutesbury .....	42
Table 3-9: Estimated Shutesbury Population Exposed to a 1 Percent Flood Event .....	45
Table 3-10: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	45
Table 3-11: Acres of Commercial, Industrial, and Public/Institutional Land Use Within the Flood Hazard Area in Shutesbury .....	48
Table 3-12: Average Assessed Value of Land Use in Flood Hazard Area, FY2021 .....	49
Table 3-13: NFIP Policies, Claims, and Repetitive Loss Statistics for Shutesbury .....	49
Table 3-14: Regional Snowfall Index Categories .....	58
Table 3-15: Northeast Snowfall Impact Scale Categories .....	58
Table 3-16: High-Impact Snowstorms in Franklin County, 1958 - 2020 .....	61
Table 3-17: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	64
Table 3-18: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	66
Table 3-19: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	75
Table 3-20: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	76
Table 3-21: High Wind Events in Franklin County .....	83
Table 3-22: Thunderstorm Wind Events in Shutesbury .....	83
Table 3-23: Lightning Events in Franklin County .....	84
Table 3-24: Hail Events in Franklin County .....	84
Table 3-25: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	86
Table 3-26: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	89
Table 3-27: Tornado Events in Franklin County .....	97
Table 3-28: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	99
Table 3-29: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	101
Table 3-30: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	116
Table 3-31: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	117
Table 3-32: Richter Scale Magnitudes and Effects .....	124
Table 3-33: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale for and Effects .....	125
Table 3-34: Northeast States Record of Historic Earthquakes .....	127
Table 3-35: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification .....	129
Table 3-36: U.S. Drought Monitor .....	142
Table 3-37: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury .....	163
Table 3-38: Invasive Plants Occurring in Western Massachusetts .....	179
Table 3-39: Invasive Animal and Fungi Species in Massachusetts .....	183
Table 3-40: Massachusetts Toxics Users in Shutesbury .....	206
Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities .....	221

Table 4-2: Shutesbury Hazard Priority Level Rating.....	228
Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan .....	232
Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions.....	239
<b>Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation .....</b>	<b>247</b>

## **Table of Figures**

Figure 2-1: Climate Change and Natural Hazard Interactions from the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.....	18
Figure 2-2: Projected Annual Average Temperature .....	19
Figure 2-3: Projected Annual Days with a Maximum Temperature Above 90°F .....	20
Figure 2-4: Projected Annual Total Precipitation (Inches).....	21
Figure 3-1: Observed Change in Very Heavy Precipitation .....	28
Figure 3-2: Effects of Climate Change on Flooding.....	29
Figure 3-3: Highest Recorded Flood Events on the West Branch of the Swift River, Shutesbury, MA.....	39
Figure 3-4: Effects of Climate Change on Severe Winter Storms .....	55
Figure 3-5: Effects of Climate Change on Hurricanes and Tropical Storms .....	69
Figure 3-6: Historical Hurricane Paths within 65 Miles of Massachusetts .....	71
Figure 3-7: Saffir-Simpson Scale .....	72
Figure 3-8: Effects of Climate Change on Severe Thunderstorms, Wind, and Microbursts .....	80
Figure 3-9: Beaufort Wind Scale .....	82
Figure 3-10: Impacts of Climate Change on Tornadoes.....	93
Figure 3-11: Density of Reported Tornadoes per Square Mile .....	95
Figure 3-12: Enhanced Fujita Scale & Guide to Tornado Severity .....	96
Figure 3-13: Impacts of Climate Change on Wildfires .....	105
Figure 3-14: Wildland-Urban Interface and Intermix for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.....	110
Figure 3-15: Wildfire Risk Areas for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts .....	111
Figure 3-16: Massachusetts Bureau of Forest Fire Control Districts and Tower Network .....	112
Figure 3-17: Outdoor Vegetation Fires in Franklin County 2012 - 2016.....	114
Figure 3-18: National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Soil Types in Massachusetts .....	122
Figure 3-19: Earthquakes Occurring in the Northeast from 1975 - 2017 .....	126
Figure 3-20: Impacts of Climate Change on Drought.....	139
Figure 3-21: Areas Experiencing Severe or Extreme Drought, 2001 - 2017 .....	141
Figure 3-22: Drought Conditions in Massachusetts on September 20, 2016 .....	143
Figure 3-23: Impacts of Climate Change on Landslides .....	148
Figure 3-24: Slope Stability Map, Shutesbury and Surrounding Towns.....	150
Figure 3-25: Impacts of Climate Change on Extreme Temperatures.....	155
Figure 3-26: Climate Divisions in Massachusetts.....	156
Figure 3-27: National Weather Service Wind Chill Chart.....	157
Figure 3-28: National Weather Service Heat Index .....	158
Figure 3-29: Projected Annual Days with a Maximum Temperature Above 90°F .....	160
Figure 3-30: Rates of Heat Stress-Related Hospitalization by County.....	166
Figure 3-31: Rates of Hospital Admissions for Heart Attacks by County .....	167
Figure 3-32: Rates of Emergency Department Visits Due to Asthma by County .....	168
Figure 3-33: Impacts of Climate Change on Invasive Species .....	175

# **1 PLANNING PROCESS**

## **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) define Hazard Mitigation as any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property from natural hazards such as flooding, storms, high winds, hurricanes, wildfires, earthquakes, etc. Mitigation efforts undertaken by communities will help to minimize damages to buildings and infrastructure, such as water supplies, sewers, and utility transmission lines, as well as natural, cultural and historic resources.

Planning efforts, like the one undertaken by the Town of Shutesbury, make mitigation a proactive process. Pre-disaster planning emphasizes actions that can be taken before a natural disaster occurs. Future property damage and loss of life can be reduced or prevented by a mitigation program that addresses the unique geography, demography, economy, and land use of a community within the context of each of the specific potential natural hazards that may threaten a community.

Preparing and updating a hazard mitigation plan every five years can save the community money and facilitate post-disaster funding. Costly repairs or replacement of buildings and infrastructure, as well as the high cost of providing emergency services and rescue/recovery operations, can be avoided or significantly lessened if a community implements the mitigation measures detailed in the plan.

FEMA requires that a community adopt a pre-disaster mitigation plan as a condition for mitigation funding. For example, the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA), and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program are programs with this requirement.

## **1.2 HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING TEAM**

Updating the Town of Shutesbury's Hazard Mitigation plan involved a team comprised of the following members:



- Becky Torres, **Town Administrator**
- Walter Tibbetts, **Fire Chief and Emergency Management Director**
- Mark Foster, **Fire Department**
- Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil, **Selectboard Chair**
- April Stein, **Selectboard**
- Rita Farrell, **Selectboard**
- Grace Bannasch, **Town Clerk**
- Kristin Burgess, **Sergeant in Charge**
- David Perlmutter, **Public Information Officer**
- Catherine Hilton, **Board of Health**
- Deacon Bonnar, **Planning Board**
- Don Wakoluk, **Tree Warden**
- Gayle Huntress, **Municipal Light Plant Manager**

The Hazard Mitigation Planning process update for the Town included the following tasks:

- Reviewing the results of the 2020 Community Resilience Building workshop, which identified Shutesbury's key natural and man-made hazard vulnerabilities and strengths and proposed actions to build infrastructural, social, and environmental resilience to climate change
- Reviewing and incorporating existing plans and other information, including changes in development in the years since the Town's previous Hazard Mitigation planning process
- Updating the natural hazards that may impact the community from the previous plan
- Conducting a Vulnerability/Risk Assessment to identify the infrastructure and populations at the highest risk for being damaged by the identified natural hazards, particularly flooding
- Identifying and assessing the policies, programs, and regulations the community is currently implementing to protect against future disaster damages
- Identifying deficiencies in the current Hazard Mitigation strategies and establishing goals for updating, revising or adopting new strategies
- Adopting and implementing the final updated Hazard Mitigation Plan

The key product of this Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process is the development of an Action Plan with a Prioritized Implementation Schedule.

### **Meetings**

Meetings of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Team were held on the dates listed below. Agendas for these meetings are included in Appendix B. All meetings followed Massachusetts Open

Meeting Law and were open to the public. While not all members of the Hazard Mitigation Committee were able to attend each meeting, all members collaborated on the plan and were updated on progress by fellow Committee members after meetings occurred.

*April 7, 2021*

Committee members discussed the hazard mitigation plan update project timeline, reviewed hazards and climate change stressors that will impact Shutesbury, and discussed Shutesbury's risk to each hazard based on the location, extent, probability, and severity of hazards. The committee was given draft copies of Sections 2 and 3 prior to this meeting.

*April 28, 2021*

Committee members provided answers to questions the FRCOG had regarding Sections 2 thru 4 and discussed draft problem statements for each hazard. Committee members also decided how they would view drafts of the Critical Facilities & Infrastructure and Environmental Resources Maps.

*May 20, 2021*

The Committee reviewed Table 4-3: Draft Action Plan and Table 4-4: Completed and Obsolete Action Items.

*June 29, 2021*

The FRCOG presented at the public plan forum to the Select Board and the general public (presentation included in Appendix A). This meeting was originally scheduled for June 15<sup>th</sup> but had to be postponed to June 29<sup>th</sup>. Forum attendees were notified that the plan had been posted on the Town's website for three weeks and they had until noon of June 30<sup>th</sup> to submit any questions or comments.

### **1.3 PARTICIPATION BY STAKEHOLDERS**

A variety of stakeholders were provided with an opportunity to be involved in the update of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan. The different categories of stakeholders that were involved, and the engagement activities that occurred, are described below.

#### **Local and Regional Agencies Involved in Hazard Mitigation Activities**

FRCOG regularly engages with the Town of Shutesbury as part of its regional planning efforts, which include the following:

- Developing the Sustainable Franklin County Plan, which advocates for sustainable land

use throughout the region and consideration of the impact of flooding and other natural hazards on development.

- Developing and implementing the Franklin County Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy, which includes goals and strategies to build the region's economic resilience.
- Developing the Franklin County Regional Transportation Plan, which includes a focus on sustainability and climate resilience, and implementing the Franklin County Transportation Improvement Program to complete transportation improvements in our region.
- FRCOG Emergency Preparedness Program staff work with four regional committees: the Mohawk Area Public Health Coalition, the Franklin County Regional Emergency Planning Committee, the Franklin County Emergency Communications System Oversight Committee, and the Western Mass. Health and Medical Coordinating Coalition. Working with these committees and with local governments, the FRCOG works to provide integrated planning and technical assistance to improve and enhance our communities' ability to prepare for, respond to, and recover from natural and man-made disasters.

All of these FRCOG initiatives consider the impact of natural hazards on the region and strategies for reducing their impact to people and property through hazard mitigation activities. The facilitation of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan by FRCOG ensured that information from these plans and initiatives were incorporated into the Hazard Mitigation Planning process.

### **Agencies that Have the Authority to Regulate Development**

The Shutesbury Planning Board is the primary Town agency responsible for regulating development in town. In addition, the Franklin Regional Council of Governments, as a regional planning authority, works with all agencies that regulate development in Shutesbury, including the municipal entities listed above and state agencies, such as the Department of Conservation and Recreation and MassDOT. This regular involvement ensured that during the development of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan the operational policies and any mitigation strategies or identified hazards from these entities were incorporated into the Hazard Mitigation Plan.

### **Participation by the Public, Businesses, and Neighboring Communities**

The plan update and public meetings were advertised on the Town website. A copy of the draft plan on the Town website at <https://www.shutesbury.org/>. A public forum was held on June 29, 2021 that provided an opportunity for the public and other stakeholders to provide input on


the mitigation strategies and to prioritize action items. Stakeholder letters were sent to Town boards, committees, and departments, and to all neighboring communities, inviting them to the public forum and to review the plan and provide comments. The public forum and subsequent comment period was advertised via a press release in the Greenfield Recorder and on the Town website. The final public Comment Period was held from June 9, 2021 through 30, 2021. (See Appendix A, Public Participation Process, for copies of all press releases and stakeholder letters mailed to solicit comments on the draft Plan). Comments were reviewed by the Team and incorporated into the final plan as appropriate.

The Team and FRCOG staff reviewed and incorporated the following existing plans, studies, reports and technical information, which are cited in footnotes throughout this plan:

- 2021 Shutesbury electronic Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP)
- 2021 DRAFT Shutesbury Open Space and Recreation Plan
- 2020 Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan
- 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan
- Resilient MA Climate Change Clearinghouse for the Commonwealth
- Additional data sources cited in footnotes throughout this Plan







# Hazards & Infrastructure Shutesbury, Massachusetts

- Flood Related**
  - Dam
  - 100 YR Flood Plain
  - Localized Flooding
- Evacuation Route**
  - Alternative
  - Primary
  - Secondary
  - Tertiary
- Radio Tower
- Public Water Supply
- Solar Array
- Chemical Facility
- Gas Station
- Transmission Line
- Rail Line
- School
- Library
- Town Hall
- Fire Station
- Police Station
- DPW
- Ambulance
- Water Crossings**
- Bridge Ownership**
  - MassDOT
  - Municipal
- Major Road
- Gravel Road
- Local Road
- River, Stream
- Water
- Town Boundary
- Building Footprint




















# Environmental Resources, Shutesbury, Massachusetts

## Flood Related

-  Dam
-  100 YR Flood Plain
-  Moore Dam
-  Miller Dam
-  Inundation Zone
-  Localized Flooding

## Environmental

-  Wetland
-  Slope > 25%
-  Prime Farmland Soils
-  Zone II Water Supply Protection Area
-  Permanently Protected Open Space
-  Transmission Line
-  Town Hall
-  Fire Station
-  Police Station
-  Major Road
-  Gravel Road
-  Local Road
-  River, Stream
-  Water
-  Town Boundary
-  Building Footprint



## 2 LOCAL PROFILE AND PLANNING CONTEXT

### 2.1 COMMUNITY SETTING

Shutesbury is located in western Massachusetts, in the hilly upland east of the Connecticut River and west of the Quabbin Reservoir between Wendell, Montague, Leverett, Amherst and Pelham. With an estimated population of 1,755<sup>1</sup> Shutesbury is a small, rural community situated in close proximity to major areas of commerce in Amherst, Deerfield, Montague, and Greenfield. Its landscape consists of narrow and broad river valleys, glacial ravines, ponds and brooks, historic villages, riverside dam remnants, farmhouses, open fields dirt roads, and large shade trees among large areas of contiguous forest and scenic vistas of wooded hillsides. Shutesbury's elevation—1,225 feet at the benchmark in the center of town and 1,305 feet at the highest point—distinguishes it as an insular area of steep terrain compared to surrounding towns, even some of its more hilly neighbors.

Prior to the 1700s, native settlement in Shutesbury was likely limited to small fishing and hunting camps along major waterbodies used by the Nipmuc, Pocumtuck, and Wabanaki Confederacy, who shared Shutesbury as territory.<sup>2</sup> The Town was founded in 1735 by a land grant for the purpose of providing a stopover along the trade and transportation route between Boston and Springfield/Hartford. In 1761 the Town was officially incorporated as Shutesbury, named in honor of a former Governor of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, Samuel Shute. Throughout the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, high-flowing streams provided power and water for a variety of small mill and farming operations: early settlers built sawmills, gristmills, and a corn mill and by the mid-1800s. Ten water-powered sawmills were producing lumber, railroad ties, shingles, barrel staves, laths, and components for chairs and other wood products. During the period from 1723 to 1860, school districts were established, the northern end of Shutesbury was apportioned to Wendell, and land was purchased for a cemetery. The center of town built up throughout the 19<sup>th</sup> century, including a library and churches. In the first quarter of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, a large amount of land in Shutesbury was bought by the state's Metropolitan District Commission and the Town of Amherst for the creation of public drinking water supply the protection of the supply's watersheds.

After peaking at over 1,000 residents in 1820, Shutesbury's population fell to a low of around 200 in 1940. Then in the 30 years between 1970 and 2000 the population increased by 262 percent, up to 1,810 residents by the year 2000. This was facilitated by developments in

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

<sup>2</sup> native-land.ca, accessed 11-6-2020

transportation and communication, as well as the expansion of the University of Massachusetts. Similar to other towns in western Massachusetts, Shutesbury has witnessed a conversion from an agrarian lifestyle to a largely residential community whose residents commute elsewhere for their livelihood. Although a number of multi-generational families continue to live in town—engaged in forestry and agricultural activities—this percentage of the population has decreased over the past few decades.

Shutesbury has a Fiber-Optic-To-The-Home (FTTH) high-speed internet network owned by the Town. ShutesburyNET is operated under the telecom authority of Crocker Communications, which contracts with third parties for Network Operator, Internet Services Provider (ISP), and maintenance functions. ShutesburyNET ties into the internet via the Massachusetts Broadband Institute “last mile” network.

In May 2019, MassGIS released a new land cover/land use dataset. This statewide dataset contains a combination of land cover mapping from 2016 aerial and satellite imagery, LiDAR and other data sources. Land use mapping is derived from standardized assessor parcel information for Massachusetts. This land cover/land use dataset does not conform to the classification schemes or polygon delineation of previous land use data from MassGIS (1951-1999; 2005) so comparisons of land use change over time can’t be made using this current data.<sup>3</sup>

The 2016 land cover/land use dataset shown in Table 2-1 reveals the relationship between land use and land cover in Shutesbury. For example, most of the *land cover* is forests but the *land use* is primarily residential.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-2016-land-coverland-use>



Table 2-1: Shutesbury 2016 MassGIS Land Cover and Land Use Data			
Land Cover	Acres	Land Use	Acres
Bare Land	75	Agriculture	250
Cultivated	0	Commercial	38
Deciduous Forest	5,667	Forest	4,645
Developed Open Space	236	Industrial	4
Evergreen Forest	9,730	Mixed use, other	2
Grassland	231	Mixed use, primarily commercial	0
Impervious	241	Mixed use, primarily residential	0
Palustrine Aquatic Bed	9	Open land	2,391
Palustrine Emergent Wetland	8	Recreation	546
Palustrine Forested Wetland	533	Residential - multi-family	141
Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetland	48	Residential - other	0
Pasture/Hay	73	Residential - single family	2,741
Scrub/Shrub	30	Right-of-way	150
Water	359	Tax exempt	6,240
		Unknown	83
		Water	105

According to the 2016 MassGIS data in Table 2-1, approximately 88.8 percent of Shutesbury’s land cover is forest. Less than 2 percent of the town is classified as agricultural land use, and 16.6 percent of the town is classified as residential land use. Although the town developed as an industrial center, there are only a few commercial or industrial businesses located in town. Less than 1 percent of the total area in town is comprised of commercial or industrial land uses.

**Population Characteristics**

As of 2019, Shutesbury’s total population is estimated to be 1,755 with 897 total households, 380 families, and a population density of 67 people per square mile.<sup>4</sup> The 2019 population figure represents a 0.03 percent increase since 2000.<sup>5</sup>

**Environmental Justice Populations**

The State of Massachusetts defines an environmental justice community as one that meets any of the following conditions:

- Block group whose annual median household income is equal to or less than 65 percent of the statewide median (\$62,072 in 2010); or
- 25% or more of the residents identifying as minority; or

<sup>4</sup> U.S. Census Bureau 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

<sup>5</sup> U.S. Census Bureau 2000 Census

- 25% or more of households having no one over the age of 14 who speaks English only or very well - Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

According to these criteria, Shutesbury does not currently have any environmental justice populations. Approximately 95 percent of the Town's population is white; the next largest racial/ethnic group is Latinx at .04 percent of the total population. The annual median household income of Shutesbury, \$85,114 in 2019, is above 65 percent of the state's annual median household income of \$68,563. And as of 2019, there are 13 households that have Limited English Proficiency (LEP).

### **Current Development Trends**

Major employment centers near Shutesbury include Greenfield, the Franklin County Seat (about 17 road miles), Amherst, home of UMass (10 miles), Northampton (18 miles), and Springfield (36 miles). The town's proximity to Amherst College and the University of Massachusetts has attracted residents who commute to these and the other colleges in the Five-College Area. Shutesbury's distance from other major employment centers and lack of direct access to major railroads or highways has limited growth and preserved many of the town's rural landscapes.

Shutesbury's zoning by-laws were completely revised in 2008 to encourage development along roadsides and in town center, and to discourage backlot development and low-density subdivision. The Town is divided into four main districts: Roadside Residential (RR), Town Center (TC), Lake Wyola District (LW), and Forest Conservation (FC). The bylaws contain many provisions designed to promote and maintain open space. According to the bylaw's purpose section, the zoning bylaw's mechanisms are designed for the "protection of large contiguous tracts of forest land to maintain commercial forestry as a viable agricultural activity; the protection of water in the watersheds that supply drinking water to Amherst, Massachusetts, the Boston metropolitan area, and the Town of Shutesbury; the maintenance of a rural road system that includes many miles of unpaved roads; the protection of significant wildlife habitat in a healthy forest ecosystem; the allowance for mixed-use development in the Town Center area; the diversification of available housing types; greater affordability in housing; economic opportunities for residents including home-based businesses; and the clustering of residential development in compact settlements leaving large areas of open space undeveloped."<sup>6</sup>

According to information provided by the Franklin County Cooperative Inspection Program, between July 2010 and June 2020, seventeen (17) residential building permits for the

---

<sup>6</sup> Shutesbury Planning Board, Town of Shutesbury Zoning Bylaw, 2008, p. 1

construction of new, replacement, or accessory units were issued.

<b>Table 2-2: New residential permits issued in Shutesbury per year, 2010-2019</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b># of building permits issued</b>
2010	2
2011	4
2012	0
2013	3
2014	0
2015	1
2016	2
2017	2
2018	1
2019	1
2020	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>

FRCOG staff reviewed the addresses of the building permits and determined, based on information described below, that new development has occurred outside of known hazard areas such as floodplains. Ten of these residential permits were issued for houses on or proximate to Lake Wyola (one new home and nine replacement homes). There has not been any commercial development in the town in the last five years. However, the installation of ShutesburyNET, a fiber-optic high speed internet network, in 2019 may attract new in-home businesses.

According to 2005 MassGIS Land Use/Land Cover data, 233 acres lie within the 100-year floodplain in Shutesbury and twenty-seven (27) dwelling units are located in the floodplain. However, floodplains were drawn in Shutesbury’s 1980 FIRM to include the lakes, ponds, and reservoirs (excluding the Quabbin Reservoir). Therefore, some portion of the 233 floodplain acres is actually open water, not floodplain. Newer Mass GIS land use/land cover data from 2016 indicate no change in the number of acres in the floodplain acres in Shutesbury or the number of dwelling units located within the floodplain. Franklin County does not have digital floodplain maps, significantly limiting flood hazard analysis. As noted below, FEMA is currently updating the floodplain maps for Shutesbury. Once the update is complete, a more accurate understanding of any recent development within the floodplain will be possible.

Because development in Shutesbury since the previously approved plan appears to be outside known hazard-prone areas, it is not expected to increase the town's overall vulnerability to flooding or other hazards. To assess and update the community's vulnerability to hazard events, the Team completed an exercise to discuss the results of the Risk Assessment (see Section 3) and used the results to update the Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating for each hazard. The ranking is qualitative and is based, in part, on local knowledge of past experiences with each type of hazard, the anticipated probability of occurrence, severity of impacts, and area of occurrence for each hazard given historical and climate change data, and a discussion of the type and location of current development trends and new development in Town, and other local knowledge.

### **National Flood Insurance Program Status**

Shutesbury is a participating member of the National Flood Insurance Program. Currently there are two flood insurance policies in effect in Shutesbury, for a total insurance value of \$525,000. No losses have been paid. The ISAA must be executed by FEMA Headquarters and then the information will be released to the Town. Once received, Shutesbury will update this Plan, as appropriate.

Shutesbury's floodplain map was last updated in 1980. In 2018, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) initiated a 5-10 year process to update the floodplain maps for Franklin County towns, which will primarily involve using recent LiDAR topographic mapping and limited field surveys to create digital floodplain maps and correcting some anomalies in the 1980 maps (floodplains on the tops of hills) that may be revealed by the LiDAR mapping.

### **Infrastructure**

Most of the infrastructure in Shutesbury consists of roads and bridges. Shutesbury's transportation infrastructure may prove problematic in the case of a natural disaster such as flooding, because some potential evacuation routes run alongside streams and rivers, and may be impassable.

### ***Roads and Highways***

The major artery running through town is Leverett Road, which connects Shutesbury with Leverett and Amherst to the west and Route 202 to the east. Shutesbury residents can travel north to Wendell, Montague, and Greenfield via Wendell and Montague Roads and south to Pelham, Amherst, and Belchertown via West Pelham and Pelham Hill Roads and Route 202. Route 202 runs between Springfield and points north. The closest access to I-91, Franklin County's major north/south route, is in South Deerfield. The town has a total of 39 miles of

road, of which approximately sixteen miles are gravel.<sup>7</sup> Massachusetts DOT is responsible for 3.1 miles of road (on Route 202) and DCR for 5.1 miles of road (in the Quabbin Reservation and Shutesbury State Park) in Shutesbury; the Town maintains the remaining 31 percent.

### ***Rail***

There are no rail lines that run through Shutesbury.

### ***Public Transportation***

While the Franklin Regional Transit Authority (FRTA) and the Pioneer Valley Transit Authority (PVRTA) provide active service to towns to the west, there are no regular transit services, paratransit, or demand-response service available to the town of Shutesbury.<sup>8</sup> A 2016 feasibility study for fixed-route transit concluded that though beneficial to residents, a route through Shutesbury and neighboring towns would not be financially sustainable.

### ***Public Drinking Water Supply***

The Town of Shutesbury does not have a municipal water or sewer system but public water supply wells do serve the Shutesbury Elementary School, Lake Wyola State Park, the Pine Brook Camp and Conference Center, the Shutesbury Athletic Club, and the Sirius Community. In general, Shutesbury residents on private wells and those serviced by public wells experience good-quality water but relatively low yields. The relatively low yields of bedrock aquifer wells mostly preclude the possibility of installing of new community water supplies. And although higher permeability and potential yields render stratified drift deposits better candidates for siting community water supply wells, their limited horizontal and vertical extents and the limited sizes of their recharge areas make this possibility unlikely as well. Up-to-date and effective regulations for new construction are necessary to assure that every household has an uncontaminated water supply in the future.<sup>9</sup>

### ***Sewer Service***

There is no municipal sewer service in Shutesbury, which means that everyone has a private septic system to maintain. Each household in town has its own private septic sewer system. There are no plans for a community sewage disposal system. The Town has discussed the construction of a small community wastewater treatment plant both town center and in the area of Lake Wyola to service the dense development of cottages and year-round houses around the lake that have shallow wells and are located on poor soils with a high water table. The Board of Health does a careful job of making sure new septic systems and wells are

---

<sup>7</sup> FRCOG road data: "Miles of Town Maintained Roadway by surface type"

<sup>8</sup> FRCOG, *2020 Franklin County Regional Transportation Plan*, 2019.

<sup>9</sup> Shutesbury Open Source and Recreation Plan, 2015

properly separated from one another.

### ***Emergency Shelters***

According to the 2021 CEMP, The Town Hall and Shutesbury Elementary School serve as the emergency facilities. The Town Hall can as a warming or cooling shelter, or a place to charge electronics, up to a small number of people, thanks to a backup generator and mini-split. The elementary school has been designated as the Town's main emergency shelter; according to the Emergency Management Director, the elementary school has a 100 kW back-up generator on-site that was installed in August 2012 that will power the entire school. However, participants in the community workshop for the Municipal Vulnerability Plan expressed concern over the potential impact of using the shelter while school is in session and the subsequent safety implications for children.

In addition, the Fire Department is equipped with a generator, and the Fire and Highway Departments with mini-splits that have good cooling capacity. Greenfield Community College serves as the regional mass care shared facility.

### ***Natural Resources***

Shutesbury's landscape is largely composed of steep, heavily forested ridges that slope to the east in the eastern part of town, rolling, wooded hills and flats in the central and western parts of town, and abundant interspersed areas of forested and non-forested wetlands. Much of this land and water is owned by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) for the protection of the Quabbin Reservoir drinking water supply. Additionally, DCR owns and manages the Lake Wyola State Park/Carroll A. Homes Recreation Area, the Great Pond portion of Lake Wyola, and the Shutesbury State Forest. These areas are open to the public for recreational use. In addition, the Shutesbury and Amherst Conservation Commissions manage conservation land in Shutesbury, which is also considered permanently protected from development.

### ***Water Resources***

Two public agencies have direct interests in maintaining the high quality of water in the Quabbin Reservoir and Atkins Reservoir. Large areas of town are owned and maintained as protected watersheds by the Division of Water Supply Protection of the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) and the Town of Amherst. In addition to the reservoirs, there is one large lake (Lake Wyola), a number of ponds (including Ames Pond, Baker Pond, and Dudley Marsh), numerous beaver impoundments, and a number of streams in Shutesbury.

### Watersheds and Surface Waters

Surface water in the western half of town drains to the west as part of the Connecticut River Watershed and is composed of the Adams Brook Sub-watershed, the Sawmill Brook Sub-watershed, and the Roaring Brook Sub-watershed, as well as a small section of Amethyst Brook that drains south into the Fort River in Amherst. This small section of Amethyst Brook is located in the south-central section of town just north of the border with Pelham and is tributary to a public water supply for the Town of Amherst, the Hawley Hill Intake (which is located in Pelham). The headwaters of Amethyst Brook contain both forested and non-forested wetlands. Surface water in the eastern half of town is drains to the southeast as part of the Chicopee River Watershed and is composed of the Swift River Sub-watershed. Within these sub-watersheds, the Sawmill River, Roaring Brook, Dean Brook, Nurse Brook, Adams Brook, the West Branch of the Swift River, Atherton Brook, Camel Brook, Cobb Brook and Amethyst Brook have been designated by the Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MassWildlife) as cold-water fisheries and are considered to be high-quality trout streams.

### Aquifers

An aquifer is an underground body of water that is typically found in layers of sand deposited during the glacial period. When it rains heavily, a large percentage of water infiltrates the soil, slowly migrating down to the saturated zone. The area between the saturated zone and the unsaturated zone is known as the water table of the aquifer. When more rain enters the aquifer than is taken out, the water table rises. The US Geological Survey (USGS) and the Office of Massachusetts Geographic Information Systems (MassGIS) have mapped subsurface conditions that support low to medium yield aquifers. According to the USGS and MassGIS there are low-to medium yield aquifers located in the vicinity of the following water bodies:

- Lake Wyola and Ames Pond;
- Dudleyville marsh;
- West Branch of the Swift River;
- Roaring Brook; and
- Dean Brook.

Low to moderate yield aquifers could provide enough water for a small community supply. It is estimated by DEP that low to medium yield aquifers can produce 0-50 gallons per minute (gpm).

### Wetlands

There are over 600 acres of emergent or forested/shrub palustrine wetlands (this figure does not include lakes, ponds, rivers or streams). Most wetlands are located along the headwaters of river systems and connected by perennial or intermittent streams. Isolated wetlands (not connected to surface waters) represent a small percentage of Shutesbury's wetland acreage, although they are quite numerous.

### Beaver Dams

Beaver activity has been increasing over the past decade. Several wetland areas have been flooded by beaver dam construction. As a result, their vegetation has changed from forested wetland to marshy habitat. Sometimes beaver activity is detrimental to property, causing problems such as flooding of yards, wells, or roadways. Affected individuals must contact the Board of Health and Conservation Commission for advice and permission to alleviate the beaver problem. Beaver dams will be discussed further in the dam failure section.

### **Forests**

Shutesbury is almost entirely forested, which provides an abundance of timber and opportunities for recreation, wildlife habitat, the benefits of climate moderation, and the protection of water quality. The town's forests are mainly closed-canopied and middle-aged, having a diversity of species, but no diversity of horizontal or vertical structure. Evergreen forests of pine and hemlock dominate the lowland and riparian areas north and east of Atkins Reservoir; the areas along Dean Brook, Baker Brook, and Roaring Brook; the area northeast of the Dudleyville Marsh and eastward to South Brook; and the area southeast of Ames Pond. Deciduous forests dominate uplands and drier, south-facing slopes on the north-south trending ridge located in the center of town and along the Leverett Town line, just north of Leverett Road. The town is approximately 89 percent forested.

Large blocks of contiguous forestland, such as the Shutesbury State Forest, the Quabbin Reserve lands, and the large blocks between roads protected through the open space zoning bylaw are important resources for several reasons. They represent an area with a low degree of fragmentation. Wildlife species that require a certain amount of deep forest cover separate from people's daily activities tend to migrate out of fragmenting landscapes. New frontage lots and subdivisions can often result in a widening of human activity, an increase in the populations of plants and animals that thrive alongside humans (i.e. raccoons and squirrels) and a reduction in the species that have larger home ranges and unique habitat needs. Large blocks of forest provide clean water, air, and healthy wildlife populations.

### **Cultural and Historic Resources**

The importance of integrating cultural resource and historic property considerations into hazard mitigation planning is demonstrated by disasters that have occurred in recent years, such as the Northridge earthquake in California, Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans, or floods in the Midwest. The effects of a disaster can be extensive—from human casualty to property and crop damage to the disruption of governmental, social, and economic activity. Often not measured, however, are the possibly devastating impacts of disasters on historic properties and



cultural resources. Historic structures, artwork, monuments, family heirlooms, and historic documents are often irreplaceable, and may be lost forever in a disaster if not considered in the mitigation planning process. The loss of these resources is all the more painful and ironic considering how often residents rely on their presence after a disaster, to reinforce connections with neighbors and the larger community, and to seek comfort in the aftermath of a disaster.<sup>10</sup>

Historic properties and cultural resources can be important economic assets, often increasing property values and attracting businesses and tourists to a community. While preservation of historic and cultural assets can require funding, it can also stimulate economic development and revitalization. Hazard mitigation planning can help forecast and plan for the protection of historic properties and cultural resources.

Cultural and historic resources help define the character of a community and reflect its past. Currently, there are no resources in Shutesbury that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places, though the state did place the shores of the Quabbin Reservoir on its mapped 1982 scenic landscape inventory. There are over 183 historic resources in town that are listed in the Massachusetts Cultural Resource Information System (MACRIS) database, and are of historic significance to the town. These sites may be vulnerable to natural hazards due to their location in a potential hazard area, such as a river corridor, or because of old or unstable structures. In Table 2-3, the Team identified the following cultural and historic resources in Shutesbury.

<b>Table 2-3: Shutesbury Cultural Resources</b>			
<b>Resource Name</b>	<b>Resource Location</b>	<b>Resource Type</b>	<b>Materials Contained</b>
Cemetery	Pratt Corner Rd	Cemetery	
West Cemetery & Carriage House	158 Leverett Rd	Cemetery; Historical building	Old tomb with metal door
DCR State Park	94 Lakeview Rd	Historical building	
West School House	3 West Pelham Rd	Historical building	Archives; artifacts
Jewish Cemetery of Amherst	218 Leverett Rd	Cemetery	
Locke’s Village Cemetery	386 Locks Pond Rd	Cemetery	
Shutesbury Congregational Church	Town Common Rd	Historical building	Archives
Spear Memorial Library	10 Cooleyville Rd	Library	
Town Hall	1 Cooleyville Rd	Historical building	Archives
Town Hall Annex	12 Wendell Rd	Historical building	Archives
1800s Dam with Gatehouse	393 Locks Pond	Historical structure	

<sup>10</sup> Integrating Historic Property and Cultural Resource Considerations Into Hazard Mitigation Planning, State and Local Mitigation Planning How-To Guide, FEMA 386-6 / May 2005.

Table 2-3: Shutesbury Cultural Resources			
Resource Name	Resource Location	Resource Type	Materials Contained
	Road		

## 2.2 IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Greater variation and extremes in temperature and weather due to climate change has already begun to impact Shutesbury, and must be accounted for in planning for the mitigation of future hazard events. In 2017, the Commonwealth launched the Massachusetts Climate Change Clearinghouse (Resilient MA), an online gateway for policymakers, planners, and the public to identify and within the district access climate data, maps, websites, tools, and documents on climate change adaptation and mitigation. The goal of Resilient MA is to support scientifically sound and cost-effective decision-making, and to enable users to plan and prepare for climate change impacts. Climate projections for Franklin County available through Resilient MA are summarized in this section. Additional information about the data and climate models is available on the resilient MA website: <http://resilientma.org>

Figure 2-1 identifies primary climate change impacts and how they interact with natural hazards assessed in the State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. Following is a summary of the three primary impacts of climate change on Franklin County and Shutesbury: rising temperatures, changes in precipitation, and extreme weather. How these impacts affect individual hazards is discussed in more detail within Section 3: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment.





### Rising Temperatures

Average global temperatures have risen steadily in the last 50 years, and scientists warn that the trend will continue unless greenhouse gas emissions are significantly reduced. The nine warmest years on record all occurred in the last 20 years (2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2010, 2009, 2005, and 1998), according to the U.S. National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

The average, maximum, and minimum temperatures in Franklin County are likely to increase significantly over the next century (resilient MA, 2018). Figure 2-2 displays the projected increase in annual temperature by mid-century and the end of this century, compared to the observed annual average temperature from 1971-2000. The average annual temperature is projected to increase from 45.3 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) to 50.6°F (5.32°F change) by mid-century, and to 52.8°F (7.48°F change) by the end of this century. The variation in the amount


of change in temperature shown in Figure 2-2 is due to projections that assume different amounts of future GHG emissions, with greater change occurring under a higher emissions scenario, and less change occurring under a lower emissions scenario. For example, under a high emission scenario, the annual average temperature by the end of the century could be as high as 60°F.

**Figure 2-1: Climate Change and Natural Hazard Interactions from the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan**

Primary Climate Change Interaction	Natural Hazard	Other Climate Change Interactions	Representative Climate Change Impacts
 <p><b>Changes in Precipitation</b></p>	Inland Flooding	Extreme Weather	Flash flooding, urban flooding, drainage system impacts (natural and human-made), lack of groundwater recharge, impacts to drinking water supply, public health impacts from mold and worsened indoor air quality, vector-borne diseases from stagnant water, episodic drought, changes in snow-rain ratios, changes in extent and duration of snow cover, degradation of stream channels and wetland
	Drought	Rising Temperatures, Extreme Weather	
	Landslide	Rising Temperatures, Extreme Weather	
 <p><b>Sea Level Rise</b></p>	Coastal Flooding	Extreme Weather	Increase in tidal and coastal floods, storm surge, coastal erosion, marsh migration, inundation of coastal and marine ecosystems, loss and subsidence of wetlands
	Coastal Erosion	Changes in Precipitation, Extreme Precipitation	
	Tsunami	Rising Temperatures	
 <p><b>Rising Temperatures</b></p>	Average/Extreme Temperatures	N/A	Shifting in seasons (longer summer, early spring, including earlier timing of spring peak flow), increase in length of growing season, increase of invasive species, ecosystem stress, energy brownouts from higher energy demands, more intense heat waves, public health impacts from high heat exposure and poor outdoor air quality, drying of streams and wetlands, eutrophication of lakes and ponds
	Wildfires	Changes in Precipitation	
	Invasive Species	Changes in Precipitation, Extreme Weather	
 <p><b>Extreme Weather</b></p>	Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Rising Temperatures, Changes in Precipitation	Increase in frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, resulting in greater damage to natural resources, property, and infrastructure, as well as increased potential for loss of life
	Severe Winter Storm / Nor'easter	Rising Temperatures, Changes in Precipitation	
	Tornadoes	Rising Temperatures, Changes in Precipitation	
	Other Severe Weather (Including Strong Wind and Extreme Precipitation)	Rising Temperatures, Changes in Precipitation	
<b>Non-Climate-Influenced Hazards</b>	Earthquake	Not Applicable	There is no established correlation between climate change and this hazard

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

Figure 2-2: Projected Annual Average Temperature




Source: Resilient MA, 2018

Winter temperatures are projected to increase at a greater rate than spring, summer, or fall. Currently Franklin County experiences an average of 169 days per year with a minimum temperature below freezing (32°F). The number of days per year with daily minimum temperatures below freezing is projected to decrease anywhere from 13 to 40 days by the 2050s, and by 15 to as many as 82 days (down to 87 days total) by the 2090s.

Although minimum temperatures are projected to increase at a greater rate than maximum temperatures in all seasons, significant increases in maximum temperatures are anticipated, particularly under a higher GHG emissions scenario. Figure 2-3 displays the projected increase in the number of days per year over 90°F. The number of days per year with daily maximum temperatures over 90°F is projected to increase by 18 days by the 2050s, and by 32 days by the end of the century (for a total of 36 days over 90°F), compared to the average observed range from 1971 to 2000 of 4 days per year. Under a high emissions scenario, however, there could be as many as 100 days with a maximum temperature above 90°F by the end of the century.

**Figure 2-3: Projected Annual Days with a Maximum Temperature Above 90°F**




Source: Resilient MA, 2018

### Changes in Precipitation

Changes in the amount, frequency, and timing of precipitation—including both rainfall and snowfall—are occurring across the globe as temperatures rise and other climate patterns shift in response. Precipitation is expected to increase over this century in Franklin County. Total annual precipitation is projected to increase by 3 inches by mid-century, and by 4 inches by the end of this century (see Figure 2-4). This will result in up to 52 inches of rain per year, compared to the 1971-2001 average annual precipitation rate of 48 inches per year in Franklin County. Precipitation during winter and spring is expected to increase, while precipitation during summer and fall is expected to decrease over this century. In general precipitation projections are more uncertain than temperature projections.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>11</sup> Resilient MA, 2018, <http://resilientma.org/datagrapher/?c=Temp/county/pcpn/ANN/25011/>

**Figure 2-4: Projected Annual Total Precipitation (Inches)**



Source: Resilient MA, 2018

### Extreme Weather

Climate change is expected to increase extreme weather events across the globe, as well as right here in Massachusetts. There is strong evidence that storms—from heavy downpours and blizzards to tropical cyclones and hurricanes—are becoming more intense and damaging, and can lead to devastating impacts for residents across the state. Climate change leads to extreme weather because of warmer air and ocean temperatures and changing air currents. Warmer air leads to more evaporation from large water bodies and holds more moisture, so when clouds release their precipitation, there is more of it. In addition, changes in atmospheric air currents like jet streams and ocean currents can cause changes in the intensity and duration of stormy weather.






In Franklin County, recent events such as Tropical Storm Irene in 2011 and the February tornado in Conway in 2018 are examples of extreme weather events that are projected to become more frequent occurrences due to climate change. While it is difficult to connect one storm to a changing climate, scientists point to the northeastern United States as one of the regions that is most vulnerable to an increase in extreme weather driven by climate change.

### 3 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT










The following section includes a summary of disasters that have affected or could affect Shutesbury. Historical research, conversations with local officials and emergency management personnel, available hazard mapping, and other weather-related databases were used to develop this list.

The Hazard Mitigation Planning Team referred to the *Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan* (September 2018) as a starting point for determining the relevant hazards in Shutesbury. The table below illustrates a comparison between the relevant hazards in the State plan and in Shutesbury’s plan.

**Table 3-1: Comparison of Hazards in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan, and Shutesbury MVP Resiliency Plan**

Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (2018)	Town of Shutesbury Relevance	MVP Resiliency Plan Top Priority Hazard
 <b>Inland Flooding</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Drought</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Landslide</b>	YES	NO
 <b>Coastal Flooding</b>	NO	NO
 <b>Coastal Erosion</b>	NO	NO

**Table 3-1: Comparison of Hazards in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan, and Shutesbury MVP Resiliency Plan**

Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (2018)	Town of Shutesbury Relevance	MVP Resiliency Plan Top Priority Hazard
 <b>Tsunami</b>	NO	NO
 <b>Average/Extreme Temperatures</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Wildfires</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Invasive Species</b>	YES	NO
 <b>Hurricanes/Tropical Storms</b>	YES	NO
 <b>Severe Winter Storm</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Tornadoes</b>	YES	NO
 <b>Other Severe Weather</b>	YES	YES
 <b>Earthquake</b>	YES	NO



### 3.1 NATURAL HAZARD RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

This chapter examines the hazards in the *Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan* that are identified as likely to affect Shutesbury. The analysis is organized into the following sections: Hazard Description, Location, Extent, Previous Occurrences, Probability of Future Events, Impact, and Vulnerability. A description of each of these analysis categories is provided below.

#### Hazard Description

The natural hazards identified for Shutesbury are flooding, severe snowstorms, hurricanes/tropical storms, severe thunderstorms, tornadoes, wildflower, earthquakes, dam failure, drought, landslide, extreme temperatures, and invasive species. Many of these hazards result in similar impacts to a community. For example, hurricanes, tornados and severe snowstorms may cause wind-related damage.

#### Location

Location refers to the geographic areas within the planning area that are affected by the hazard. Some hazards affect the entire planning area universally, while others apply to a specific portion, such as a floodplain or area that is susceptible to wild fires. Classifications are based on the area that would potentially be affected by the hazard, on the following scale:

Table 3-2: Location of Occurrence Rating Scale	
Classification	Percentage of Town Impacted
Large	More than 50% of the town affected
Medium	10 to 50% of the town affected
Isolated	Less than 10% of the town affected

#### Extent

Extent describes the strength or magnitude of a hazard. Where appropriate, extent is described using an established scientific scale or measurement system. Other descriptions of extent include water depth, wind speed, and duration.

#### Previous Occurrences

Previous hazard events that have occurred are described. Depending on the nature of the hazard, events listed may have occurred on a local, state-wide, or regional level.

### Probability of Future Events

The likelihood of a future event for each natural hazard was classified according to the following scale:

Table 3-3: Probability of Occurrence Rating Scale	
Classification	Probability of Future Events
Very High	Events that occur at least once each 1-2 years (50%-100% probability in the next year)
High	Events that occur from once in 2 years to once in 4 years (25%-50% probability in the next year)
Moderate	Events that occur from once in 5 years to once in 50 years (2%-25% probability in the next year)
Low	Events that occur from once in 50 years to once in 100 years (1-2% probability in the next year)
Very Low	Events that occur less frequently than once in 100 years (less than 1% probability in the next year)

### Impact

Impact refers to the effect that a hazard may have on the people and property in the community, based on the assessment of extent described previously. Impacts are classified according to the following scale:

Table 3-4: Impacts Rating Scale	
Classification	Magnitude of Multiple Impacts
Catastrophic	Multiple deaths and injuries possible. More than 50% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed. Complete shutdown of facilities for 30 days or more.
Critical	Multiple injuries possible. More than 25% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed. Complete shutdown of facilities for more than 1 week.
Limited	Minor injuries only. More than 10% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed. Complete shutdown of facilities for more than 1 day.
Minor	Very few injuries, if any. Only minor property damage and minimal disruption of quality of life. Temporary shutdown of facilities.

### Vulnerability

Based on the above metrics, a hazard vulnerability rating was determined for each hazard. The

hazard vulnerability ratings are based on a scale of 1 through 3 as follows:

- 1 – High risk
- 2 – Medium risk
- 3 – Low risk

The ranking is qualitative and is based, in part, on local knowledge of past experiences with each type of hazard, review of available data, and the work of the Team. The size and impacts of a natural hazard can be unpredictable. However, many of the mitigation strategies currently in place and many of those proposed for implementation can be applied to the expected natural hazards, regardless of their unpredictability. The hazards highlighted in Table 3-5 were also identified as high priority hazards during the 2020 Shutesbury MVP Workshop.

<b>Table 3-5: Shutesbury Hazard Identification and Risk Analysis</b>				
<b>Type of Hazard</b>	<b>Location of Occurrence</b>	<b>Probability of Future Events</b>	<b>Impact</b>	<b>Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating</b>
Flooding	Medium	High	Minor	2 - Medium
Severe Winter Storms	Large	Very High	Minor/Limited	2 - Medium
Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Large	High	Limited/Critical	2 - Medium
Severe Thunderstorms/ Wind/ Microbursts	Medium	Very High	Limited	1 - High
Tornadoes	Isolated	Moderate	Critical	2 - Medium
Wildfires	Large	High	Minor	2 - Medium
Earthquakes	Large	Low	Critical	2 - Medium
Dam Failure	Medium	Low	Limited	2 - Medium
Drought	Large	Very High	Minor/Limited	1 - High
Landslides	Isolated	Low	Limited	3- Low
Extreme Temperatures	Large	Very High	Minor	2 - Medium

**Table 3-5: Shutesbury Hazard Identification and Risk Analysis**

<b>Type of Hazard</b>	<b>Location of Occurrence</b>	<b>Probability of Future Events</b>	<b>Impact</b>	<b>Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating</b>
Invasive Species	Large	Very High	Minor	Low

The Team developed problem statements and/or a list of key issues for each hazard to summarize the vulnerability of Shutesbury’s structures, systems, populations and other community assets identified as vulnerable to damage and loss from a hazard event. These problem statements were used to identify Shutesbury’s greatest vulnerabilities, which will be addressed in the mitigation strategy (Section 4).

## 3.2 FLOODING

### Potential Effects of Climate Change


In Massachusetts, annual precipitation amounts have increased at a rate of over 1 inch per decade since the late 1800s, and are projected to continue to increase largely due to more intense precipitation events. The Northeast has experienced a greater increase in extreme precipitation events than the rest of the U.S. in the past several decades (Figure 3-1). Although overall precipitation is expected to increase as the climate warms, it will occur more in heavy, short intervals, with a greater potential for dry, drought conditions in between.

Observed average annual precipitation in Massachusetts between 1971-2000 was 47 inches. Total annual precipitation in Massachusetts is expected to increase between 2% to 13% by 2050, or by roughly 1 to 6 inches.

The Climate Data Grapher tool on the resilientMA website contains down-scaled climate data for Franklin County (discussed in Section 2) and for the Connecticut River Watershed, which includes part of Shutesbury (Shutesbury is also in the Chicopee River Basin). Observed annual precipitation over the last several decades (1970-2005) is approximately 45 inches. By 2050, the model predicts that 41 inches per year would be the minimum annual precipitation; the median (middle value of the model predictions) could be 48 inches/year with a maximum of 60 inches per year. In general, precipitation projections are more uncertain than temperature projections.<sup>12</sup>

An increase in stronger storms leads to more flooding and erosion. A shift to winter rains instead of snow will lead to more runoff, flooding, and greater storm damage along with less spring groundwater recharge. More frequent heavy precipitation events also lead to an increased risk for people who live along rivers or in their floodplains. Furthermore, residents who live outside the current flood zone could find themselves within it as the century




**Figure 3-1: Observed Change in Very Heavy Precipitation**



The northeast has seen a greater increase in heavy precipitation events than the rest of the country.  
*Source: updated from Karl et al. 2009, Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States.*

<sup>12</sup> Resilient MA, 2018, <http://resilientma.org/datagrapher/?c=Temp/county/pcpn/ANN/Connecticut/>

progresses. Figure 3-2 shows potential effects of climate change on flooding from the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.

Figure 3-2: Effects of Climate Change on Flooding		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → MORE INTENSE AND FREQUENT DOWNPOURS	More intense downpours often lead to inland flooding as soils become saturated and stop absorbing more water, river flows rise, and urban stormwater systems become overwhelmed. Flooding may occur as a result of heavy rainfall, snowmelt or coastal flooding associated with high wind and storm surge.
	EXTREME WEATHER → MORE FREQUENT SEVERE STORMS	Climate change is expected to result in an increased frequency of severe storm events. This would directly increase the frequency of flooding events, and could increase the chance that subsequent precipitation will cause flooding if water stages are still elevated.
	CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → EPISODIC DROUGHTS	Vegetated ground cover has been shown to significantly reduce runoff. If drought causes vegetation to die off, this flood-mitigating capacity is diminished.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

### Hazard Description

Nationally, inland flooding causes more damage annually than any other severe weather event (U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit, 2017). Between 2007 and 2014, the average annual cost of flood damages in Massachusetts was more than \$9.1 million (NOAA, 2014). Flooding is the result of moderate precipitation over several days, intense precipitation over a short period, or melting snowpack (U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit, 2017). Developed, impervious areas can contribute to and exacerbate flooding by concentrating and channeling stormwater runoff into nearby waterbodies. Increases in precipitation and extreme storm events from climate change are already resulting in increased flooding. Common types of flooding are described in the following subsections.

### Riverine Flooding

Riverine flooding often occurs after heavy rain. Areas with high slopes and minimal soil cover (such as found in many areas of Franklin County) are particularly susceptible to flash flooding caused by rapid runoff that occurs in heavy precipitation events and in combination with spring snowmelt, which can contribute to riverine flooding. Frozen ground conditions can also contribute to low rainfall infiltration and high runoff events that may result in riverine flooding. Some of the worst riverine flooding in Massachusetts' history occurred as a result of strong nor'easters and tropical storms in which snowmelt was not a factor. Tropical storms can produce very high rainfall rates and volumes of rain that can generate high runoff when soil infiltration rates are exceeded. Inland flooding in Massachusetts is forecast and classified by the National Weather Service's (NWS) Northeast River Forecast Center as minor, moderate, or

severe based upon the types of impacts that occur. Minor flooding is considered a “nuisance only” degree of flooding that causes impacts such as road closures and flooding of recreational areas and farmland. Moderate flooding can involve land with structures becoming inundated. Major flooding is a widespread, life-threatening event. River forecasts are made at many locations in the state where there are United States Geological Survey (USGS) river gauges that have established flood elevations and levels corresponding to each of the degrees of flooding.

- Overbank flooding occurs when water in rivers and streams flows into the surrounding floodplain or into “any area of land susceptible to being inundated by floodwaters from any source,” according to FEMA.
- Flash floods are characterized by “rapid and extreme flow of high water into a normally dry area, or a rapid rise in a stream or creek above a predetermined flood level,” according to FEMA.

### ***Fluvial Erosion***

Fluvial erosion is the process in which the river undercuts a bank, usually on the outside bend of a meander, causing sloughing and collapse of the riverbank. Fluvial erosion can also include scouring and down-cutting of the stream bottom, which can be a problem around bridge piers and abutments. In hillier terrain where streams may lack a floodplain, fluvial erosion may cause more property damage than inundation. Furthermore, fluvial erosion can often occur in areas that are not part of the 100- or 500-year floodplain.

Fluvial erosion hazard (FEH) zones are mapped areas along rivers and streams that are susceptible to bank erosion caused by flash flooding. Any area within a mapped FEH zone is considered susceptible to bank erosion during a single severe flood or after many years of slow channel migration. As noted above, while the areas of the FEH zones often overlap with areas mapped within the 100-year floodplain on Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) or Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBM)s, the FIRMs or FHBM)s only show areas that are likely to be inundated by floodwaters that overtop the riverbanks during a severe flood. However, much flood-related property damage and injuries is the result of bank erosion that can undermine roads, bridges, building foundations and other infrastructure. Consequently, FEH zones are sometimes outside of the 100-year floodplain shown on FIRMs or FHBM)s. FEH zones can be mapped using fluvial geomorphic assessment data as well as historic data on past flood events. Both the FIRMs and FEH maps should be used in concert to understand and avoid both inundation and erosion hazards, respectively.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> *Ammonoosuc River Fluvial Erosion Hazard Map for Littleton, NH*. Field Geology Services, 2010.

### ***Urban Drainage Flooding***

Urban drainage flooding entails floods caused by increased water runoff due to urban development and drainage systems that are not capable of conveying high flows. Drainage systems are designed to remove surface water from developed areas as quickly as possible to prevent localized flooding on streets and other urban areas. They make use of a closed conveyance system that channels water away from an urban area to surrounding streams, bypassing natural processes of water infiltration into the ground, groundwater storage, and evapotranspiration (plant water uptake and respiration). Since drainage systems reduce the amount of time the surface water takes to reach surrounding streams, flooding can occur more quickly and reach greater depths than if there were no urban development at all. In urban areas, basement, roadway, and infrastructure flooding can result in significant damage due to poor or insufficient stormwater drainage.

### ***Ground Failures***

Flooding and flood-related erosion can result from various types of ground failures, which include mud floods and mudflows, and to a much lesser degree, subsidence, liquefaction, and fluvial erosion (discussed above).

Mud floods are floods that carry large amounts of sediment, which can at times exceed 50 percent of the mass of the flood, and often occur in drainage channels and adjacent to mountainous areas. Mudflows are a specific type of landslide that contains large amounts of water and can carry debris as large as boulders. Both mudflows and mud floods result from rain falling on exposed terrain, such as terrain impacted by wildfires or logging. Mud floods and mudflows can lead to large sediment deposits in drainage channels. In addition to causing damage, these events can exacerbate subsequent flooding by filling in rivers and streams.

Subsidence is the process where the ground surface is lowered from natural processes, such as consolidation of subsurface materials and movements in the Earth's crust, or from human made activities, such as mining, inadequate fill after construction activity, and oil or water extraction. When ground subsides, it can lead to flooding by exposing low-lying areas to groundwater, tides, storm surges, and areas with a high likelihood of overbank flooding.

Liquefaction, or when water-laden sediment behaves like a liquid during an earthquake, can result in floods of saturated soil, debris, and water if it occurs on slopes. Floods from liquefaction are especially common near very steep slopes.

### ***Ice Jams***



An ice jam is an accumulation of ice that acts as a natural dam and restricts the flow of a body of water. There are two types of ice jams: a freeze-up jam and a breakup jam. A freeze-up jam usually occurs in early winter to midwinter during extremely cold weather when super-cooled water and ice formations extend to nearly the entire depth of the river channel. This type of jam can act as a dam and begin to back up the flowing water behind it. The second type, a breakup jam, forms as a result of the breakup of the ice cover at ice-out, causing large pieces of ice to move downstream, potentially piling up at culverts, around bridge abutments, and at curves in river channels. Breakup ice jams occur when warm temperatures and heavy rains cause rapid snowmelt. The melting snow, combined with the heavy rain, causes frozen rivers to swell. The rising water breaks the ice layers into large chunks, which float downstream and often pile up near narrow passages and obstructions (bridges and dams). Ice jams may build up to a thickness great enough to raise the water level and cause flooding upstream of the obstruction. The Ice Jam Database, maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory currently consists of more than 18,000 records from across the U.S. Shutesbury does not currently have issues with ice jams.

### ***Dam Failure***

A dam is an artificial barrier that has the ability to impound water, wastewater, or any liquid-borne material for the purpose of storage or control of water. There are two primary types of dam failure: catastrophic failure, characterized by the sudden, rapid, and uncontrolled release of impounded water, and design failure, which occurs as a result of minor overflow events. Dam overtopping is caused by floods that exceed the capacity of the dam, and it can occur as a result of inadequate spillway design, settlement of the dam crest, blockage of spillways, and other factors. Overtopping accounts for 34 percent of all dam failures in the U.S.

There are a number of ways in which climate change could alter the flow behavior of a river, causing conditions to deviate from what the dam was designed to handle. For example, more extreme precipitation events could increase the frequency of intentional discharges. Many other climate impacts—including shifts in seasonal and geographic rainfall patterns—could also cause the flow behavior of rivers to deviate from previous hydrographs. When flows are greater than expected, spillway overflow events (often referred to as “design failures”) can occur. These overflows result in increased discharges downstream and increased flooding potential. Therefore, although climate change will not increase the probability of catastrophic dam failure, it may increase the probability of design failures.

The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) identifies eight dams in Shutesbury. The Baker Reservoir Dam, Atkins Reservoir Dike, Horst Mill Pond Dam, and Ames

Lower Pond and Ames Upper Pond dams are all identified as having a low hazard or unrated. The Lake Wyola, Atkins Reservoir, and Dudleyville Pond Dams are all considered high hazard dams by the CEMP, meaning in the latter case that failure will likely cause loss of life or serious damage to property and infrastructure.

The outflows of this dam is located close to Shutesbury's western border with Leverett. Inundation mapping of these two locations show that a number of Leverett residents would be impacted by the failure of the Lake Wyola Dam. The five occupied homes in Shutesbury below the dam would likely experience minimal flooding based on their elevation. The Town of Leverett has created an evacuation plan for residents in the inundation zone, and has set up a Robocall list of these residents to quickly alert them in the event of a dam failure. The Lake Wyola Advisory Committee is responsible for the inspection, care, and improvement of the Lake Wyola Dam. An inundation map for Lake Wyola covering the towns of Shutesbury and Leverett can be found in Appendix C: Lake Wyola and McAvoy Pond Inundation Mapping. The Atkins Reservoir Dam is on Shutesbury's western border with Amherst. The Town of Amherst is responsible for the Atkins Reservoir Dam; the Town of Shutesbury is in possession of the dam's Emergency Action Plan. Despite being high-hazard, these two dams are in good condition and considered low risk for a dam failure event.

Impacts and Shutesbury's vulnerability to dam failure is discussed in more detail in the Dam Failure section of this plan.

### ***Additional Causes of Flooding***

Additional causes of flooding include beaver dams or levee failure. Beaver dams obstruct the flow of water and cause water levels to rise. Significant downstream flooding can occur if beaver dams break.

### ***Floodplains***

Floodplains by nature are vulnerable to inland flooding. Floodplains are the low, flat, and periodically flooded lands adjacent to rivers, lakes, and oceans. These areas are subject to geomorphic (land-shaping) and hydrologic (water flow) processes. Floodplains may be broad, as when a river crosses an extensive flat landscape, or narrow, as when a river is confined in a canyon. These areas form a complex physical and biological system that not only supports a variety of natural resources, but also provides natural flood storage and erosion control. When a river is separated from its floodplain by levees and other flood control facilities, these natural benefits are lost, altered, or significantly reduced. When floodwaters recede after a flood event, they leave behind layers of rock and mud. These gradually build up to create a new floor of the floodplain. Floodplains generally contain unconsolidated sediments known as alluvium

(accumulations of sand, gravel, loam, silt, and/or clay), often extending below the bed of the stream. These sediments provide a natural filtering system, with water percolating back into the ground and replenishing groundwater supplies.

Flooding is a natural and important part of wetland ecosystems that form along rivers and streams. Floodplains can support ecosystems that are rich in plant and animal species. Wetting the floodplain soil releases an immediate surge of nutrients from the rapid decomposition of organic matter that has accumulated over time. When this occurs, microscopic organisms thrive and larger species enter a rapid breeding cycle. Opportunistic feeders (particularly fish or birds) often utilize the increased food supply. The production of nutrients peaks and falls away quickly, but the surge of new growth that results endures for some time. Species growing in floodplains are markedly different from those that grow outside floodplains. For instance, riparian trees (trees that grow in floodplains) tend to be very tolerant of root disturbance and grow quickly in comparison to non-riparian trees.

### **Location**

A floodplain is the relatively flat, lowland area adjacent to a river, lake or stream. Floodplains serve an important function, acting like large “sponges” to absorb and slowly release floodwaters back to surface waters and groundwater. Over time, sediments that are deposited in floodplains develop into fertile, productive farmland like that found in the Connecticut River valley. In the past, floodplain areas were also often seen as prime locations for development. Industries were located on the banks of rivers for access to hydropower. Residential and commercial development occurred in floodplains because of their scenic qualities and proximity to the water, and because these areas were easier to develop than the hilly, rocky terrain characteristic of many towns in the county. Although periodic flooding of a floodplain area is a natural occurrence, past and current development and alteration of these areas can result in flooding that is a costly and frequent hazard.

Franklin County has several major rivers and numerous tributaries which are susceptible to flood events. The major rivers in the region include the Connecticut, the Deerfield, and the Millers, none of which run through Shutesbury. There is a USGS gauges on the West Branch of the Swift River in Shutesbury.

Flooding poses a significant threat to life and public health and can cause severe property damage. In Shutesbury, the 100-year floodplain covers about 233 acres, or approximately 1.35 percent of the town, including an estimated 7.4 acres of developed residential land.<sup>14</sup> The

---

<sup>14</sup> 2005 MassGIS land use data.

Shutesbury Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), dated June 18, 1980 identifies the Special Flood Hazard Areas in Shutesbury, also known as the 100-year floodplain, shown as Zones A and A1:

- Atkins Reservoir (A)
- West Branch of the Swift River from the Wendell border to its junction with Mount Mineral Road (limit of study) (A)
- Lake Wyola (A1)
- Dudley Marsh (A)

The National Flood Insurance Program has identified the following areas as 500-year floodplains (Zone B):

- Nurse Brook
- Unnamed tributary to Nurse Brook south of Atkins Reservoir
- Baker Reservoir, tributary north of reservoir, and area south of reservoir
- Tyler Brook
- Ames Pond
- South Brook
- Areas around Lake Wyola
- Forested wetland west of Ross Road
- Forested wetland northeast of Montague Road

Upland areas and the small tributary streams that drain them are particularly vulnerable to impacts from development, which can increase the amount of flooding downstream. These areas are critical for absorbing, infiltrating, and slowing the flow of stormwater. When these areas are left in a natural vegetated state (forested or forested floodplain), they act as “green infrastructure,” providing flood storage and mitigation through natural processes.

Fragmentation and development in upland areas, including roads which commonly were built along stream and river corridors, can alter this natural process and result in increased amounts of stormwater runoff into streams. For example, the channels of many of these streams were altered centuries ago as a result of widespread deforestation for agriculture and lumber. The many small mills that used to dot the landscape built dams on the streams to generate power. Many of these streams are still unstable and flashy during storm events, generating high volumes of runoff and transporting sediment to the lower, flatter reaches of the watershed.

In addition, stressors to forests such as drought, extreme weather, and invasive species, can result in the loss of forest cover in upland areas. In particular, coldwater streams shaded by dense hemlock stands are particularly vulnerable due to the hemlock woolly adelgid that is causing widespread mortality of these trees in the region.

### ***Town Roads, Bridges and Culverts***

There are a number of areas throughout Shutesbury that are prone to localized flooding. The Team and participants in the 2020 Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Workshop identify that there are several gravel roads in Town with poor drainage that can quickly become impassible, or roads adjacent to wetlands where excess water has nowhere to flow except into the road. Roads identified as being particularly prone to flooding include:

- Roads along Lake Wyola
- Cooleyville Road
- Montague Road in multiple locations
- Sandhill Road
- Pratt Corner Road
- Baker Road

Uncontrolled runoff from 97 acres of sub-watershed on the eastern and northeastern slopes of Morse Hill is currently contributing to erosion problems along Locks Pond Road and within Lake Wyola Association properties and roadways located along the western side of the lake. This drainage threatens to compromise septic system soil absorption fields in locations that are already taxed by high-groundwater conditions and small lot sizes and may cause sediment deposition and re-suspension in Lake Wyola at areas adjacent to storm drainage outfalls. In January 2007, Scott Campbell, an engineer with DCR, evaluated stormwater issues on the western side of the lake and created a draft report listing some suggested improvements and mitigating measures. The report, titled *Locks Pond Road and Lake Wyola Subwatershed Stormwater Improvement Study, Shutesbury, Massachusetts*, recommended the use of water bars, asphalt berms, bioretention areas, extended detention ponds, rain gardens, leaching basins, and rain barrels (to control roof runoff). The report also recommended changing road cross-sections to increase crowns, stabilizing roadside ditches with turf-reinforced, mat-linings, and increasing channel cross-section widths to allow conveyance of greater flows.

### ***Beaver Dams***

Impoundments and landscape changes caused by beavers are occurring throughout Shutesbury. Specific areas where beaver activity is known and/or having an impact include Town Farm Road, Pelham Hill Road, Cooleyville Road, Lake Wyola, and the inlet to Fiske Pond. Beaver dams are also known to be forming wetlands throughout Shutesbury, which can be a risk to wells depending on the location. Regular monitoring of beaver dams and their condition is important for mitigating the risk of downstream flooding in case of a failure. Beaver dams in Shutesbury are further discussed in the dam failure section.

Based on these locations, flooding has a Medium area of occurrence, with 10 to 50% percent of the town affected.

### **Extent**

The principal factors affecting the strength and magnitude of flood damage are flood depth and velocity. The deeper and faster that flood flows become, the more damage they can cause. Shallow flooding with high velocities can cause as much damage as deep flooding with slow velocity. This is especially true when a channel migrates over a broad floodplain, redirecting high-velocity flows and transporting debris and sediment.

The frequency and severity of flooding are measured using a discharge probability, which is the probability that a certain river discharge (flow) will be equaled or exceeded in a given year. Flood studies use historical records to determine the probability of occurrence for the different discharge levels. The flood frequency equals 100 divided by the discharge probability. For example, the 100-year discharge (discussed further in the following subsection) has a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The “annual flood” is the greatest flood event expected to occur in a typical year. These measurements reflect statistical averages only; it is possible for two or more floods with a 100-year or higher recurrence interval to occur in a short time period. The same flood can have different recurrence intervals at different points on a river.

Floods can be classified as one of two types: flash floods and general floods.

### ***Flash Floods***

Flash floods are the product of heavy, localized precipitation in a short time period over a given location. Flash flooding events typically occur within minutes or hours after a period of heavy precipitation, after a dam or levee failure, or from a sudden release of water from an ice jam. Most often, flash flooding is the result of a slow-moving thunderstorm or the heavy rains from a hurricane. In rural areas, flash flooding often occurs when small streams spill over their banks. However, in urbanized areas, flash flooding is often the result of clogged storm drains (leaves and other debris) and the higher amount of impervious surface area (roadways, parking lots, rooftops).


### ***General Floods***

General flooding may last for several days or weeks and are caused by precipitation over a longer time period in a particular river basin. Excessive precipitation within a watershed of a stream or river can result in flooding particularly when development in the floodplain has

obstructed the natural flow of the water and/or decreased the natural ability of the groundcover to absorb and retain surface water runoff (e.g., the loss of wetlands and the higher amounts of impervious surface area in urban areas).

Flood flows in Massachusetts are measured at numerous USGS stream gauges. The gauges operate routinely, but particular care is taken to measure flows during flood events to calibrate the stage-discharge relationships at each location and to document actual flood conditions. In the aftermath of a flood event, the USGS will typically determine the recurrence interval of the event using data from a gauge's period of historical record. Figure 3-3 shows the four highest recorded peak flooding events on the West Branch of the Swift River in Shutesbury, as well as the highest flow event in the last 365 days.

**Figure 3-3: Highest Recorded Flood Events on the West Branch of the Swift River, Shutesbury, MA**



Source: USGS WaterWatch [https://waterwatch.usgs.gov/?id=wwchart\\_ftc&site\\_no=01174565](https://waterwatch.usgs.gov/?id=wwchart_ftc&site_no=01174565)

### The 100-Year Flood

The 100-year flood is the flood that has a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded each year. The 100-year flood is the standard used by most federal and state agencies. For example, it is used by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) to guide floodplain management and determine the need for flood insurance.

The extent of flooding associated with a 1 percent annual probability of occurrence (the base flood or 100-year flood) is called the 100-year floodplain, which is used as the regulatory boundary by many agencies. Also referred to as the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), this boundary is a convenient tool for assessing vulnerability and risk in flood-prone communities. Many communities have maps that show the extent and likely depth of flooding for the base flood. This extent generally includes both the stream channel and the flood fringe, which is the stream-adjacent area that will be inundated during a 100-year (or 1 percent annual chance)



flood event but does not effectively convey floodwaters.

### The 500-Year Flood

The term “500-year flood” is the flood that has a 0.2 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded each year. Flood insurance purchases are not required by the Federal Government in the 500-year floodplain, but could be required by individual lenders.

### **Secondary Hazards**

The most problematic secondary hazards for flooding are fluvial erosion, riverbank erosion, and landslides affecting infrastructure and other assets (e.g., agricultural fields) built within historic floodplains. Without the space required along river corridors for natural physical adjustment, such changes in rivers after flood events can be more harmful than the actual flooding. For instance, fluvial erosion attributed to Hurricane Irene caused an excess of \$23 million in damages along Route 2. The impacts from these secondary hazards are especially prevalent in the upper courses of rivers with steep gradients, where floodwaters may pass quickly and without much damage, but scour the banks, edging buildings, and structures closer to the river channel or cause them to fall in. Landslides can occur following flood events when high flows oversaturate soils on steep slopes, causing them to fail.

These secondary hazards also affect infrastructure. Roadways and bridges are impacted when floods undermine or wash out supporting structures. Railroad tracks may be impacted, potentially causing a train derailment, which could result in the release of hazardous materials into the environment and nearby waterways. Dams may fail or be damaged, compounding the flood hazard for downstream communities. Failure of wastewater treatment plants from overflow or overtopping of hazardous material tanks and the dislodging of hazardous waste containers can occur during floods as well, releasing untreated wastewater or hazardous materials directly into storm sewers, rivers, or the ocean. Flooding can also impact public water supplies and the power grid.

### **Previous Occurrences**

The average annual precipitation for Shutesbury and surrounding areas in western Massachusetts is 48 inches. Between 1996 and 2020, 17 flash floods have been reported in Franklin County (Table 3-6), resulting in \$3,245,000 in property damages.

<b>Table 3-6: Previous Occurrences of Flash Floods in Franklin County</b>			
<b>Year</b>	<b># of Flash Flood Events</b>	<b>Annual Property Damage</b>	<b>Annual Crop Damage</b>
1996	4	\$1,800,000	\$0

1998	1	\$75,000	\$0
2000	1	\$0	\$0
2003	1	\$10,000	\$0
2004	1	\$10,000	\$0
2005	3	\$1,235,000	\$0
2013	3	\$65,000	\$0
2014	2	\$50,000	\$0
2017	1	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$3,245,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Storm Events Database:

<https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

From 1996 to 2018, 44 flood events were reported in Franklin County, resulting in total property damages worth \$25,582,000 (Table 3-7). The bulk of these damages (\$22,275,000) were from Tropical Storm Irene in August, 2011.

<b>Table 3-7: Previous Occurrences of Floods in Franklin County</b>			
<b>Year</b>	<b># of Flood Events</b>	<b>Annual Property Damage</b>	<b>Annual Crop Damage</b>
1996	7	\$0	\$0
1998	3	\$0	\$0
2001	1	\$0	\$0
2004	1	\$0	\$0
2005	2	\$2,600,000	\$0
2007	1	\$250,000	\$0
2008	3	\$38,000	\$0
2010	1	\$150,000	\$0
2011	8	\$22,375,000	\$0
2012	2	\$0	\$0
2015	10	\$31,000	\$0
2017	1	\$1,000	\$0
2018	4	\$137,000	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>\$25,582,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Storm Events Database:

<https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

Table 3-8 is an inventory of five flood events with significant impacts to Shutesbury. This information was taken from NOAA data that is current through 2020. Event details describe extensive street flooding and impassibility, road washouts, and property damage.

**Table 3-8: Flooding Events in Shutesbury**

Date	Location	Type	Recorded Property Damages
6/8/1996	Eastern FC	Flash Flood	Heavy rain accompanied thunderstorms in Franklin and Hampden Counties and roads were reported flooded in Erving, Deerfield, and Northfield. The Sawmill River and Roaring Brook went over their banks.
6/13/1996	Shutesbury, Leverett & Montague	Flash flood	Thunderstorms with torrential downpours produced a flash flood, which resulted in the worst flooding in 100 years of records on the Sawmill River. A reported 5-7 inches of rain drenched the Lake Wyola while the Town Center remained basically dry. More than 30 miles of roadway in the area were destroyed. Numerous homes and businesses experienced some degree of flood damage. Both Leverett and Shutesbury declared states of emergency. <sup>15</sup> The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency has estimated damage from this flood at close to \$2 million. Local residents who have lived in the area for many years said this was the worst flooding they had witnessed since the Great New England Hurricane of 1938. A few thought that it exceeded even that historical flood event.
9/17/1999	All Franklin County	Flood from Hurricane Floyd	The total damage costs from this storm are not known.
10/9/2005	All Franklin County	Flood from Tropical Storm Tammy	
3/7/2011			Shutesbury received 2-5 inches of rain on top of melting snow, flooding tributaries. Cooleyville Road in Shutesbury was closed. Cushman Road, Boathill Road, and Route 63 at Depot Road in Leverett were closed due to flooding.
8/27/2011	Shutesbury	Flood from Tropical Storm Irene	Parts of Shutesbury lost power (though likely due to indirect causes) and a number of culvert failures washed roads out, namely Montague and Cooleyville Roads. The total damage costs from this storm are not known.

Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Storm Events Database:  
<https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

Generally, officials in Shutesbury reported that they were very lucky and were not impacted as hard as surrounding towns by Tropical Storm Irene. The Town experienced a limited power loss after the storm, but emergency response officials indicated that this was most likely a result of

<sup>15</sup> "FEMA rejects disaster bid," Daily Hampshire Gazette, June 21, 1996.

power company efforts to restore power to other towns that were more seriously impacted by the storm. Emergency response officials had management experience from dealing with the December 2008 ice storm and they monitored the situation throughout the storm. Water levels at the Wyola Dam were managed through multiple adjustments to the gates. The flow monitor of the West Branch of the Swift River peaked out at 1000 CFS, and officials estimated that Lake Wyola got about half of that amount. Trees were reported down around Town, including on Pratt Corner Road. The old stone culvert at the Baker Reservoir has a history of being over-capacity and was overrun during the tropical storm. As a result, the Baker Reservoir started overtopping the earthen retaining wall. The Camel Brook culvert on Cooleyville Road also washed out, which the Highway Department Superintendent reported occurred 8-10 times between 1999 and 2011, including two years in a row in 2010 and 2011. Because of this history, the culvert was replaced with a larger culvert in 2012 to address the ongoing flood concerns. The bridges on Cooleyville Road at the Shutesbury/New Salem Town Line were also replaced, due to advanced rot in the timbers and planking.

The big impact of the storm was the failure of a Montague Road culvert that caused the road to wash out. The culvert was later replaced with a larger one with a flat bottom and an arch at the top under difficult circumstances as the flooding from the storm continued. Mutual aid from the Town of Leverett was extremely helpful in addressing this problem. An emergency passage for 4-wheel drive vehicles only was cut through Carver Road due to 80 households being stranded until emergency access could be gained through Montague Road again.

### **Probability of Future Events**

Based on previous occurrences, the frequency of occurrence of flooding events in Shutesbury is High with a 25 to 50% percent probability in any given year. Flooding frequencies for the various floodplains in Shutesbury are defined by FEMA as the following:

- 10-year floodplain – 10 percent chance of flooding in any given year
- 25-year floodplain – 2.5 percent chance of flooding in any given year
- 100-year floodplain – 1 percent chance of flooding in any given year
- 500-year floodplain – 0.2 percent chance of flooding in any given year

Of all the regions in the United States, the Northeast has seen the most dramatic increase in the intensity of rainfall events. The U.S. National Climate Assessment reports that between 1958 and 2010, the Northeast saw more than a 70% increase in the amount of precipitation falling in very heavy events (defined as the heaviest 1% of all daily events). Climate projections for Massachusetts, developed by the University of Massachusetts, suggest that the frequency of high-intensity rainfall events will continue to trend upward, and the result will be an increased risk of flooding. Specifically, the annual frequency of downpours releasing more than two

inches of rain per day in Massachusetts may climb from less than 1 day per year to approximately 0.9-1.5 days by 2100. Events which release over one inch during a day could climb to as high as 8-11 days per year by 2100. A single intense downpour can cause flooding and widespread damage to property and critical infrastructure. While the coastal areas in Massachusetts will experience the greatest increase in high-intensity rainfall days, some level of increase will occur in every area of Massachusetts, including Shutesbury.<sup>16</sup>

### **Impact**

Flooding can cause a wide range of issues, from minor nuisance roadway flooding and basement flooding to major impacts such as roadway closures. Specific damages associated with flooding events include the following primary concerns:

- Blockages of roadways or bridges vital to travel and emergency response
- Breaching of dams
- Damaged or destroyed buildings and vehicles
- Uprooted trees causing power and utility outages
- Drowning, especially people trapped in cars
- Contamination of drinking water
- Dispersion of hazardous materials
- Interruption of communications and/or transportation systems, including train derailments

The impact of a flood event could be Minor in Shutesbury, with only minor property damage in the affected area, and possible shutdown of facilities (roads, bridges, critical facilities) for more than one week.

### **Vulnerability**

#### ***Society***

The impact of flooding on life, health, and safety is dependent upon several factors, including the severity of the event and whether or not adequate warning time is provided to residents. Populations living in or near floodplain areas may be impacted during a flood event. People traveling in flooded areas and those living in urban areas with poor stormwater drainage may be exposed to floodwater. People may also be impacted when transportation infrastructure is compromised from flooding.

---

<sup>16</sup> ResilientMA: Climate Change Clearing House for the Commonwealth: <http://resilientma.org/changes/changes-in-precipitation>. Accessed December 13, 2018.

According to the 1980 FEMA FIRM, 233 acres in Shutesbury lie within the 100-year floodplain. However, the 1980 map includes lakes, ponds, and reservoirs (excluding the Quabbin Reservoir). Therefore, some portion of the 233 floodplain acres is actually open water, not floodplain. According to 2005 MassGIS Land Use data there are 27 dwellings located in the floodplain (Table 3-9). It is likely that most of these households are around Lake Wyola, where parcel size is smaller and housing more dense. Using this number and Shutesbury’s estimated average household size, it is estimated that 64 people, or 3.6% of Shutesbury’s total population, reside in the floodplain.

<b>Table 3-9: Estimated Shutesbury Population Exposed to a 1 Percent Flood Event</b>				
<b>Total Population</b>	<b># of Dwelling Units in Flood Hazard Area</b>	<b>Average # of People Per Household</b>	<b>Estimated Population in Flood Hazard Area</b>	<b>% of Total Population in Flood Hazard Area</b>
1,755	27	2.37	64	3.6%

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates; 2005 MassGIS Land Use data.

Vulnerable Populations

Of the population exposed, the most vulnerable include people with low socioeconomic status, people over the age of 65, young children, people with medical needs, and those with low English language fluency. For example, people with low socioeconomic status are more vulnerable because they are likely to consider the economic impacts of evacuation when deciding whether or not to evacuate. The population over the age of 65 is also more vulnerable because some of these individuals are more likely to seek or need medical attention because they may have more difficulty evacuating or the medical facility may be flooded. Those who have low English language fluency may not receive or understand the warnings to evacuate. Vulnerable populations may also be less likely to have adequate resources to recover from the loss of their homes and jobs.

Table 3-10 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a flood event.

<b>Table 3-10: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury</b>		
<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>

<b>Table 3-10: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury</b>		
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

Populations that live or work in proximity to facilities that use or store toxic substances are at greater risk of exposure to these substances during a flood event. There are four hazardous facilities in Shutesbury where hazardous chemicals are stored (see Table 3-41 Human made Hazards section).

Health Impacts

The total number of injuries and casualties resulting from typical riverine flooding is generally limited due to advance weather forecasting, blockades, and warnings. The historical record from 1996 to 2020 indicates that there have been no fatalities or injuries associated with flooding or flash flooding events in Shutesbury. However, flooding can result in direct mortality to individuals in the flood zone. This hazard is particularly dangerous because even a relatively low-level flood can be more hazardous than many residents realize. For example, while 6 inches of moving water can cause adults to fall, 1 foot to 2 feet of water can sweep cars away. Downed powerlines, sharp objects in the water, or fast-moving debris that may be moving in or near the water all present an immediate danger to individuals in the flood zone.

Events that cause loss of electricity and flooding in basements, where heating systems are typically located in Massachusetts homes, increase the risk of carbon monoxide poisoning. Carbon monoxide results from improper location and operation of cooking and heating devices (grills, stoves), damaged chimneys, or generators. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), floodwater often contains a wide range of infectious organisms from raw sewage. These organisms include intestinal bacteria, MRSA (methicillin-resistant

staphylococcus aureus), strains of hepatitis, and agents of typhoid, paratyphoid, and tetanus (OSHA, 2005). Floodwaters may also contain agricultural or industrial chemicals and hazardous materials swept away from containment areas.

Individuals who evacuate and move to crowded shelters to escape the storm may face the additional risk of contagious disease; however, seeking shelter from storm events when advised is considered far safer than remaining in threatened areas. Individuals with pre-existing health conditions are also at risk if flood events (or related evacuations) render them unable to access medical support. Flooded streets and roadblocks can also make it difficult for emergency vehicles to respond to calls for service, particularly in rural areas.

Flood events can also have significant impacts after the initial event has passed. For example, flooded areas that do not drain properly can become breeding grounds for mosquitos, which can transmit vector-borne diseases. Exposure to mosquitos may also increase if individuals are outside of their homes for longer than usual as a result of power outages or other flood-related conditions. Finally, the growth of mold inside buildings is often widespread after a flood. Investigations following Hurricane Katrina and Superstorm Sandy found mold in the walls of many water-damaged homes and buildings. Mold can result in allergic reactions and can exacerbate existing respiratory diseases, including asthma (CDC, 2004). Property damage and displacement of homes and businesses can lead to loss of livelihood and long-term mental stress for those facing relocation. Individuals may develop post-traumatic stress, anxiety, and depression following major flooding events (Neria et al., 2008).

### Economic Impacts

Economic losses due to a flood include, but are not limited to, damages to buildings (and their contents) and infrastructure, agricultural losses, business interruptions (including loss of wages), impacts on tourism, and impacts on the tax base. Flooding can also cause extensive damage to public utilities and disruptions to the delivery of services. Loss of power and communications may occur, and drinking water and wastewater treatment facilities may be temporarily out of operation. Flooding can shut down major roadways and disrupt public transit systems, making it difficult or impossible for people to get to work. Floodwaters can wash out sections of roadway and bridges, and the removal and disposal of debris can also be an enormous cost during the recovery phase of a flood event. Agricultural impacts range from crop and infrastructure damage to loss of livestock. Extreme precipitation events may result in crop failure, inability to harvest, rot, and increases in crop pests and disease. In addition to having a detrimental effect on water quality and soil health and stability, these impacts can result in increased reliance on crop insurance claims.



Damages to buildings can affect a community’s economy and tax base; the following section includes an analysis of buildings in Shutesbury that are vulnerable to flooding and their associated value.

**Infrastructure**

Buildings, infrastructure, and other elements of the built environment are vulnerable to inland flooding. At the site scale, buildings that are not elevated or flood-proofed and those located within the floodplain are highly vulnerable to inland flooding. These buildings are likely to become increasingly vulnerable as riverine flooding increases due to climate change (resilient MA, 2018). At a neighborhood to regional scale, highly developed areas and areas with high impervious surface coverage may be most vulnerable to flooding. Even moderate development that results in as little as 3 percent impervious cover can lead to flashier flows and river degradation, including channel deepening, widening, and instability (Vietz and Hawley, 2016).

Additionally, changes in precipitation will threaten key infrastructure assets with flood and water damage. Climate change has the potential to impact public and private services and business operations. Damage associated with flooding to business facilities, large manufacturing areas in river valleys, energy delivery and transmission, and transportation systems has economic implications for business owners as well as the state’s economy in general (resilient MA, 2018). Flooding can cause direct damage to Town-owned facilities and result in roadblocks and inaccessible streets that impact the ability of public safety and emergency vehicles to respond to calls for service.

Table 3-11 shows the amount of commercial, industrial, and public/institutional land uses located in town and within the floodplain. No commercial, public/institutional, or industrial land uses lie within the floodplain.

<b>Table 3-11: Acres of Commercial, Industrial, and Public/Institutional Land Use Within the Flood Hazard Area in Shutesbury</b>			
<b>Land Use</b>	<b>Total acres in Town</b>	<b>Acres in Flood Hazard Area</b>	<b>% of total acres in Flood Hazard Area</b>
<b>Commercial</b>	2.9	0	0%
<b>Industrial</b>	9.6	0	0%
<b>Public/Institutional</b>	13.32	0	0%

Source: 2005 MassGIS Land Use data.

The average assessed values of the residential, commercial, and industrial land uses located

within the floodplain are displayed in Table 3-12. The total average assessed value for these three land uses within the floodplain is \$3,715,233. This is of concern because should a catastrophic flooding event befall Leverett, the assessed values of these structures and facilities would likely be significantly reduced, which in turn would impact the town’s tax revenues.

<b>Table 3-12: Average Assessed Value of Land Use in Flood Hazard Area, FY2021</b>					
<b>Land Use</b>	<b>Total Acres in Town</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value</b>	<b>Average Assessed Value Per Acre</b>	<b>Acres in Flood Hazard Area</b>	<b>Average Assessed Value in Flood Hazard Area</b>
<b>Residential</b>	424.6	\$213,174,059	\$502,059	7.4	\$3,715,233
<b>Commercial</b>	2.9	\$1,786,981	\$616,200	0	\$0
<b>Industrial</b>	9.6	\$540,610	\$56,314	0	\$0

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section 2021; 2005 MassGIS Land Use data.

NFIP data are useful for determining the location of areas vulnerable to flood and severe storm hazards. Table 3-13 summarizes the NFIP policies, claims, repetitive loss (RL) properties, and severe repetitive loss (SRL) properties in Shutesbury associated with all flood events as of December 2018. A RL property is a property for which two or more flood insurance claims of more than \$1,000 have been paid by the NFIP within any 10-year period since 1978. A SRL property is defined as one that “has incurred flood-related damage for which 4 or more separate claims payments have been paid under flood insurance coverage, with the amount of each claim payment exceeding \$5,000 and with cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000; or for which at least 2 separate claims payments have been made with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the reported value of the property” (FEMA).

As of December 2018 Shutesbury had two policies in force with a total insurance in force of \$525,000 and no losses had been paid.

<b>Table 3-13: NFIP Policies, Claims, and Repetitive Loss Statistics for Shutesbury</b>						
<b>Number of Housing Units (2019 Estimates)</b>	<b>Number of Policies in Force</b>	<b>Percent of Housing Units</b>	<b>Total Insurance in Force</b>	<b>Number of Paid Losses</b>	<b>Total Losses Paid</b>	<b>Number of Repetitive Loss Properties</b>
897	2	0.2%	\$525,000	0	\$0	0

Source: National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), FEMA Region I 2018; U.S. Census Bureau 2015-2019 American

Community Survey Five-Year Estimates.

Many dams within the Commonwealth have aged past their design life. As a result, they are less resilient to hazards such as inland flooding and extreme precipitation, and may not provide adequate safety following these disasters. These structures, if impacted by disasters, can affect human health, safety, and economic activity due to increased flooding and loss of infrastructure functions. These dams require termination or restoration to improve their infrastructure and better equip them to withstand the hazards that the Commonwealth will face due to climate change.

As already stated, climate change impacts, including increased frequency of extreme weather events, are expected to raise the risk of damage to transportation systems, energy-related facilities, communication systems, a wide range of structures and buildings, solid and hazardous waste facilities, and water supply and wastewater management systems. A majority of the infrastructure in Massachusetts and throughout the country has been sited and designed based on historic weather and flooding patterns. As a result, infrastructure and facilities may lack the capacity to handle greater volumes of water or the required elevation to reduce vulnerability to flooding. Examples of climate change impacts to sectors of the built environment are summarized below.

#### Agriculture

Inland flooding is likely to impact the agricultural sector. Increased river flooding is likely to cause soil erosion, soil loss, and crop damage (resilient MA, 2018). In addition, wetter springs may delay planting of crops, resulting in reduced yields.

#### Energy

Flooding can increase bank erosion and also undermine buried energy infrastructure, such as underground power, gas, and cable infrastructure. Basement flooding can destroy electrical panels and furnaces. This can result in releases of oil and hazardous wastes to floodwaters. Inland flooding can also disrupt delivery of liquid fuels.

#### Public Health

The impacts to the built environment extend into other sectors. For example, flooding may increase the vulnerability of commercial and residential buildings to toxic mold buildup, leading to health risks, as described in the Populations section of the inland flooding hazard profile. Inland flooding may also lead to contamination of well water and contamination from septic systems (DPH, 2014).

### Public Safety

Flash flooding can have a significant impact on public safety. Fast-moving water can sweep up debris, hazardous objects, and vehicles, and carry them toward people and property. Flooding can impact the ability of emergency response personnel to reach stranded or injured people. Drownings may also occur as people attempt to drive through flooded streets or escape to higher ground.

### Transportation

Heavy precipitation events may damage roads, bridges, and energy facilities, leading to disruptions in transportation and utility services (resilient MA, 2018). Roads may experience greater ponding, which will further impact transportation. If alternative routes are not available, damage to roads and bridges may dramatically affect commerce and public health and safety.

### Water Infrastructure

Stormwater drainage systems and culverts that are not sized to accommodate larger storms are likely to experience flood damage as extreme precipitation events increase (resilient MA, 2018). Both culverts that are currently undersized and culverts that are appropriately sized may be overwhelmed by larger storms. Gravity-fed water and wastewater infrastructure that is located in low lying areas near rivers and reservoirs may experience increased risks. Combined sewer overflows may increase with climate change, resulting in water quality degradation and public health risks (resilient MA, 2018).

The Locks Pond Road culvert located approximately 100 feet below the Lake Wyola Dam was identified as a primary culvert of concern. The culvert is in poor condition, and, if it fails, the road would wash out and potentially cause flooding of surrounding residences and infrastructure. Replacement of this culvert is slated to begin in spring or summer of 2021, and finish in the fall of 2021.

### ***Environment***

Flooding is part of the natural cycle of a balanced environment. However, severe flood events can also result in substantial damage to the environment and natural resources, particularly in areas where human development has interfered with natural flood-related processes. As described earlier in this section, severe weather events are expected to become more frequent as a result of climate change; therefore, flooding that exceeds the adaptive capacity of natural systems may occur more often.

One common environmental effect of flooding is riverbank and soil erosion. Riverbank erosion

occurs when high, fast water flows scour the edges of the river, transporting sediment downstream and reshaping the ecosystem. In addition to changing the habitat around the riverbank, this process also results in the deposition of sediment once water velocities slow. This deposition can clog riverbeds and streams, disrupting the water supply to downstream habitats. Soil erosion occurs whenever floodwaters loosen particles of topsoil and then transport them downstream, where they may be redeposited somewhere else or flushed into the ocean. Flooding can also influence soil conditions in areas where floodwaters pool for long periods of time, as continued soil submersion can cause oxygen depletion in the soil, reducing the soil quality and potentially limiting future crop production.

Flooding can also affect the health and well-being of wildlife. Animals can be directly swept away by flooding or lose their habitats to prolonged inundation. Floodwaters can also impact habitats nearby or downstream of agricultural operations by dispersing waste, pollutants, and nutrients from fertilizers. While some of these substances, particularly organic matter and nutrients, can actually increase the fertility of downstream soils, they can also result in severe impacts to aquatic habitats, such as eutrophication.

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Because the town is on a hill, Shutesbury tends to be spared many of the deleterious flood events that impact communities in the valleys. Based on the above analysis, Shutesbury has a Medium vulnerability to flooding. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's areas of greatest concern regarding the flood hazard.

<b>Flood Hazard Problem Statements</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Shutesbury's town bylaws, subdivision regulations, and regulatory review process do not fully address how development affects flooding or include requirements for mitigating floods.</li><li>• Uncontrolled runoff from Morse Hill is contributing to erosion problems along Locks Pond Road and roadways located along the western side of Lake Wyola; this problem would be exacerbated during a heavy rain event.</li><li>• Many gravel roads throughout Town do not have proper drainage and are prone to inundation flooding or erosion. In particular, Roads along Lake Wyola, Cooleyville Road, Montague Road, Sandhill Road, Pratt Corner Road, and Baker Roads are prone to chronic flooding. Nature based solutions to increase flood resiliency are needed at these locations.</li></ul>

## Flood Hazard Problem Statements

- Beaver activity is present throughout Shutesbury and is contributing to localized flooding.
- Many of Shutesbury's residents rely on private wells, placing them at risk during prolonged power outages caused by extreme weather events. Flooding may also compromise water quality.
- All Shutesbury residents rely on private septic systems and may not understand the consequences of failing to pump out and maintain septic tanks and leach fields before flooding events.
- Culvert and bridge maintenance is needed throughout town. Maintenance needs are not inventoried and prioritized.
- Shutesbury contains two *High Hazard Potential* dams: Atkin's Reservoir Dam and the Lake Wyola Dam. While the chance is low, a catastrophic dam failure at the Lake Wyola dam would result in potentially very damaging flooding in Leverett.
- A poorly designed trash rack at the sluice gate of the Lake Wyola Dam gets easily plugged with leaves and other debris, especially leaves blown off lakeside yards into the water.
- The Upper and Lower Ames Pond Dams are in poor condition.
- A failure of the McAvoy Pond Dam, upstream of Lake Wyola, would trigger the Lake Wyola Emergency Plan.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- A few of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by flooding.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 people are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as use of sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.




### Flood Hazard Problem Statements

- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.3 SEVERE SNOWSTORMS / ICE STORMS

#### Potential Effects of Climate Change

Climate projections for Massachusetts indicate that in future decades, winter precipitation could increase annually by as much as 0.4-3.9 inches (an increase of 4-35%), but by the end of the century most of this precipitation is likely to fall as rain instead of snow. There are many human and environmental impacts that could result from this change including reduced snow cover for winter recreation and tourism, less spring snowmelt to replenish aquifers and lower spring river flows for aquatic ecosystems. Figure 3-4 show potential effects of climate change on severe winter storms from the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.

Figure 3-4: Effects of Climate Change on Severe Winter Storms		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	EXTREME WEATHER AND RISING TEMPERATURES → INCREASED SNOWFALL	Increased sea surface temperature in the Atlantic Ocean will cause air moving north over the ocean to hold more moisture. As a result, when these fronts meet cold air systems moving from the north, an even greater amount of snow than normal can be anticipated to fall on Massachusetts.
	RISING TEMPERATURES → CHANGING CIRCULATION PATTERNS AND WARMING OCEANS	Research has found that increasing water temperatures and reduced sea ice extent in the Arctic are producing atmospheric circulation patterns that favor the development of winter storms in the eastern U.S. Global warming is increasing the severity of winter storms because warming ocean water allows additional moisture to flow into the storm, which fuels the storm to greater intensity.
	EXTREME WEATHER → INCREASE IN FREQUENCY AND INTENSITY	There is evidence suggesting that nor'easters along the Atlantic coast are increasing in frequency and intensity. Future nor'easters may become more concentrated in the coldest winter months when atmospheric temperatures are still low enough to result in snowfall rather than rain.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

Severe winter storms include ice storms, nor'easters, heavy snow, blowing snow, and other extreme forms of winter precipitation. A blizzard is a winter snowstorm with sustained or frequent wind gusts to 35 mph or more, accompanied by falling or blowing snow that reduces visibility to or below a quarter of a mile (NWS, 2018). These conditions must be the predominant condition over a 3-hour period. Extremely cold temperatures are often associated with blizzard conditions, but are not a formal part of the definition. However, the hazard created by the combination of snow, wind, and low visibility increases significantly with temperatures below 20°F. A severe blizzard is categorized as having temperatures near or below 10°F, winds exceeding 45 mph, and visibility reduced by snow to near zero.

Storm systems powerful enough to cause blizzards usually form when the jet stream dips far to the south, allowing cold air from the north to clash with warm air from the south. Blizzard



conditions often develop on the northwest side of an intense storm system. The difference between the lower pressure in the storm and the higher pressure to the west creates a tight pressure gradient, resulting in strong winds and extreme conditions due to the blowing snow. Blowing snow is wind-driven snow that reduces visibility to 6 miles or less, causing significant drifting. Blowing snow may be snow that is falling and/or loose snow on the ground picked up by the wind.

### ***Ice Storms***

Ice storm conditions are defined by liquid rain falling and freezing on contact with cold objects, creating ice buildups of one-fourth of an inch or more. These can cause severe damage. An ice storm warning, which is now included in the criteria for a winter storm warning, is issued when a half inch or more of accretion of freezing rain is expected. This may lead to dangerous walking or driving conditions and the pulling down of power lines and trees.

Ice pellets are another form of freezing precipitation, formed when snowflakes melt into raindrops as they pass through a thin layer of warmer air. The raindrops then refreeze into particles of ice when they fall into a layer of subfreezing air near the surface of the earth. Finally, sleet occurs when raindrops fall into subfreezing air thick enough that the raindrops refreeze into ice before hitting the ground. The difference between sleet and hail is that sleet is a wintertime phenomenon whereas hail falls from convective clouds (usually thunderstorms), often during the warm spring and summer months.

### ***Nor'easters***

A nor'easter is a storm that occurs along the East Coast of North America with winds from the northeast (NWS, n.d.). A nor'easter is characterized by a large counter-clockwise wind circulation around a low-pressure center that often results in heavy snow, high winds, and rain. A nor'easter gets its name from its continuously strong northeasterly winds blowing in from the ocean ahead of the storm and over the coastal areas.

Nor'easters are among winter's most ferocious storms. These winter weather events are notorious for producing heavy snow, rain, and oversized waves that crash onto Atlantic beaches, often causing beach erosion and structural damage. These storms occur most often in late fall and early winter. The storm radius is often as much as 100 miles, and nor'easters often sit stationary for several days, affecting multiple tide cycles and causing extended heavy precipitation. Sustained wind speeds of 20 to 40 mph are common during a nor'easter, with short-term wind speeds gusting up to 50 to 60 mph. Nor'easters are commonly accompanied with a storm surge equal to or greater than 2.0 feet.

Nor'easters begin as strong areas of low pressure either in the Gulf of Mexico or off the East Coast in the Atlantic Ocean. The low will then either move up the East Coast into New England and the Atlantic provinces of Canada, or out to sea. The level of damage in a strong hurricane is often more severe than a nor'easter, but historically Massachusetts has suffered more damage from nor'easters because of the greater frequency of these coastal storms (one or two per year). The comparison of hurricanes to nor'easters reveals that the duration of high surge and winds in a hurricane is 6 to 12 hours, while a nor'easter's duration can be from 12 hours to 3 days.

Severe winter storms can pose a significant risk to property and human life. The rain, freezing rain, ice, snow, cold temperatures and wind associated with these storms can cause the following hazards:

- Disrupted power, heat, and phone and internet service
- Flooding after a thaw due to pipes freezing and bursting
- Unsafe roadways and increased traffic accidents
- Infrastructure and other property are also at risk from severe winter storms and the associated flooding that can occur following heavy snow melt
- Tree damage and fallen branches that cause utility line damage and roadway blockages
- Damage to telecommunications structures
- Reduced ability of emergency officials to respond promptly to medical emergencies or fires
- Elderly are affected by extreme weather

### **Location**

Although the entire Commonwealth may be considered at risk to the hazard of severe winter storms, higher snow accumulations appear to be prevalent at higher elevations in Western and Central Massachusetts, and along the coast where snowfall can be enhanced by additional ocean moisture. Ice storms occur most frequently in the higher-elevation portions of Western and Central Massachusetts. Inland areas, especially those in floodplains, are also at risk for flooding and wind damage.

The entire town of Shutesbury is susceptible to severe snowstorms and ice storms. Because these storms occur regionally, they impact the entire town. As a result, the location of occurrence is "Large," with over 50 percent of land area affected.

### **Extent**

Since 2005, the Regional Snowfall Index (RSI) has become the descriptor of choice for measuring winter events that impact the eastern two-thirds of the U.S. The RSI ranks snowstorm impacts on a scale system from 1 to 5 as depicted in Table 3-14. The RSI is similar to the Fujita scale for tornadoes or the Saffir-Simpson scale for hurricanes, except that it includes an additional variable: population. The RSI is based on the spatial extent of the storm, the amount of snowfall, and population.

The RSI is a regional index. Each of the six climate regions (identified by the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information) in the eastern two-thirds of the nation has a separate index. The RSI incorporated region-specific parameters and thresholds for calculating the index. The RSI is important because, with it, a storm event and its societal impacts can be assessed within the context of a region’s historical events. Snowfall thresholds in Massachusetts (in the Northeast region) are 4, 10, 20, and 30 inches of snowfall, while thresholds in the Southeast U.S. are 2, 5, 10, and 15 inches.

<b>Table 3-14: Regional Snowfall Index Categories</b>		
<b>Category</b>	<b>RSI Value</b>	<b>Description</b>
1	1–3	Notable
2	2.5–3.99	Significant
3	4–5.99	Major
4	6–9.99	Crippling
5	10.0+	Extreme

Source: NOAA National Climatic Data Center

Prior to the use of the RSI, the Northeast Snowfall Impact Scale (NESIS), developed by Paul Kocin of The Weather Channel and Louis Uccellini of the National Weather Service, was used to characterize and rank high-impact northeast snowstorms with large areas of 10-inch snowfall accumulations and greater. In contrast to the RSI, which is a regional index, NESIS is a quasi-national index that is calibrated to Northeast snowstorms. NESIS has five categories, as shown in Table 3-15.

<b>Table 3-15: Northeast Snowfall Impact Scale Categories</b>		
<b>Category</b>	<b>NESIS Value</b>	<b>Description</b>
1	1–2.499	Notable
2	2.5–3.99	Significant

Table 3-15: Northeast Snowfall Impact Scale Categories		
3	4—5.99	Major
4	6—9.99	Crippling
5	10.0+	Extreme

Source: NOAA National Climatic Data Center

Shutesbury has a Large area of occurrence for sever snowstorms and ice storms, with more than 50% of the area affected.

### Previous Occurrences

New England generally experiences at least one or two severe winter storms each year with varying degrees of severity. Severe winter storms typically occur during January and February; however, they can occur from late September through late April. According to NOAA’s National Climatic Data Center, there have been 86 heavy snow events in Franklin County since 1996, resulting in \$15,447,000 in damages; 32 winter storm events since 2002, resulting in \$1,170,000 in damages; and three ice storms since 2002 that have resulted in damages of \$6,300,000.

In 2018, New England was hit by three Nor’easters within the first two weeks of March. The first was Winter Storm Riley which brought damaging winds, heavy snow and coastal flooding. Then, Winter Storm Quinn dumped heavy snow, taking down more trees and causing additional power outages on top of those caused by Riley. The third Nor’easter, called Winter Storm Skylar, occurred on March 11-13 and brought over a foot of snow in Massachusetts. With severe winter storms occurring soon after each other, there is little time to recover from one storm before needing to brace for the next. Utility companies may have to work for weeks or months to restore power after mounting failures, leaving some customers without power for extended time periods. Snow storage and disposal becomes more challenging and expensive when designated snow storage areas fill up, forcing municipalities to pay for snow removal and hauling.

The severe winter storm that hit Franklin County on October 29, 2011 was a rare and historic nor’easter that brought very heavy snow to portions of southern New England and is sometimes referred to as the “Snowtober” storm. Snowfall accumulations of one to two feet were common in the Monadnocks, Berkshires, Connecticut Valley, and higher elevations in central Massachusetts. Snowfall rates reached 3 inches per hour for several hours during the storm. The accumulation of the heavy wet snow on trees that still had their leaves and on power lines resulted in widespread tree damage and power outages across many communities in central and western Massachusetts. At the peak, 665,000 customers in Massachusetts were

without power. Seventy-seven shelters were opened and sheltered 2,000 residents across the state. A state of emergency was declared on October 29, officially ending on November 6. In Shutesbury, residents around Lake Wyola experienced power outages of a couple of days following the storm. Other affected areas included the lower west section of the Atkins Reservoir, lower Pratt Corner Road, and January Hills Road. This storm resulted in reimbursements to Shutesbury of \$1,232 from MEMA and \$7,884.32 from FEMA in FY 2011.

In December 2008, a major ice storm impacted the northeast. The hardest hit areas in southern New England were the Monadnock region of southwest New Hampshire, the Worcester Hills in central Massachusetts, and the east slopes of the Berkshires in western Massachusetts. Anywhere from half an inch to an inch of ice built up on many exposed surfaces. Combined with breezy conditions, the ice downed numerous trees, branches, and power lines which resulted in widespread power outages. More than 300,000 customers were reportedly without power in Massachusetts and an additional 300,000 were without power in the state of New Hampshire. Damage to the infrastructure in Massachusetts and New Hampshire amounted to roughly 80 million dollars. This amount does not include damage to private property. The extent of the damage and number of people affected prompted the governors of both Massachusetts and New Hampshire to request federal assistance. FEMA approved both requests. President Bush issued a Major Disaster Declaration for Public Assistance for seven Massachusetts counties and all of New Hampshire.



Ice covered trees and roads in Shutesbury after 2008 ice storm

Shutesbury was greatly impacted by the 2008 ice storm. All roads became impassable due to downed trees and power lines, and the town declared a state of emergency. Residents were without power for days, some for over a week after the storm. The Town used its newly established reverse call system to provide residents with information on the conditions in town. The Town Hall was set up as a shelter, and it is estimated that 75-100 residents visited the shelter during

the emergency. The Town called in professional tree removal crews to help with the clean up. In addition, the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation and the Massachusetts National Guard sent help to Shutesbury. The storm cost the Town roughly

\$70,000 in overtime, gasoline, shelter expenses, and expenses for hiring the tree removal companies.<sup>17</sup> FEMA reimbursed the Town \$64,535 in FY2009 for the December 2008 ice storm, and an additional \$12,292 was received in FY 2011 and FY 2012 from MEMA for the storm.

Based on data available from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, there are 210 winter storms since 1900 that have registered on the RSI scale. Of these, approximately 18 storms resulted in snow falls in all or parts of Franklin County of at least 10 inches. These storms are listed in Table 3-16 in order of their RSI severity.

Table 3-16: High-Impact Snowstorms in Franklin County, 1958 - 2020			
Date	RSI Value	RSI Category	RSI Classification
2/22/1969	34.0	5	Extreme
3/12/1993	22.1	5	Extreme
1/6/1996	21.7	5	Extreme
2/5/1978	18.4	5	Extreme
2/23/2010	17.8	4	Crippling
2/15/2003	14.7	4	Crippling
1/29/1966	12.3	4	Crippling
3/12/2017	10.7	4	Crippling
2/27/1947	10.6	4	Crippling
12/25/1969	10.1	4	Crippling
12/4/2003	9.4	3	Major
2/8/2013	9.2	3	Major
2/2/1961	8.3	3	Major
2/10/1983	7.9	3	Major
2/14/1958	7.9	3	Major
2/12/2007	6.9	3	Major
3/2/1960	6.9	3	Major
1/25/2015	6.2	3	Major

Source: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/snow-and-ice/rsi/societal-impacts>

### Probability of Future Events

Based upon the availability of records for Franklin County, the likelihood that a severe snow storm will hit Shutesbury in any given year is Very High, or a 50 to 100% probability in any given year.

Increased sea surface temperature in the Atlantic Ocean will cause air moving north over this

<sup>17</sup> "It was a heck of a week," Janice S. Gray. *Our Town: Tri-annual Community News*. Winter 2009.

ocean to hold more moisture. As a result, when these fronts meet cold air systems moving from the north, an even greater amount of snow than normal can be anticipated to fall on Massachusetts. Climate projections for Massachusetts indicate that in future decades, winter precipitation could increase annually by as much as 0.4-3.9 inches (an increase of 4-35%), but by the end of the century most of this precipitation is likely to fall as rain instead of snow. There are many human and environmental impacts that could result from this change including reduced snow cover for winter recreation and tourism, less spring snow melt to replenish aquifers and lower spring river flows for aquatic ecosystems.

### **Impact**

The phrase “severe winter storm” encapsulates several types of natural hazards, including snowfall, wind, ice, sleet, and freezing rain hazards. Additional natural hazards that can occur as a result of winter storms include sudden and severe drops in temperature. Winter storms can also result in flooding and the destabilization of hillsides as snow or ice melts and begins to run off. The storms can also result in significant structural damage from wind and snow load as well as human injuries and economic and infrastructure impacts. In Shutesbury, an increased amount of rain has led to an increase in the frequency of sudden icy road conditions. For a town with 16 miles of roads and three Highway Department employees, these conditions can cause strain on the department’s ability to meet the needs of residents who need to be on the road.

The impact of an event would likely be Minor or Limited, with either very minor property damage or more than 10% of property in the affected area damaged and complete shutdown of facilities for more than one week. The Highway Department and Nation Grid’s continuous tree work identifying high-hazard trees, clearing roadsides, and generally protecting powerlines helps minimize the risk of impact.

### **Vulnerability**

#### ***Society***

According to the NOAA National Severe Storms Laboratory, every year, winter weather indirectly and deceptively kills hundreds of people in the U.S., primarily from automobile accidents, overexertion, and exposure. Winter storms are often accompanied by strong winds that create blizzard conditions with blinding wind-driven snow, drifting snow, and extreme cold temperatures with dangerous wind chill. These events are considered deceptive killers because most deaths and other impacts or losses are indirectly related to the storm. Injuries and deaths may occur due to traffic accidents on icy roads, heart attacks while shoveling snow, or hypothermia from prolonged exposure to cold.

Heavy snow can immobilize a region and paralyze a community, shutting down air and rail transportation, stopping the flow of supplies, and disrupting medical and emergency services. Accumulations of snow can cause buildings to collapse and knock down trees and power lines. In rural areas, homes and farms may be isolated for days, and unprotected livestock may perish. In the mountains, heavy snow can lead to avalanches.

The impact of a severe winter storm on life, health, and safety is dependent upon several factors, including the severity of the event and whether or not adequate warning time was provided to residents. Residents may be displaced or require temporary to long-term sheltering. In addition, downed trees, damaged buildings, and debris carried by high winds can lead to injury or loss of life. The entire population of Shutesbury is exposed to severe winter weather events.

### Vulnerable Populations

Vulnerable populations include the elderly living alone, who are susceptible to winter hazards due to their increased risk of injury and death from falls, overexertion, and/or hypothermia from attempts to clear snow and ice, or injury and death related to power failures. In addition, severe winter weather events can reduce the ability of these populations to access emergency services. People with low socioeconomic status are more vulnerable because they are likely to evaluate their risk and make decisions to evacuate based on the net economic impact on their families. Residents with low incomes may not have access to housing or their housing may be less able to withstand cold temperatures (e.g., homes with poor insulation and heating supply).

The population over the age of 65, individuals with disabilities, and people with mobility limitations or who lack transportation are also more vulnerable because they are more likely to seek or need medical attention, which may not be available due to isolation during a winter storm event. These individuals are also more vulnerable because they may have more difficulty if evacuation becomes necessary. People with limited mobility risk becoming isolated or “snowbound” if they are unable to remove snow from their homes. Rural populations may become isolated by downed trees, blocked roadways, and power outages. Residents relying on private wells could lose access to fresh drinking water and indoor plumbing during a power outage. Loss of power in winter in rural areas can be more devastating than in summer because the house may not have another heating source and pipes can freeze and burst, flooding the house.

Table 3-17 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be



added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a severe winter storm event.

**Table 3-17: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

### Health Impacts

Cold weather, which is a component of a severe winter storm, increases the risk of hypothermia and frostbite. Exposure to cold conditions can also exacerbate pre-existing respiratory and cardiovascular conditions. In addition to temperature-related dangers, however, severe winter storms also present other potential health impacts. For example, individuals may use generators in their homes if the power goes out or may use the heat system in their cars if they become trapped by snow. Without proper ventilation, both of these activities can result in carbon monoxide buildup that can be fatal. Loss of power can also lead to hypothermia. After Hurricane Sandy, the number of cases of cold exposure in New York City was three times greater than the same time period in previous years.<sup>18</sup> Driving during severe snow and ice conditions can also be very dangerous, as roads become slick and drivers can lose control of their vehicle. During and after winter storms, roads may be littered with debris, presenting a danger to drivers. Health impacts on people include the inability to travel to receive needed medical services and isolation in their homes. Additionally, natural gas-fueled furnaces, water

<sup>18</sup> Fink, Sheri. 2012. Hypothermia and Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Cases Soar in the City After Hurricane. New York Times. November 28, 2012

heaters, and clothes dryers, and even automobile exhaust pipes, may become blocked by snow and ice, which can lead to carbon monoxide poisoning.

### Economic Impacts

The entire building stock inventory in Shutesbury is exposed to the severe winter weather hazard. In general, structural impacts include damage to roofs and building frames rather than building content. Heavy accumulations of ice can bring down trees, electrical wires, telephone poles and lines, and communication towers. Communication and power networks can be disrupted for days while utility companies work to repair the extensive damage.

Even small accumulations of ice may cause extreme hazards to motorists and pedestrians. Bridges and overpasses are particularly dangerous because they freeze before other surfaces. A specific area that is vulnerable to the winter storm hazard is the floodplain. Snow and ice melt can cause both riverine and urban flooding. The cost of snow and ice removal and repair of roads from the freeze/thaw process can drain local financial resources. The potential secondary impacts from winter storms, including loss of utilities, interruption of transportation corridors, loss of business functions, and loss of income for many individuals during business closures, also impact the local economy.

Similar to hurricanes and tropical storms, nor'easter events can greatly impact the economy, with impacts that include the loss of business functions (e.g., tourism and recreation), damage to inventories or infrastructure (the supply of fuel), relocation costs, wage losses, and rental losses due to the repair or replacement of buildings.

### ***Infrastructure***

All infrastructure and other elements of the built environment in Shutesbury are exposed to the severe winter weather hazard. Potential structural damage to the facilities themselves may include damage to roofs and building frames. These facilities may not be fully operational if workers are unable to travel to ensure continuity of operations prior and after a severe winter event. Disruptions to key public services such as electricity, transportation, schools, and health care may become more common.<sup>19</sup> Table 3-18 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Shutesbury, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of a severe winter storm.

---

<sup>19</sup> Resilient MA 2018

<b>Table 3-18: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

Agriculture

Severe winter weather can lead to flooding in low-lying agricultural areas. Ice that accumulates on branches in orchards and forests can cause branches to break, while the combination of ice and wind can fell trees. Storms that occur in spring can delay planting schedules. Frost that occurs after warmer periods in spring can cause cold weather dieback and damage new growth.

Energy

Severe weather can cause power outages from trees that fall during heavy snow and strong wind events. Severe ice events can take down transmission and distribution lines. The severe weather can impair a utility’s ability to rapidly repair and recover the system.

Public Health

Severe winter weather presents many health hazards, as previously described in the discussion of the severe winter storm/nor’easter hazard profile. Severe winter storms and events with extended power outages may overburden hospitals and emergency shelters.

Public Safety

Public safety buildings may experience direct loss (damage) from downed trees, heavy snowfall, and high winds. Full functionality of critical facilities, such as police, fire and medical facilities, is essential for response during and after a winter storm event. Because power interruptions can occur, backup power is recommended for critical facilities and infrastructure. The ability of emergency responders to respond to calls may be impaired by heavy snowfall, icy roads, and downed trees.

Transportation

Other infrastructure elements at risk for this hazard include roadways, which can be obstructed

by snow and ice accumulation or by windblown debris. Additionally, over time, roadways can be damaged from the application of salt and the thermal expansion and contraction from alternating freezing and warming conditions. Other types of infrastructure, including rail, aviation, port, and waterway infrastructure (if temperatures are cold enough to cause widespread freezing), can be impacted by winter storm conditions.

### Water Infrastructure

Water infrastructure that is exposed to winter conditions may freeze or be damaged by ice.

### **Environment**

Although winter storms are a natural part of the Massachusetts climate, and native ecosystems and species are well adapted to these events, changes in the frequency or severity of winter storms could increase their environmental impacts. Environmental impacts of severe winter storms can include direct mortality of individual plants and animals and felling of trees, which can damage the physical structure of the ecosystem. Similarly, if large numbers of plants or animals die as the result of a storm, their lack of availability can impact the food supply for animals in the same food web. If many trees fall or die within a small area, they can release large amounts of carbon as they decay. This unexpected release can cause further imbalance in the local ecosystem. The flooding that results when snow and ice melt can also cause extensive environmental impacts. Nor'easters can cause impacts that are similar to those of hurricanes and tropical storms and flooding. These impacts can include direct damage to species and ecosystems, habitat destruction, and the distribution of contaminants and hazardous materials throughout the environment.

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Based on the above assessment, Shutesbury faces a Medium vulnerability from severe snowstorms and ice storms. Severe winter storms and ice storms occur frequently in Shutesbury. However, the severity of impact is minor to limited due to the community and Town's preparedness for and experience with handling severe winter storms. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's areas of greatest concern regarding severe winter storms.

#### **Severe Winter Storm Hazard Problem Statements**

- Above ground utility lines create potential hazards and there are areas of repetitive power outages.


### Severe Winter Storm Hazard Problem Statements

- Repair of the elementary school's gym roof has been funded, but funds have not yet been secured for the remainder of the building.
- Shelter capacity and capabilities have room to improve, especially in regard to storage space for supplies.
- Eversource and the Highway Department routinely removes trees, but the assessment and cutting program could be expanded to further mitigate hazards.
- Many of Shutesbury's residents rely on private wells, placing them at risk during prolonged power outages caused by extreme weather events.
- Many of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by severe winter storms.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as use of sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.4 HURRICANES / TROPICAL STORMS

#### Potential Effects of Climate Change

A 2017 U.S. Climate Science Special Report noted that there has been an upward trend in North Atlantic hurricane activity since 1970. The report forecasts that future hurricanes formed in the North Atlantic will drop more rain and may have higher wind speeds. This is because a warmer atmosphere will hold more water, and hurricanes are efficient at wringing water out of the atmosphere and dumping it on land. When extreme storms like Tropical Storm Irene travel over inland areas, they may release large quantities of precipitation and cause rivers to overtop their banks. Irene dumped more than 10 inches of rain in western Massachusetts. Buildings floated downriver in Shelburne Falls, flooded highways were closed, and 400,000 utility customers lost power (resilient MA, 2018). Figure 3-4 displays the potential effects of climate change on hurricanes and tropical storms from the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.



Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

##### *Hurricanes*

Hurricanes can range from as small as 50 miles across to as much as 500 miles across; Hurricane Allen in 1980 took up the entire Gulf of Mexico. There are generally two source regions for storms that have the potential to strike New England: (1) off the Cape Verde Islands near the west coast of Africa, and (2) in the Bahamas. The Cape Verde storms tend to be very large in diameter, since they have a week or more to traverse the Atlantic Ocean and grow. The Bahamas storms tend to be smaller, but they can also be just as powerful, and their effects can reach New England in only a day or two.

Tropical systems customarily come from a southerly direction and when they accelerate up the East Coast of the U.S., most take on a distinct appearance that is different from a typical hurricane. Instead of having a perfectly concentric storm with heavy rain blowing from one direction, then the calm eye, then the heavy rain blowing from the opposite direction, our storms (as viewed from satellite and radar) take on an almost winter-storm-like appearance. Although rain is often limited in the areas south and east of the track of the storm, these areas can experience the worst winds and storm surge. Dangerous flooding occurs most often to the north and west of the track of the storm. An additional threat associated with a tropical system making landfall is the possibility of tornado generation. Tornadoes would generally occur in the outer bands to the north and east of the storm, a few hours to as much as 15 hours prior to landfall.

The official hurricane season runs from June 1 to November 30. In New England, these storms are most likely to occur in August, September, and the first half of October. This is due in large part to the fact that it takes a considerable amount of time for the waters south of Long Island to warm to the temperature necessary to sustain the storms this far north. Also, as the region progresses into the fall months, the upper-level jet stream has more dips, meaning that the steering winds might flow from the Great Lakes southward to the Gulf States and then back northward up the eastern seaboard. This pattern would be conducive for capturing a tropical system over the Bahamas and accelerating it northward.


### ***Tropical Storms***

A tropical storm system is characterized by a low-pressure center and numerous thunderstorms that produce strong winds and heavy rain (winds are at a lower speed than hurricane-force winds, thus gaining its status as a tropical storm versus a hurricane). Tropical storms strengthen when water evaporated from the ocean is released as the saturated air rises, resulting in condensation of water vapor contained in the moist air. They are fueled by a different heat mechanism than other cyclonic windstorms, such as nor'easters and polar lows. The characteristic that separates tropical cyclones from other cyclonic systems is that at any height in the atmosphere, the center of a tropical cyclone will be warmer than its surroundings—a phenomenon called “warm core” storm systems.

The term “tropical” refers both to the geographical origin of these systems, which usually form in tropical regions of the globe, and to their formation in maritime tropical air masses. The term “cyclone” refers to such storms’ cyclonic nature, with counterclockwise wind flow in the Northern Hemisphere and clockwise wind flow in the Southern Hemisphere.

## Location

Because of the hazard's regional nature, all of Shutesbury is at risk from hurricanes and tropical storms, with a Large location of occurrence with over 50 percent of land area affected. Ridge tops are more susceptible to wind damage. Inland areas, especially those in floodplains, are also at risk for flooding from heavy rain and wind damage. The majority of the damage following hurricanes and tropical storms often results from residual wind damage and inland flooding, as was demonstrated during recent tropical storms.



Source: NOAA, n.d. \* TS=Tropical Storm, TD=Tropical Depression

NOAA's Historical Hurricane Tracks tool is a public interactive mapping application that displays Atlantic Basin and East-Central Pacific Basin tropical cyclone data. This interactive tool tracks tropical cyclones from 1842 to 2020. According to this resource, over the time frame tracked, 70 events categorized as an extra-tropical storm or higher occurred within 65 nautical miles of Massachusetts. The tracks of these storms are shown in Figure 3-7. As this figure shows, the paths of these storms vary across the Commonwealth, but are more likely to occur toward the coast.

## Extent

Hurricanes are measured according to the Saffir-Simpson scale, which categorizes or rates hurricanes from 1 (minimal) to 5 (catastrophic) based on their intensity. This is used to give an estimate of the potential property damage and flooding expected from a hurricane landfall.



Wind speed is the determining factor in the scale. All winds are assessed using the U.S. 1-minute average, meaning the highest wind that is sustained for 1 minute. The Saffir-Simpson Scale described in Figure 3-7 gives an overview of the wind speeds and range of damage caused by different hurricane categories.

Figure 3-7: Saffir-Simpson Scale		
Scale No. (Category)	Winds (mph)	Potential Damage
1	74 – 95	Minimal: Damage is primarily to shrubbery and trees, mobile homes, and some signs. No real damage is done to structures.
2	96 – 110	Moderate: Some trees topple; some roof coverings are damaged; and major damage is done to mobile homes.
3	111 – 130	Extensive: Large trees topple; some structural damage is done to roofs; mobile homes are destroyed; and structural damage is done to small homes and utility buildings.
4	131 – 155	Extreme: Extensive damage is done to roofs, windows, and doors; roof systems on small buildings completely fail; and some curtain walls fail.
5	> 155	Catastrophic: Roof damage is considerable and widespread; window and door damage is severe; there are extensive glass failures; and entire buildings could fail.
<b>Additional Classifications</b>		
Tropical Storm	39-73	NA
Tropical Depression	< 38	NA

Source: NOAA, n.d. Note: mph = miles per hour, NA = not applicable

Tropical storms and tropical depressions, while generally less dangerous than hurricanes, can be deadly. The winds of tropical depressions and tropical storms are usually not the greatest threat; rather, the rains, flooding, and severe weather associated with the tropical storms are what customarily cause more significant problems. Serious power outages can also be associated with these types of events. After Hurricane Irene passed through the region as a tropical storm in late August 2011, many areas of the Commonwealth were without power for more than 5 days.

While tropical storms can produce extremely powerful winds and torrential rain, they are also able to produce high waves, damaging storm surge, and tornadoes. They develop over large bodies of warm water and lose their strength if they move over land due to increased surface friction and loss of the warm ocean as an energy source. Heavy rains associated with a tropical storm, however, can produce significant flooding inland, and storm surges can produce extensive coastal flooding up to 25 miles from the coastline.

One measure of the size of a tropical cyclone is determined by measuring the distance from its center of circulation to its outermost closed isobar. If the radius is less than 2 degrees of latitude, or 138 miles, then the cyclone is “very small.” A radius between 3 and 6 degrees of latitude, or 207 to 420 miles, is considered “average-sized.” “Very large” tropical cyclones have a radius of greater than 8 degrees, or 552 miles.

### **Previous Occurrences**

According to NOAA’s Historical Hurricane Tracker tool, 70 hurricane or tropical storm events occurred in the vicinity of Massachusetts between 1842 and 2020. The Commonwealth was impacted by tropical storms Jose and Phillipe in 2017. Therefore, there is an average of one storm every other year. Storms severe enough to receive FEMA disaster declarations, however, are far rarer, occurring every 9 years on average. The Commonwealth has not been impacted by any Category 4 or 5 hurricanes; however, Category 3 storms have historically caused widespread flooding. Winds have caused sufficient damage to impair the ability of individuals to remain in their homes.

In Massachusetts, major hurricanes occurred in 1904, 1938, 1954, 1955, 1960 and 1976, 1985, 1991 and 2010. The Great New England Hurricane of 1938, a Category 3 hurricane that occurred on September 21, 1938, was one of the most destructive and powerful storms ever to strike Southern New England. Sustained hurricane force winds occurred throughout most of Southern New England. Extensive damage occurred to roofs, trees and crops. Widespread power outages occurred, which in some areas lasted several weeks. Rainfall from this hurricane resulted in severe river flooding across sections of Massachusetts and Connecticut. The combined effects from a frontal system several days earlier and the hurricane produced rainfall of 10 to 17 inches across most of the Connecticut River Valley. This resulted in some of the worst flooding ever recorded in this area. The most recent hurricane to make landfall in Franklin County was Hurricane Bob, a weak category 2 hurricane, which made landfall in New England in August 1991. In Franklin County, Hurricane Bob caused roughly \$5,555,556 in property and crop damages. Category 1 storm Hurricane Gloria traveled directly through Shutesbury in 1985, and Tropical Storms Belle and Able moved over the northwest corner of Shutesbury in 1976. In 2011, Tropical Storm Irene caused over \$26 million in property damage in Franklin County, mostly from flooding impacts.

### **Probability of Future Events**

A 2017 U.S. Climate Science Special Report noted that there has been an upward trend in North Atlantic hurricane activity since 1970. The report forecasts that future hurricanes formed in the North Atlantic will drop more rain and may have higher wind speeds. This is because a warmer

atmosphere will hold more water, and hurricanes are efficient at wringing water out of the atmosphere and dumping it on land.<sup>20</sup>

Shutesbury's location in western Massachusetts reduces the risk of extremely high winds that are associated with hurricanes, although it can experience some high wind events, especially at higher elevations. Therefore Shutesbury has a High probability, or a 25 to 50% chance, of experiencing a hurricane or tropical storm event in a given year.

### **Impact**

The Vulnerability Assessment revealed a hurricane occurrence could have a Limited or Critical impact the town, with potential multiple injuries to citizens possible and with a potential of between 10 and 50% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed.

### **Vulnerability**

The entire town would be vulnerable to the impact of a hurricane or tropical storm. Areas prone to flooding are particularly vulnerable. Additionally high winds could impact the town's communication and energy infrastructure.

### **Society**

#### Vulnerable Populations

Among the exposed populations, the most vulnerable include people with low socioeconomic status, people over the age of 65, people with medical needs, and those with low English language fluency. For example, people with low socioeconomic status are likely to consider the economic impacts of evacuation when deciding whether or not to evacuate. Individuals with medical needs may have trouble evacuating and accessing needed medical care while displaced. Those who have low English language fluency may not receive or understand the warnings to evacuate. During and after an event, rescue workers and utility workers are vulnerable to impacts from high water, swift currents, rescues, and submerged debris. Vulnerable populations may also be less likely to have adequate resources to recover from the loss of their homes and jobs or to relocate from a damaged neighborhood.

Table 3-19 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a hurricane

---

<sup>20</sup> ResilientMA: Climate Change Clearing House for the Commonwealth: <http://resilientma.org/changes/extreme-weather>. Accessed January 11, 2019.

or tropical storm event.

<b>Table 3-19: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury</b>		
<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

### Health Impacts

The health impacts from hurricanes and tropical storms can generally be separated into impacts from flooding and impacts from wind. The potential health impacts of flooding are extensive, and are discussed in detail in the Flooding section. In general, some of the most serious flooding-related health threats include floodwaters sweeping away individuals or cars, downed power lines, and exposure to hazards in the water, including dangerous animals or infectious organisms. Contact with contaminated floodwaters can cause gastrointestinal illness.

Wind-related health threats associated with hurricanes are most commonly caused by projectiles propelled by the storm's winds. Wind- and water-caused damage to residential structures can also increase the risk of threat impacts by leaving residents more exposed to the elements. Hurricanes that occur later in the year also increase the risk of hypothermia.

### Economic Impacts

In addition to the human costs that extreme storms deliver when they permanently or temporarily displace people, the repair and reconstruction costs after storm damage can be enormous for homeowners and businesses. When bridges and culverts have been washed away and roads damaged, municipal and state agencies must secure the resources for expensive

recovery projects in limited municipal budgets and from Federal disaster grant programs that are increasingly over-subscribed. Electrical grid, power plants and wastewater infrastructure repair costs are all expected to increase in the future.<sup>21</sup>

**Infrastructure**

Residential and commercial buildings built along rivers may be vulnerable to severe damage. Potential structural damage to the facilities themselves may include damage to roofs and building frames. These facilities may not be fully operational if workers are unable to travel to ensure continuity of operations prior and after a severe winter event. Table 3-20 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Shutesbury, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of a hurricane or tropical storm.

<b>Table 3-20: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

Energy

Hurricanes and tropical storms often result in power outages and contact with damaged power lines during and after a storm, which may result in electrocution.

Public Health

Combined sewer overflows associated with heavy rainfall can release contaminants, chemicals, and pathogens directly into the environment and into water systems. If a mass outbreak of waterborne illness were to occur, hospitals and medical providers may lack the capacity to treat patients.

---

<sup>21</sup> ResilientMA: Climate Change Clearing House for the Commonwealth: <http://resilientma.org/changes/extreme-weather>. Accessed January 29, 2019.

### Public Safety

Critical infrastructure, including local and state-owned police and fire stations, other public safety buildings, and facilities that serve as emergency operation centers may experience direct loss (damage) during a hurricane or tropical storm. Emergency responders may also be exposed to hazardous situations when responding to calls. Road blockages caused by downed trees may impair travel.

### Transportation

Some roads and bridges are also considered critical infrastructure, particularly those providing ingress and egress and allowing emergency vehicles access to those in need. Costly damage to roads, bridges, and rail networks may occur as a result of hurricanes.<sup>22</sup>

### Water and Wastewater Infrastructure

Wastewater treatment centers may face elevated risks of damage and destruction from hurricanes (resilient MA, 2018). Heavy rains can lead to contamination of well water and can release contaminants from septic systems (DPH, 2014). Heavy rainfall can also overburden stormwater systems, drinking water supplies, and sewage systems.

### ***Environment***

The environmental impacts of hurricanes and tropical storms are similar to those described for other hazards, including flooding, severe winter storms and other severe weather events. As described for human health, environmental impacts can generally be divided into short-term direct impacts and long-term impacts. As the storm is occurring, flooding may disrupt normal ecosystem function and wind may fell trees and other vegetation. Additionally, wind-borne or waterborne detritus can cause mortality to animals if they are struck or transported to a non-suitable habitat.

In the longer term, impacts to natural resources and the environment as a result of hurricanes and tropical storms are generally related to changes in the physical structure of ecosystems. For example, flooding may cause scour in riverbeds and erode riverbanks, modifying the river ecosystem and depositing the scoured sediment in another location. Similarly, trees that fall during the storm may represent lost habitat for local species, or they may decompose and provide nutrients for the growth of new vegetation. If the storm spreads pollutants into natural ecosystems, contamination can disrupt food and water supplies, causing widespread and long-term population impacts on species in the area.

---

<sup>22</sup> Resilient MA 2018.

## Vulnerability Summary

Based on the above analysis, Shutesbury faces a Medium vulnerability from hurricanes and tropical storms. An occurrence could possibly Critically impact the Town. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's greatest areas of concern regarding hurricanes and tropical storms.

### Hurricane / Tropical Storm Hazard Problem Statements

- Shutesbury's town bylaws, subdivision regulations, and regulatory review process do not fully/directly address how development affects flooding or include requirements for mitigating floods.
- Uncontrolled runoff from Morse Hill is contributing to erosion problems along Locks Pond Road and roadways located along the western side of Lake Wyola; this problem would be exacerbated during a heavy rain event.
- Many gravel roads throughout Town do not have proper drainage and are prone to inundation flooding or erosion. In particular, Roads along Lake Wyola, Cooleyville Road, Montague Road, Sandhill Road, Pratt Corner Road, and Baker Roads are prone to chronic flooding. Nature based solutions to increase flood resiliency are needed at these locations.
- Many of Shutesbury's residents rely on private wells, placing them at risk during prolonged power outages caused by extreme weather events. Flooding may also compromise water quality.
- All Shutesbury residents rely on private septic systems and may not understand the consequences of failing to pump out and maintain septic tanks and leach fields before flooding events.
- Culvert and bridge maintenance is needed throughout town. Maintenance needs are not inventoried and prioritized.
- The roofs at the elementary school, old Town Hall, and Highway Department salt shed are in need of repair.
- Eversource and the Highway Department routinely removes trees, but the assessment and cutting program could be expanded to further mitigate hazards.
- Heavy rain and strong winds associated with hurricanes and tropical storms exacerbates the risk of flooding, dam failures, and severe wind hazards. Also see the flooding, dam failure, and severe thunderstorm, wind, or microburst hazard problem statements.

### Hurricane / Tropical Storm Hazard Problem Statements


- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- Many of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by a hurricane or tropical storm.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as use of sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.



### 3.5 SEVERE THUNDERSTORMS / WIND / MICROBURSTS

#### Potential Effects of Climate Change

Climate change is expected to increase extreme weather events across the globe and in Massachusetts. Climate change leads to extreme weather because of warmer air and ocean temperatures and changing air currents. Warmer air leads to more evaporation from large water bodies and holds more moisture, so when clouds release their precipitation, there is more of it. In addition, changes in atmospheric air currents like jet streams and ocean currents can cause changes in the intensity and duration of stormy weather. While it is difficult to connect one storm to a changing climate, scientists point to the northeastern United States as one of the regions that is most vulnerable to an increase in extreme weather driven by climate change.<sup>23</sup>



Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

A thunderstorm is a storm originating in a cumulonimbus cloud. Cumulonimbus clouds produce lightning, which locally heats the air to 50,000 degrees Celsius, which in turn produces an audible shock wave, known as thunder. Frequently during thunderstorm events, heavy rain and gusty winds are present. Less frequently, hail is present, which can become very large in size. Tornadoes can also be generated during these events. According to the National Weather Service, a thunderstorm is classified as “severe” when it produces damaging wind gusts in excess of 58 mph (50 knots), hail that is 1 inch in diameter or larger (quarter size), or a tornado.

Every thunderstorm has an updraft (rising air) and a downdraft (sinking air). Sometimes strong downdrafts known as downbursts can cause tremendous wind damage that is similar to that of a tornado. A small (less than 2.5 mile path) downburst is known as a “microburst” and a larger downburst is called a “macro-burst.” An organized, fast-moving line of microbursts traveling

<sup>23</sup> ResilientMA: Climate Change Clearing House for the Commonwealth: <http://resilientma.org/changes/extreme-weather>. Accessed January 29, 2019.

across large areas is known as a “derecho.” These occasionally occur in Massachusetts. Winds exceeding 100 mph have been measured from downbursts in Massachusetts.

Wind is air in motion relative to surface of the earth. For non-tropical events over land, the NWS issues a Wind Advisory (sustained winds of 31 to 39 mph for at least 1 hour or any gusts 46 to 57 mph) or a High Wind Warning (sustained winds 40+ mph or any gusts 58+ mph). For non-tropical events over water, the NWS issues a small craft advisory (sustained winds 25-33 knots), a gale warning (sustained winds 34-47 knots), a storm warning (sustained winds 48 to 63 knots), or a hurricane force wind warning (sustained winds 64+ knots). For tropical systems, the NWS issues a tropical storm warning for any areas (inland or coastal) that are expecting sustained winds from 39 to 73 mph. A hurricane warning is issued for any areas (inland or coastal) that are expecting sustained winds of 74 mph. Effects from high winds can include downed trees and/or power lines and damage to roofs, windows, and other structural components. High winds can cause scattered power outages. High winds are also a hazard for aircraft.

### **Location**

The entire town of Shutesbury is at risk for severe thunderstorms, wind and microbursts, giving it a Large location of occurrence with more than 50% of the town affected.

### **Extent**

An average thunderstorm is 15 miles across and lasts 30 minutes; severe thunderstorms can be much larger and longer. The severity of thunderstorms can vary widely, from commonplace and short-term events to large-scale storms that result in direct damage and flooding.














Thunderstorms can cause hail, wind, and flooding, with widespread flooding the most common characteristic that leads to a storm being declared a disaster. The severity of flooding varies widely based both on characteristics of the storm itself and the region in which it occurs. Lightning can occasionally also present a severe hazard. Southern New England typically experiences 10 to 15 days per year with severe thunderstorms.

Microbursts are typically less than three miles across. They can last anywhere from a few seconds to several minutes. Microbursts cause damaging winds up to 170 miles per hour in strength and can be accompanied by precipitation.

Shutesbury is susceptible to high winds from several types of weather events: before and after frontal systems, hurricanes and tropical storms, severe thunderstorms and tornadoes, and nor'easters. Sometimes, wind gusts of only 40 to 45 mph can cause scattered power outages from downed trees and wires. This is especially true after periods of prolonged drought or

excessive rainfall, since both are situations that can weaken the root systems and make them more susceptible to the winds' effects. Winds measuring less than 30 mph are not considered to be hazardous under most circumstances. Wind speeds in a hurricane are measured using the Saffir-Simpson scale. Another scale developed for measuring wind is the Beaufort wind scale (see Figure 3-7).

**Figure 3-9: Beaufort Wind Scale**

Beaufort number	Wind Speed (mph)	Seaman's term		Effects on Land
0	Under 1	Calm		Calm; smoke rises vertically.
1	1-3	Light Air		Smoke drift indicates wind direction; vanes do not move.
2	4-7	Light Breeze		Wind felt on face; leaves rustle; vanes begin to move.
3	8-12	Gentle Breeze		Leaves, small twigs in constant motion; light flags extended.
4	13-18	Moderate Breeze		Dust, leaves and loose paper raised up; small branches move.
5	19-24	Fresh Breeze		Small trees begin to sway.
6	25-31	Strong Breeze		Large branches of trees in motion; whistling heard in wires.
7	32-38	Moderate Gale		Whole trees in motion; resistance felt in walking against the wind.
8	39-46	Fresh Gale		Twigs and small branches broken off trees.
9	47-54	Strong Gale		Slight structural damage occurs; slate blown from roofs.
10	55-63	Whole Gale		Seldom experienced on land; trees broken; structural damage occurs.
11	64-72	Storm		Very rarely experienced on land; usually with widespread damage.
12	73 or higher	Hurricane Force		Violence and destruction.

Source: Developed in 1805 by Sir Francis Beaufort

### Previous Occurrences

Since 1996, a total of 15 high wind events occurred in Franklin County (Table 3-21), causing a total of \$303,000 in property damages. High winds are defined by the National Weather Service as sustained non-convective winds of 35 knots (40 mph) or greater lasting for 1 hour or longer, or gusts of 50 knots (58 mph) or greater for any duration. The probability of future high wind events is expected to increase as a result of climate projections for the state that suggest a

greater occurrence of severe weather events in the future.

<b>Table 3-21: High Wind Events in Franklin County</b>			
<b>Year</b>	<b># of High Wind Events</b>	<b>Annual Property Damage</b>	<b>Annual Crop Damage</b>
1996	2	\$0	\$0
1999	1	\$0	\$0
2003	2	\$130,000	\$0
2004	1	\$30,000	\$0
2005	1	\$10,000	\$0
2006	3	\$68,000	\$0
2011	1	\$15,000	\$0
2013	2	\$35,000	\$0
2018	1	\$3,000	
2019	1	\$12,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>\$303,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Source: NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

Thunderstorm winds are defined by the National Weather Service as winds arising from convection (occurring within 30 minutes of lightning being observed or detected) with speeds of at least 50 knots (58 mph), or winds of any speed (non-severe thunderstorm winds below 50 knots) producing a fatality, injury, or damage. Though it has experienced many additional incidents of severe thunderstorm winds, Shutesbury has experienced six (6) NOAA-recorded thunderstorm wind events since 1994 (Table 3-22). These storms resulted in downed trees and wires and caused \$35,000 in property damage.

<b>Table 3-22: Thunderstorm Wind Events in Shutesbury</b>				
<b>Year</b>	<b># of Thunderstorm Wind Events</b>	<b>Annual Property Damage</b>	<b>Annual Crop Damage</b>	<b>Event Description</b>
1997	1	\$0	\$0	Trees and powerlines downed across Franklin County.
2008	1	\$10,000	\$0	Trees downed in Leverett and Shutesbury.
2014	1	\$3,000	\$0	Large limbs downed onto wires on West Pelham Road.
2015	1	\$5,000	\$0	A tree on West Pelham Road was downed by thunderstorm winds.
2016	1	\$15,000	\$0	Trees and wires on Route 202 downed by thunderstorm winds.

Table 3-22: Thunderstorm Wind Events in Shutesbury				
Year	# of Thunderstorm Wind Events	Annual Property Damage	Annual Crop Damage	Event Description
2017	1	\$2,000	\$0	Tree and wires down in front of the fire station.
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$35,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

Source: NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

Secondary hazards of thunderstorms and severe weather include lightning and hail. In Franklin County, 23 lightning events since 1997 caused a total of \$835,500 in property damages (Table 3-23).

Table 3-23: Lightning Events in Franklin County			
Year	# of Lightning Events	Annual Property Damage	Annual Crop Damage
1997	1	\$3,000	\$0
2001	1	\$20,000	\$0
2002	1	\$15,000	\$0
2004	1	\$35,000	\$0
2005	1	\$50,000	\$0
2008	1	\$10,000	\$0
2010	2	\$25,000	\$0
2012	1	\$500,000	\$0
2013	4	\$49,000	\$0
2014	3	\$93,000	\$0
2018	6	\$35,500	\$0
2019	1	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$835,500</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Source: NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

A total of 45 hail events have been reported in Franklin County since 1998 (Table 3-24). Property damage was only recorded for one event, in the amount of \$5,000. One hail event in 2008 resulted in \$50,000 in crop damages. Pea to marble size hail fell in a swath from Colrain to Shelburne damaging apple and peach orchards. An estimated 45 acres of apples and two to three acres of peaches were damaged by the hail.

Table 3-24: Hail Events in Franklin County			
Year	# of Hail Events	Annual Property Damage	Annual Crop Damage

1998	4	\$0	\$0
2000	1	\$0	\$0
2001	1	\$0	\$0
2003	1	\$0	\$0
2004	2	\$0	\$0
2005	3	\$5,000	\$0
2007	5	\$0	\$0
2008	7	\$0	\$50,000
2009	2	\$0	\$0
2010	4	\$0	\$0
2011	4	\$0	\$0
2012	1	\$0	\$0
2013	3	\$0	\$0
2017	3	\$0	\$0
2018	1	\$0	\$0
2020	3	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>

Source: NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

### Probability of Future Events

According to the National Weather Service, Massachusetts experiences between 20 to 30 thunderstorm days each year. Based on past occurrences, there is a Very High probability (50 to 100% chance) of a severe thunderstorm or winds affecting the town in a given year. Climate change is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of thunderstorms and other severe weather.

### Impact

The entire town of Shutesbury is vulnerable to high winds that can cause extensive damage. The U.S. is divided into four wind zones. States located in Wind Zone IV have experienced the greatest number of tornadoes and the strongest tornadoes. The Commonwealth is located within Wind Zone II, which includes wind speeds up to 180 mph. The entire Commonwealth is also located within the hurricane-susceptible region, and the western portion of the Commonwealth is located within the special wind region, in which wind-speed anomalies are present and additional consideration of the wind hazard is warranted. The entire town of Shutesbury can experience the effect and impact from severe thunderstorms, microbursts, and hail. The magnitude of impact of a severe thunderstorm event is likely Limited, with more than 10% of property in the affected area damaged or destroyed.

Some high-hazard trees were removed from West Cemetery on Leverett Road to minimize the

impact of a potential wind event. The Shutesbury Highway Department conducts regular maintenance to mitigate the impacts of flash flooding and high winds, such as those that accompany severe thunderstorms, by making sure culverts and drainage ways are open and tree trimming fairly aggressively. Shutesbury recently completed a multi-year project side tripping all 16 miles of Town-managed roads; what maintenance the Highway Department cannot handle with their equipment is managed by a tree company contracted two to three times per year. In the event of a high wind event with damage to trees and infrastructure, Shutesbury would be able to manage tree debris but would not likely be able to meet the standard for other kinds of materials.

**Vulnerability**

***Society***

The entire population of Shutesbury is considered exposed to high-wind and thunderstorm events. Downed trees, damaged buildings, and debris carried by high winds can lead to injury or loss of life. Populations located outdoors are considered at risk and more vulnerable to many storm impacts, particularly lightning strikes, compared to those who are located inside. Moving to a lower risk location will decrease a person’s vulnerability.

Vulnerable Populations

Socially vulnerable populations are most susceptible to severe weather based on a number of factors, including their physical and financial ability to react or respond during a hazard, and the location and construction quality of their housing. In general, vulnerable populations include people over the age of 65, the elderly living alone, people with low socioeconomic status, people with low English language fluency, people with limited mobility or a life-threatening illness, and people who lack transportation or are living in areas that are isolated from major roads. The isolation of these populations is a significant concern.

Table 3-25 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a severe weather event.

<b>Table 3-25: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury</b>		
<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>

**Table 3-25: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

Power outages can be life-threatening to those dependent on electricity for life support. Power outages may also result in inappropriate use of combustion heaters, cooking appliances and generators in indoor or poorly ventilated areas, leading to increased risks of carbon monoxide poisoning. People who work or engage in recreation outdoors are also vulnerable to severe weather.

### Health Impacts

Both high winds and thunderstorms present potential safety impacts for individuals without access to shelter during these events. Extreme rainfall events can also affect raw water quality by increasing turbidity and bacteriological contaminants leading to gastrointestinal illness. Additionally, research has found that thunderstorms may cause the rate of emergency room visits for asthma to increase to 5 to 10 times the normal rate.<sup>24</sup> Much of this phenomenon is attributed to the stress and anxiety that many individuals, particularly children, experience during severe thunderstorms. The combination of wind, rain, and lightning from thunderstorms with pollen and mold spores can exacerbate asthma. The rapidly falling air temperatures characteristic of a thunderstorm as well as the production of nitrogen oxide gas during lightning strikes have also both been correlated with asthma.

### Economic Impacts

<sup>24</sup> Andrews, L.W. 2012. How Thunderstorms Affect Health. Psychology Today. June 2, 2012.

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/minding-the-body/201206/how-thunderstorms-affect-health>



Wind storms and severe thunderstorms events may impact the economy, including direct building losses and the cost of repairing or replacing the damage caused to the building. Additional economic impacts may include loss of business functions, water supply system damage, inventory damage, relocation costs, wage losses, and rental losses due to the repair/replacement of buildings. Agricultural losses due to lightning and the resulting fires can be extensive. Lightning can be responsible for damage to buildings; can cause electrical, forest and/or wildfires; and can damage infrastructure, such as power transmission lines and communication towers.

Recovery and clean-up costs can also be costly, resulting in further economic impacts. Prolonged obstruction of major routes due to secondary hazards such as landslides, debris, or floodwaters can disrupt the shipment of goods and other commerce. Large, prolonged storms can have negative economic impacts on an entire region.

Because of differences in building construction, residential structures are generally more susceptible to wind damage than commercial and industrial structures. Wood and masonry buildings in general, regardless of their occupancy class, tend to experience more damage than concrete or steel buildings. Mobile homes are the most vulnerable to damage, even if tied down, and offer little protection to people inside.

### ***Infrastructure***

Damage to buildings is dependent upon several factors, including wind speed, storm duration, path of the storm track, and building construction. According to the Hazus wind model,<sup>25</sup> direct wind-induced damage (wind pressures and windborne debris) to buildings is dependent upon the performance of components and cladding, including the roof covering (shingles, tiles, membrane), roof sheathing (typically wood-frame construction only), windows, and doors, and is modeled as such. Structural wall failures can occur for masonry and wood-frame walls, and uplift of whole roof systems can occur due to failures at the roof/wall connections. Foundation failures (i.e., sliding, overturning, and uplift) can potentially take place in manufactured homes.

Massachusetts is divided into three design wind speeds for four risk categories, the limits of which are defined by the Massachusetts State Building Code (9th Edition). National wind data prepared by the American Society of Civil Engineers serve as the basis of these wind design requirements (“Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures,” American Society of Civil Engineers ASCE-7). Generally speaking, structures should be designed to withstand the total wind load of their location. Shutesbury falls within Wind Load Zone I. Refer to the State

---

<sup>25</sup> <https://www.fema.gov/hazus-mh-hurricane-wind-model>

Building Code (9th Edition [780 CMR] Chapter 16 Structural Design, as amended by Massachusetts) for appropriate reference wind pressures, wind forces on roofs, and similar data.

All elements of the built environment are exposed to severe weather events such as high winds and thunderstorms. Table 3-26 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Town, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of high winds or a severe thunderstorm.

<b>Table 3-26: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

Many of the roofs on Shutesbury’s municipal buildings are aging and vulnerable to wind damage. The Town Hall was recently re-roofed and the fire highway departments’ roofs appears to be in good condition, but the elementary school, the old Town Hall, and highway department’s salt shed are all in need of repair or replacement.

Agriculture

Forestry species and agricultural crops, equipment, and infrastructure may be directly impacted by high winds. Trees are also vulnerable to lightning strikes.

Energy

The most common problem associated with severe weather is loss of utilities. Severe windstorms causing downed trees can create serious impacts on power and aboveground communication lines. Downed power lines can cause blackouts, leaving large areas isolated. Loss of electricity and phone connections would leave certain populations isolated because residents would be unable to call for assistance. Additionally, the loss of power can impact heating or cooling provision to citizens (including the young and elderly, who are particularly vulnerable to temperature-related health impacts).

Utility infrastructure (power lines, gas lines, electrical systems) could suffer damage, and impacts can result in the loss of power, which can impact business operations. After an event, there is a risk of fire, electrocution, or an explosion.

#### Public Safety

Public safety facilities and equipment may experience a direct loss (damage) from high winds.

#### Transportation

Roads may become impassable due to flash or urban flooding, downed trees and power lines, or due to landslides caused by heavy, prolonged rains. Impacts to transportation lifelines affect both short-term (e.g., evacuation activities) and long-term (e.g., day-to-day commuting) transportation needs.

#### Water & Wastewater Infrastructure

The hail, wind, and flash flooding associated with thunderstorms and high winds can cause damage to water infrastructure. Flooding can overburden stormwater, drinking water, and wastewater systems. Water and sewer systems may not function if power is lost.

#### ***Environment***

As described under other hazards, such as hurricanes and severe winter storms, high winds can defoliate forest canopies and cause structural changes within an ecosystem that can destabilize food webs and cause widespread repercussions. Direct damage to plant species can include uprooting or total destruction of trees and an increased threat of wildfire in areas of tree debris. High winds can also erode soils, which can damage both the ecosystem from which soil is removed as well as the system on which the sediment is ultimately deposited.

Environmental impacts of extreme precipitation events are discussed in depth in the Flooding section, and often include soil erosion, the growth of excess fungus or bacteria, and direct impacts to wildlife. For example, research by the Butterfly Conservation Foundation shows that above average rainfall events have prevented butterflies from successfully completing their mating rituals, causing population numbers to decline. Harmful algal blooms and associated neurotoxins can also be a secondary hazard of extreme precipitation events as well as heat. Public drinking water reservoirs may also be damaged by widespread winds uprooting watershed forests and creating serious water quality disturbances.

#### **Vulnerability Summary**

Based on the above assessment, Shutesbury has a High vulnerability to severe thunderstorms

and wind events. Thunderstorms are common in New England, and can impact property, utilities and the population of Shutesbury. Microbursts are less common, but can cause significant damage when they do occur. The cascade effects of severe storms include utility losses and transportation accidents and flooding. Particular areas of vulnerability include low-income and elderly populations, and infrastructure such as roadways and utilities that can be damaged by such storms and the low-lying areas that can be impacted by flooding. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury’s areas of greatest concern regarding severe thunderstorms and wind events.

<b>Severe Thunderstorm / Wind Hazard Problem Statements</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shutesbury’s town bylaws, subdivision regulations, and regulatory review process do not fully/directly address how development affects flooding or include requirements for mitigating floods.</li> <li>• Uncontrolled runoff from Morse Hill is contributing to erosion problems along Locks Pond Road and roadways located along the western side of Lake Wyola; this problem would be exacerbated during a heavy rain event.</li> <li>• Many gravel roads throughout Town do not have proper drainage and are prone to inundation flooding or erosion. In particular, Roads along Lake Wyola, Cooleyville Road, Montague Road, Sandhill Road, Pratt Corner Road, and Baker Roads are prone to chronic flooding. Nature based solutions to increase flood resiliency are needed at these locations.</li> <li>• Many of Shutesbury’s residents rely on private wells, placing them at risk during prolonged power outages caused by extreme weather events.</li> <li>• An estimated 44% of Shutesbury’s housing stock was built prior to building codes that require structures to withstand high winds.</li> <li>• The roofs at the elementary school, old Town Hall, and Highway Department salt shed are in need of repair.</li> <li>• Eversource and the Highway Department routinely removes trees, but the assessment and cutting program could be expanded to further mitigate hazards, including regular brush mowing and pruning.</li> <li>• Trees in West Cemetery on Leverett Road continue to present a hazard in the event of a wind storm.</li> <li>• Section 8.6.2.B, Common Driveways, of the Town’s zoning bylaws does not currently require utility lines be placed underground for all new development subject to the common driveway Bylaw</li> </ul>


### Severe Thunderstorm / Wind Hazard Problem Statements

- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- Many of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by severe winds.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.6 TORNADOES

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

Climate change is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of severe weather, which can include tornadoes. However, tornadoes are too small to be simulated well by climate models. Therefore, specific predictions about how this hazard will change are not possible, given current technical limitations. As discussed in other sections in this Plan, the conditions that are conducive to tornadoes (which are also conducive to other weather phenomena, such as hurricanes and tropical storms) are expected to become more severe under global warming.



Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

A tornado is a narrow, violently rotating column of air that extends from the base of a cumulonimbus cloud to the ground. The observable aspect of a tornado is the rotating column of water droplets, with dust and debris caught in the column. Tornadoes are the most violent of all atmospheric storms.

The following are common factors in tornado formation:

- Very strong winds in the middle and upper levels of the atmosphere
- Clockwise turning of the wind with height (i.e., from southeast at the surface to west aloft)
- Increasing wind speed in the lowest 10,000 feet of the atmosphere (i.e., 20 mph at the surface and 50 mph at 7,000 feet)
- Very warm, moist air near the ground, with unusually cooler air aloft
- A forcing mechanism such as a cold front or leftover weather boundary from previous shower or thunderstorm activity

Tornadoes can form from individual cells within severe thunderstorm squall lines. They can also form from an isolated supercell thunderstorm. They can be spawned by tropical cyclones or the remnants thereof, and weak tornadoes can even occur from little more than a rain shower if air

is converging and spinning upward. Most tornadoes occur in the late afternoon and evening hours, when the heating is the greatest. The most common months for tornadoes to occur are June, July, and August, although the Conway, Massachusetts, tornado (2017) occurred in February.

A tornadic waterspout is a rapidly rotating column of air extending from the cloud base (typically a cumulonimbus thunderstorm) to a water surface, such as a bay or the ocean. They can be formed in the same way as regular tornadoes, or can form on a clear day with the right amount of instability and wind shear. Tornadic waterspouts can have wind speeds of 60 to 100 mph, but since they do not move very far, they can often be navigated around. They can become a threat to land if they drift onshore.


### **Location**

Figure 3-11 illustrates the reported tornado occurrences, based on all-time initial touchdown locations across the Commonwealth as documented in the NOAA NCDC Storm Events Database. ArcGIS was used to calculate an average score per square mile. The analysis indicated that the area at greatest risk for a tornado touchdown runs from central to northeastern Massachusetts, and includes Erving and much of Franklin County. Tornadoes are rated as having an area of occurrence of Isolated. If a tornado were to occur in Shutesbury, it would likely impact less than 10% of the Town.

### **Extent**

The NWS rates tornadoes using the Enhanced Fujita scale (EF scale), which does not directly measure wind speed but rather the amount of damage created. This scale derives 3-second gusts estimated at the point of damage based on the assignment of 1 out of 8 degrees of damage to a range of different structure types. These estimates vary with height and exposure. This method is considerably more sophisticated than the original Fujita scale, and it allows surveyors to create more precise assessments of tornado severity. Figure 3-12 provides guidance from NOAA about the impacts of a storm with each rating.







Figure 3-11: Density of Reported Tornadoes per Square Mile



Source: NOAA Storm Prediction Center (SPC), as presented in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.



**Figure 3-12: Enhanced Fujita Scale & Guide to Tornado Severity**

Scale	Wind Speed Estimate		Potential damage	Example of Damage
	mph	km/h		
<b>EF0</b>	65–85	105–137	Minor damage. Peels surface off some roofs; some damage to gutters or siding; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over. Confirmed tornadoes with no reported damage (i.e., those that remain in open fields) are always rated EF0.	
<b>EF1</b>	86–110	138–177	Moderate damage. Roofs severely stripped; mobile homes overturned or badly damaged; loss of exterior doors; windows and other glass broken.	
<b>EF2</b>	111–135	178–217	Considerable damage. Roofs torn off from well-constructed houses; foundations of frame homes shifted; mobile homes completely destroyed; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.	
<b>EF3</b>	136–165	218–266	Severe damage. Entire stories of well-constructed houses destroyed; severe damage to large buildings such as shopping malls; trains overturned; trees debarked; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown; structures with weak foundations are badly damaged.	
<b>EF4</b>	166–200	267–322	Devastating damage. Well-constructed and whole frame houses completely leveled; some frame homes may be swept away; cars and other large objects thrown and small missiles generated.	
<b>EF5</b>	>200	>322	Incredible damage. Strong-framed, well-built houses leveled off foundations and swept away; steel-reinforced concrete structures are critically damaged; tall buildings collapse or have severe structural deformations; cars, trucks, and trains can be thrown approximately 1 mile (1.6 km).	

Source: Wikipedia: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enhanced\\_Fujita\\_scale](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enhanced_Fujita_scale)

**Previous Occurrences**

On June 1, 2011, thunderstorms forming ahead of a cold front across Southern New England organized into discrete supercells in an environment highly favorable for tornado formation. A tornado evaluated to be an EF-3 tornado entered Hampden County from the Berkshires, touched down in Westfield, and continued on a 38 mile long trek through West Springfield, Springfield, Wilbraham, Monson, Brimfield, and Sturbridge. This tornado was on the ground for an estimated 70 minutes. About two hours later, another supercell tracked just to the north of the storm track of the EF3 tornado. While its rotation was not as strong, it produced brief tornadoes in Wilbraham (EF1), North Brimfield (EF1), and Sturbridge (EF0). While the focus was on the tornadoes and their damage, damaging winds, large hail up to two inches in diameter, and some flash flooding also occurred across southern New England.

Since the 1950s, there have been over twenty tornadoes in Franklin County. In the last two decades, five tornadoes have been reported in Franklin County, in the towns of Heath, Charlemont, Wendell, New Salem, and Conway (Table 3-27). The February 2017 tornado in the center of Conway was the most destructive, impacting forests and causing major property damage to several homes, barns, and a church that subsequently had to be torn down. Miraculously, no deaths or serious injuries were reported.

Table 3-27: Tornado Events in Franklin County				
Date	Severity	Property Damage	Crop Damage	Event Narrative
7/3/1997	F1	\$50,000	\$0	A tornado touched down just west of Number Nine Road in Heath and then skipped along a path which ended about a mile into northwest Colrain. Many large trees were uprooted or snapped at their mid levels. A silo was destroyed and part of the roof of an attached barn was peeled back. A hay tractor was flipped over with its wheels in the air. Doors to a garage were blown in and the roof was partially ripped off. The tornado affected mostly wooded terrain and did extensive tree damage when it passed through a state forest. The path width was up to 100 yards. There were no injuries.
7/3/1997	F1	\$50,000	\$0	A tornado touched down in the eastern part of Charlemont and travelled east causing damage to a campground. Fifteen trailers were damaged from falling trees and flying debris. Two of the trailers were severely damaged and one was destroyed with seven trees falling on top of it. Eyewitnesses reported rotation in the clouds and debris. The tornado then moved through the higher terrain of the Catamount State Forest. The path was discontinuous and ranged in width from 50 to 100 yards. The tornado path ended in the Copeland Hills section of Colrain. There were no direct injuries reported.

Table 3-27: Tornado Events in Franklin County				
Date	Severity	Property Damage	Crop Damage	Event Narrative
7/11/2006	F2	\$200,000	\$0	Brief F2 touchdown in Wendell
9/1/2013	EF0	\$0	\$0	A Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation employee observed a waterspout on Quabbin Reservoir in New Salem, MA. He was able to snap two pictures of the storm, one showing a funnel and another showing the funnel extended down to the water. The waterspout was very short lived, never hit land, and did no damage and injured no people. Winds aloft were not conducive for tornadic development, but the environment was unstable and a surface front was moving through the region.
2/25/2017	EF1	\$400,000	\$0	This tornado touched down at 7:23 pm on Main Poland Road in western Conway, Massachusetts. The path width started at 50 yards, with a sharp gradient evident of damage versus no damage. Large sections of forest had thick pine trees snapped at mid-tree. Numerous power lines were downed along the path into downtown Conway. The path width grew, reaching a maximum width of 200 yards near the town hall. Several houses were severely damaged on Whately Road, southeast of the town hall. Roofs were blown off, and in one case the side walls of a house were missing with the interior of the house exposed. On Hill View Road a large barn collapsed. One injury occurred when a tree landed on a house on South Deerfield Road east of town. That was where the visible damage path ended.

Source: NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

### Probability of Future Events

As highlighted in the National Climate Assessment, tornado activity in the U.S. has become more variable, and increasingly so in the last 2 decades. While the number of days per year that tornadoes occur has decreased, the number of tornadoes on these days has increased. Climate models show projections that the frequency and intensity of severe thunderstorms (which include tornadoes, hail, and winds) will increase. Based on past occurrences, there is a moderate probability (2 to 25% chance) of a tornado affecting the town in a given year.

### Impact

Tornadoes are potentially the most dangerous of local storms. If a major tornado were to strike in the populated areas of Shutesbury, damage could be widespread. Fatalities could be high; many people could be displaced for an extended period of time; buildings could be damaged or destroyed; businesses could be forced to close for an extended period of time or even

permanently; and routine services, such as telephone or power, could be disrupted. The severity of impact of a tornado event is likely Critical, with more than 25% of property in the affected area damaged or destroyed.

## Vulnerability

### *Society*

The entire town of Shutesbury has the potential for tornado formation, and is located in the area within Massachusetts described above as having higher-than-average tornado frequency. Residents of impacted areas may be displaced or require temporary to long-term shelter due to severe weather events. In addition, downed trees, damaged buildings, and debris carried by high winds can lead to injury or loss of life.

### Vulnerable Populations

In general, vulnerable populations include people over the age of 65, people with low socioeconomic status, people with low English language fluency, people with compromised immune systems, and residents living in areas that are isolated from major roads. Power outages can be life-threatening to those who are dependent on electricity for life support and can result in increased risk of carbon monoxide poisoning. Individuals with limited communication capacity, such as those with limited internet or phone access, may not be aware of impending tornado warnings. The isolation of these populations is also a significant concern, as is the potential insufficiency of older or less stable housing to offer adequate shelter from tornadoes. Residents living in mobile homes are at increased risk to tornadoes.

An estimated 293 housing units in Shutesbury, or 33 percent of all housing units in town, were built prior to the 1970s when the first building code went into effect in Massachusetts. An estimated 0 mobile homes are located in Shutesbury.<sup>26</sup> Table 3-28 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a tornado event.

**Table 3-28: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%

<sup>26</sup> U.S. Census Bureau 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

**Table 3-28: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

### Health Impacts

The primary health hazard associated with tornadoes is the threat of direct injury from flying debris or structural collapse as well as the potential for an individual to be lifted and dropped by the tornado's winds. After the storm has subsided, tornadoes can present unique challenges to search and rescue efforts because of the extensive and widespread distribution of debris. The distribution of hazardous materials, including asbestos-containing building materials, can present an acute health risk for personnel cleaning up after a tornado disaster and for residents in the area. The duration of exposure to contaminated material may be far longer if drinking water reservoir or groundwater aquifers are contaminated. According to the EPA, properly designed storage facilities for hazardous materials can reduce the risk of those materials being spread during a tornado. Many of the health impacts described for other types of storms, including lack of access to a hospital, carbon monoxide poisoning from generators, and mental health impacts from storm-related trauma, could also occur as a result of tornado activity.

### Economic Impacts

Tornado events are typically localized; however, in those areas, economic impacts can be significant. Types of impacts may include loss of business functions, water supply system damage, damage to inventories, relocation costs, wage losses, and rental losses due to the repair or replacement of buildings. Recovery and clean-up costs can also be costly. The damage inflicted by historical tornadoes in Massachusetts varies widely, but the average damage per event is approximately \$3.9 million.

Because of differences in building construction, residential structures are generally more susceptible to tornado damage than commercial and industrial structures. Wood and masonry

buildings in general, regardless of their occupancy class, tend to experience more damage than concrete or steel buildings. Mobile homes are the most vulnerable to damage, even if tied down, and offer little protection to people inside.

***Infrastructure***

All critical facilities and infrastructure in Shutesbury are exposed to tornado events. Table 3-29 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Town, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of a tornado.

<b>Table 3-29: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

**Agriculture**

Forestry species and agricultural crops, equipment, and infrastructure may be directly impacted by tornadoes.

**Energy**

High winds could down power lines and poles adjacent to roads. Damage to above-ground transmission infrastructure can result in extended power outages.

**Public Safety**

Public safety facilities and equipment may experience direct loss (damage) from tornadoes. Shelters and other critical facilities that provide services for people whose property is uninhabitable following a tornado may experience overcrowding and inadequate capacity to provide shelter space and services.

**Transportation**

Incapacity and loss of roads and bridges are the primary transportation failures resulting from

tornadoes, and these failures are primarily associated with secondary hazards, such as landslide events. Tornadoes can cause significant damage to trees and power lines, blocking roads with debris, incapacitating transportation, isolating populations, and disrupting ingress and egress. Of particular concern are bridges and roads providing access to isolated areas and to the elderly. Prolonged obstruction of major routes due to secondary hazards, such as landslides, debris, or floodwaters, can disrupt the shipment of goods and other commerce. If the tornado is strong enough to transport large debris or knock out infrastructure, it can create serious impacts on power and aboveground communication lines.

### Water & Wastewater Infrastructure

The hail, wind, debris, and flash flooding associated with tornadoes can cause damage to infrastructure, such as storage tanks, hydrants, residential pumping fixtures, and distribution systems. Water and wastewater utilities are also vulnerable to potential contamination due to chemical leaks from ruptured containers. Ruptured service lines in damaged buildings and broken hydrants can lead to loss of water and pressure.

### ***Environment***

Direct impacts may occur to flora and fauna small enough to be uprooted and transported by the tornado. Even if the winds are not sufficient to transport trees and other large plants, they may still uproot them, causing significant damage to the surrounding habitat. As felled trees decompose, the increased dry matter may increase the threat of wildfire in vegetated areas. Additionally, the loss of root systems increases the potential for soil erosion.

Disturbances created by blowdown events may also impact the biodiversity and composition of the forest ecosystem. Invasive plant species are often able to quickly capitalize on the resources (such as sunlight) available in disturbed and damaged ecosystems. This enables them to gain a foothold and establish quickly with less competition from native species. In addition to damaging existing ecosystems, material transported by tornadoes can also cause environmental havoc in surrounding areas. Particular challenges are presented by the possibility of asbestos-contaminated building materials or other hazardous waste being transported to natural areas or bodies of water, which could then become contaminated. Public drinking water reservoirs may also be damaged by widespread winds uprooting watershed forests and creating serious water quality disturbances.

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Overall, Shutesbury has a Medium vulnerability to tornadoes. Tornadoes are not common occurrences in Shutesbury, but can cause significant damage when they do occur. The cascade effects of tornadoes include utility losses and transportation accidents and flooding. Losses

associated with the flood hazard are discussed earlier in this section. Particular areas of vulnerability include low-income and elderly populations, mobile homes, and infrastructure such as roadways and utilities that can be damaged by such storms and the low-lying areas that can be impacted by flooding. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's areas of greatest concern regarding tornadoes.

#### Tornado Hazard Problem Statements

- Many of Shutesbury's residents rely on private wells, placing them at risk during prolonged power outages caused by extreme weather events.
- An estimated 44% of Shutesbury's housing stock was built prior to building codes that require structures to withstand high winds.
- The roofs at the elementary school, old Town Hall, and Highway Department salt shed are in need of repair.
- Eversource and the Highway Department routinely removes trees, but the assessment and cutting program could be expanded to further mitigate hazards, including regular brush mowing and pruning.
- Trees in West Cemetery on Leverett Road continue to present a hazard in the event of a wind storm.
- Section 8.6.2.B, Common Driveways, of the Town's zoning bylaws does not currently require utility lines be placed underground for all new development subject to the common driveway Bylaw
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- Many of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by severe winds resulting from a tornado.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).



### Tornado Hazard Problem Statements



- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.7 WILDFIRE

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

Climate change has the potential to affect multiple elements of the wildfire system: fire behavior, ignitions, fire management, and vegetation fuels. Periods of hot, dry weather create the highest fire risk. Therefore, the predicted increase in average and extreme temperatures in the Commonwealth may intensify wildfire danger by warming and drying out vegetation. A recent study published in *the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* found that climate change has likely been a significant contributor to the expansion of wildfires in the western U.S., which have nearly doubled in extent in the past three decades.<sup>27</sup> Another study found that the frequency of lightning strikes—an occasional cause of wildfires—could increase by approximately 12 percent for every degree Celsius of warming.<sup>28</sup> Finally, the year-round increase in temperatures is likely to expand the duration of the fire season.

Climate change is also interacting with existing stressors to forests, making them more vulnerable to wildfire. Drought, invasive species, and extreme weather events, all can lead to more dead, downed, or dying trees, increasing the fire load in a forest.

Figure 3-13: Impacts of Climate Change on Wildfires		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	RISING TEMPERATURES AND CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → PROLONGED DROUGHT	Seasonal drought risk is projected to increase during summer and fall in the Northeast as higher temperatures lead to greater evaporation and earlier winter and spring snowmelt, coupled with more variable precipitation patterns. Drought and warmer temperatures may also heighten the risk of wildfire, by causing forested areas to dry out and become more flammable.
	RISING TEMPERATURES → MORE FREQUENT LIGHTNING	Research has found that the frequency of lightning strikes – an occasional cause of wildfires – could increase by approximately 12 percent for every degree Celsius of warming.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

A wildfire can be defined as any non-structure fire that occurs in vegetative wildland that contains grass, shrub, leaf litter, and forested tree fuels. Wildfires in Massachusetts are caused by natural events, human activity, or prescribed fire. Wildfires often begin unnoticed but spread

<sup>27</sup> Abatzoglou, J.T. and Williams, A.P. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests 2016 113 (42) 11770-11775; published ahead of print October 10, 2016, doi:10.1073/pnas.1607171113

<sup>28</sup> Romps, D.M. et al. 2014. Projected increase in lightning strikes in the United States due to global warming. Science. November 14, 2014. <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/346/6211/851>

quickly, igniting brush, trees, and potentially homes. Shutesbury Town Officials also pointed out that fires can start from infrastructure failure, particularly from electric poles coming down, arcing, and starting fires in roadside grass or brush. The wildfire season in Massachusetts usually begins in late March and typically culminates in early June, corresponding with the driest live fuel moisture periods of the year. April is historically the month in which wildfire danger is the highest. Drought, snowpack level, and local weather conditions can impact the length of the fire season.

### ***Fire Ecology and Wildfire Behavior***

The “wildfire behavior triangle” reflects how three primary factors influence wildfire behavior: fuel, topography, and weather. Each point of the triangle represents one of the three factors, and arrows along the sides represent the interplay between the factors. For example, drier and warmer weather with low relative humidity combined with dense fuel loads and steeper slopes can result in dangerous to extreme fire behavior.

How a fire behaves primarily depends on the characteristics of available fuel, weather conditions, and terrain, as described below.

- Fuel:
  - Lighter fuels such as grasses, leaves, and needles quickly expel moisture and burn rapidly, while heavier fuels such as tree branches, logs, and trunks take longer to warm and ignite.
  - Snags and hazard trees, especially those that are diseased or dying, become receptive to ignition when influenced by environmental factors such as drought, low humidity, and warm temperatures.
- Weather:
  - Strong winds, especially wind events that persist for long periods or ones with significant sustained wind speeds, can exacerbate extreme fire conditions or accelerate the spread of wildfire.
  - Dry spring and summer conditions, or drought at any point of the year, increases fire risk. Similarly, the passage of a dry, cold front through the region can result in sudden wind speed increases and changes in wind direction.
  - Thunderstorms in Massachusetts are usually accompanied by rainfall; however, during periods of drought, lightning from thunderstorm cells can result in fire ignition. Thunderstorms with little or no rainfall are rare in New England but have occurred.

- Terrain:
  - Topography of a region or a local area influences the amount and moisture of fuel.
  - Barriers such as highways and lakes can affect the spread of fire.
  - Elevation and slope of landforms can influence fire behavior because fire spreads more easily uphill compared to downhill.

The wildland-urban interface is the line, area, or zone where structures and other human development meet or intermingle with undeveloped wildland or vegetative fuels. There are a number of reasons that the wildland-urban interface experiences an increased risk of wildfire damage. Access and fire suppression issues on private property in the wildland-urban interface can make protecting structures from wildfires difficult. This zone also faces increased risk because structures are built in densely wooded areas, so fires started on someone’s property are more easily spread to the surrounding forest.

Fire is also used extensively as a land management tool to replicate natural fire cycles, and it has been used to accomplish both fire-dependent ecosystem restoration and hazard fuel mitigation objectives on federal, state, municipal, and private lands in Massachusetts since the 1980s. For example, over the past 16 years, the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MassWildlife) has used a combination of tree harvesting, shrub mowing, and prescribed burning to benefit rare species and to reduce the risk of a catastrophic wildfire in the Montague Plains Wildlife Management Area, a rare pitch pine-scrub oak forest in Montague. Approximately 880 acres have been treated since 2004 to restore woodland and shrubland habitats. MassWildlife has cooperative agreements with the Department of Conservation and Recreation and the Town of Montague Conservation Commission to restore sandplain habitats on their inholdings within the plains, and works closely with local fire departments and the DCR Bureau of Fire Control to ensure that firefighters have adequate access in the event of a wildfire and are familiar with the changes in vegetation and fuels resulting from habitat management activities.<sup>29</sup>

In Massachusetts, the DCR Bureau of Forest Fire Control is the state agency responsible for protecting 3.5 million acres of state, public, and private wooded land and for providing aid, assistance, and advice to the Commonwealth’s cities and towns. The Bureau coordinates efforts with a number of entities, including fire departments, local law enforcement agencies, the Commonwealth’s county and statewide civil defense agencies, and mutual aid assistance

---

<sup>29</sup> “Background information on Montague Plains Wildlife Management Area,” MA Division of Fisheries and Wildlife, as published in the *2018 Montague Open Space and Recreation Plan*.

organizations.

Bureau units respond to all fires that occur on state-owned forestland and are available to municipal fire departments for mutual assistance. Bureau firefighters are trained in the use of forestry tools, water pumps, brush breakers, and other motorized equipment, as well as in fire behavior and fire safety. Massachusetts also benefits from mutual aid agreements with other state and federal agencies. The Bureau is a member of the Northeastern Forest Fire Protection Commission, a commission organized in 1949 by the New England states, New York, and four eastern Canadian Provinces to provide resources and assistance in the event of large wildfires. Massachusetts DCR also has a long-standing cooperative agreement with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service both for providing qualified wildfire-fighters for assistance throughout the U.S. and for receiving federal assistance within the Commonwealth. Improved coordination and management efforts seem to be reducing the average damage from wildfire events. According to the Bureau's website, in 1911, more than 34 acres were burned on average during each wildfire. As of 2017, that figure has been reduced to 1.17 acres.

### **Location**


The ecosystems that are most susceptible to the wildfire hazard are pitch pine, scrub oak, and oak forests, as these areas contain the most flammable vegetative fuels. Other portions of the Commonwealth are also susceptible to wildfire, particularly at the urban-wildland interface. The SILVIS Lab at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Forest Ecology and Management classifies exposure to wildlife hazard as "interface" or "intermix." Intermix communities are those where housing and vegetation intermingle and where the area includes more than 50 percent vegetation and has a housing density greater than one house per 16 hectares (approximately 6.5 acres). Interface communities are defined as those in the vicinity of contiguous vegetation, with more than one house per 40 acres and less than 50 percent vegetation, and within 1.5 miles of an area of more than 500 hectares (approximately 202 acres) that is more than 75 percent vegetated. These areas are shown in Figure 3-15. Inventoried assets (population, building stock, and critical facilities) were overlaid with these data to determine potential exposure and impacts related to this hazard. Shutesbury has several areas of "intermix" zones within town.

The Northeast Wildfire Risk Assessment Geospatial Work Group completed a geospatial analysis of fire risk in the 20-state U.S. Forest Service Northeastern Area. The assessment is comprised of three components—fuels, wildland-urban interface, and topography (slope and aspect)—that are combined using a weighted overlay to identify wildfire-prone areas where hazard mitigation practices would be most effective. Figure 3-16 illustrates the areas identified for the Commonwealth. Shutesbury has a Large location of occurrence wildfire risk area. The

entire town of Shutesbury, which is approximately 89% forested, is at risk for wildfire. The Shutesbury fire department works with private owners of large working forests (such as Cowles) as well as with state landowners be in possession of up-to-date keys for gates to roads in these properties. Although the terrain in these forests can be quite steep, the Town has a brush truck that is adapted to challenging topography.


Early detection of wildfires is a key part of the Bureau's overall effort. Early detection is achieved by trained Bureau observers who staff the statewide network of 42 operating fire towers. During periods of high fire danger, the Bureau conducts county-based fire patrols in forested areas. These patrols assist cities and towns in prevention efforts and allow for the quick deployment of mobile equipment for suppression of fires during their initial stage. Figure 3-17 displays the Bureau's fire control districts and fire towers in Massachusetts.

Figure 3-14: Wildland-Urban Interface and Intermix for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018


Figure 3-15: Wildfire Risk Areas for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Source: Northeast Wildfire Risk Assessment Geospatial Work Group, 2009, as presented in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.



Figure 3-16: Massachusetts Bureau of Forest Fire Control Districts and Tower Network



Source: Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation, Bureau of Forest Fire Control, 2018, as presented in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.

## Extent

The National Wildfire Coordinating Group defines seven classes of wildfires:

- Class A: 0.25 acre or less
- Class B: more than 0.25 acre, but less than 10 acres
- Class C: 10 acres or more, but less than 100 acres
- Class D: 100 acres or more, but less than 300 acres
- Class E: 300 acres or more, but less than 1,000 acres
- Class F: 1,000 acres or more, but less than 5,000 acres
- Class G: 5,000 acres or more.

Unfragmented and heavily forested areas of the state are vulnerable to wildfires, particularly during droughts. The greatest potential for significant damage to life and property from fire exists in areas designated as wildland-urban interface areas. A wildland-urban interface area defines the conditions where highly flammable vegetation is adjacent to developed areas. Fires can be classified by physical parameters such as their fireline intensity, or Byram's intensity, which is the rate of energy per unit length of the fire front (BTU [British thermal unit] per foot of fireline per second). Wildfires are also measured by their behavior, including total heat release during burnout of fuels (BTU per square foot) and whether they are crown-, ground-, or surface-burning fires. Following a fire event, the severity of the fire can be measured by the extent of mortality and survival of plant and animal life aboveground and belowground and by the loss of organic matter.<sup>30</sup>

If a fire breaks out and spreads rapidly, residents may need to evacuate within days or hours. A fire's peak burning period generally is between 1 p.m. and 6 p.m. Once a fire has started, fire alerting is reasonably rapid in most cases. The rapid spread of cellular and two-way radio communications in recent years has further contributed to a significant improvement in warning time.


Shutesbury has approximately 15,397 acres of forests, comprising 89% of the Town's total land area, and is therefore at risk of fire. The largest tract of land that is uninterrupted by maintained/traveled roadways and is entirely in the town of Shutesbury is between 3,500 and 4,000 acres in northeast Shutesbury. This abuts other large tracts of land in New Salem and Wendell. This also abuts an area of 2,500 acres of state land that is separated by Cooleyville Road and Route 202 extending all the way to the shore of the Quabbin reservoir. Most of this area has very limited accessibility and has areas of very steep terrain and dense growth.

---

<sup>30</sup> National Parks Service (NPS), compiled by George Wooten. n.d. Fire and fuels management: Definitions, ambiguous terminology and references. <https://www.nps.gov/olym/learn/management/upload/fire-wildfire-definitions-2.pdf>

### Previous Occurrences

In the last five years (2012 – 2016) Franklin County has averaged 71 brush, tree, or lawn fires a year, with the highest reported number of fires occurring in 2016 (Figure 3-18). During 2016, Franklin County and Massachusetts experienced one of the worst droughts in the last 50 years.



Source: Massachusetts Fire Incident Reporting System County Profiles.

Shutesbury is heavily forested and therefore vulnerable to wildfires. The largest brush fire in Shutesbury’s history was reported by the Fire Chief to have burned approximately 50 acres in the Mt. Mineral area of Town in the early 1960s. In addition, there were a few other historical brush fires that were slightly smaller (40-45 acres) in an area behind the fire station and off of Pelham Hill Road (now mostly DCR property).

While wildfires have not been a significant problem in Shutesbury there is always a possibility that changing land use patterns and weather conditions will increase a community’s vulnerability. For example, drought conditions can make forests and other open, vegetated areas more vulnerable to ignition.

### Probability of Future Events

It is difficult to predict the likelihood of wildfires in a probabilistic manner because a number of factors affect fire potential and because some conditions (e.g., ongoing land use development patterns, location, and fuel sources) exert changing pressure on the wildland-urban interface zone. However, based on the frequency of past occurrences, Shutesbury has a High probability

(25% to 50% chance) that it will experience a wildfire in a given year.

### **Impact**

Unfragmented and heavily forested areas of Shutesbury are vulnerable to wildfires, particularly during droughts. The greatest potential for significant damage to life and property from fire exists in areas designated as wildland-urban interface areas. A wildland-urban interface area defines the conditions where highly flammable vegetation is adjacent to developed areas. The greatest impact in Shutesbury from a wildfire is to the natural environment, which faces a Minor impact from wildfires (few injuries and minor property damage), depending on the location of the outbreak and if the wildfire spreads.

The Town has experienced problems with maintaining adequate water storage for fire protection. There are no hydrants in Shutesbury and the Town has no public water supply. As a result, the Fire Department sources its firefighting water solely from various surface water sources, including streams and ponds across town. The town's largest source of water for firefighting is Lake Wyola. In the 2020 MVP workshops, participants expressed concern over the lack of accessibility for the fire truck at the Lake, as there are only 3 to 4 points where the truck can access water due to development over the years. Workshop participants stated that changing precipitation patterns have already impacted the town's water supply for firefighting, and expressed concern that this impact is expected to continue or worsen as a result of increased frequency and intensity of droughts. Workshop participants also observed that shifting precipitation patterns impact certain water supplies more than others; for example, some water supplies are so drastically affected by a lack of rain in the summer they become unusable. Excessive weed growth in the summer has also impacted the supply of water for fire suppression.

### **Vulnerability**

#### ***Society***

As demonstrated by historical wildfire events, potential losses from wildfire include human health and the lives of residents and responders. The most vulnerable populations include emergency responders and those within a short distance of the interface between the built environment and the wildland environment.

#### **Vulnerable Populations**

All individuals whose homes or workplaces are located in wildfire hazard zones are exposed to this hazard, as wildfire behavior can be unpredictable and dynamic. However, the most vulnerable members of this population are those who would be unable to evacuate quickly,

including those over the age of 65, households with young children under the age of 5, people with mobility limitations, and people with low socioeconomic status. Landowners with pets or livestock may face additional challenges in evacuating if they cannot easily transport their animals. Outside of the area of immediate impact, sensitive populations, such as those with compromised immune systems or cardiovascular or respiratory diseases, can suffer health impacts from smoke inhalation. Individuals with asthma are more vulnerable to the poor air quality associated with wildfire. Finally, firefighters and first responders are vulnerable to this hazard if they are deployed to fight a fire in an area they would not otherwise be in.

Table 3-30 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during a wildfire event.

**Table 3-30: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

### Health Impacts

Smoke and air pollution from wildfires can be a severe health hazard. Smoke generated by wildfire consists of visible and invisible emissions containing particulate matter (soot, tar, and minerals), gases (water vapor, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen oxides), and toxics (formaldehyde and benzene). Emissions from wildfires depend on the type of fuel,

the moisture content of the fuel, the efficiency (or temperature) of combustion, and the weather. Other public health impacts associated with wildfire include difficulty in breathing, reactions to odor, and reduction in visibility. Due to the high prevalence of asthma in Massachusetts, there is a high incidence of emergency department visits when respiratory irritants like smoke envelop an area. Wildfires may also threaten the health and safety of those fighting the fires. First responders are exposed to dangers from the initial incident and the aftereffects of smoke inhalation and heat-related illness.

Economic Impacts

Wildfire events can have major economic impacts on a community, both from the initial loss of structures and the subsequent loss of revenue from destroyed businesses and a decrease in tourism. Individuals and families also face economic risk if their home is impacted by wildfire. The exposure of homes to this hazard is widespread. Additionally, wildfires can require thousands of taxpayer dollars in fire response efforts and can involve hundreds of operating hours on fire apparatus and thousands of man-hours from volunteer firefighters. There are also many direct and indirect costs to local businesses that excuse volunteers from work to fight these fires.

***Infrastructure***

For the purposes of this planning effort, all elements of the built environment located in the wildland interface and intermix areas are considered exposed to the wildfire hazard. Table 3-31 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Town, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of a wildfire.

<b>Table 3-31: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

Agriculture

While Massachusetts does not experience wildfires at the same magnitude as those in western states, wildfires do occur and are a threat to the agriculture sector. The forestry industry is especially vulnerable to wildfires. Barns, other wooden structures, and animals and equipment in these facilities are also susceptible to wildfires.

### Energy

Distribution lines are subject to wildfire risk because most poles are made of wood and susceptible to burning. Transmission lines are at risk to faulting during wildfires, which can result in a broad area outage. In the event of a wildfire, pipelines could provide a source of fuel and lead to a catastrophic explosion.

### Public Health

As discussed in the Populations section of the wildfire hazard profile, wildfires impact air quality and public health. Widespread air quality impairment can lead to overburdened hospitals.

### Public Safety

Wildfire is a threat to emergency responders and all infrastructure within the vicinity of a wildfire.

### Transportation

Most road and railroads would be without damage except in the worst scenarios. However, fires can create conditions that block or prevent access, and they can isolate residents and emergency service providers. The wildfire hazard typically does not have a major direct impact on bridges, but wildfires can create conditions in which bridges are obstructed.

### Water Infrastructure

In addition to potential direct losses to water infrastructure, wildfires may result in significant withdrawal of water supplies. Coupled with the increased likelihood that drought and wildfire will coincide under the future warmer temperatures associated with climate change, this withdrawal may result in regional water shortages and the need to identify new water sources.

### ***Environment***

Fire is a natural part of many ecosystems and serves important ecological purposes, including facilitating the nutrient cycling from dead and decaying matter, removing diseased plants and pests, and regenerating seeds or stimulating germination of certain plants. However, many wildfires, particularly manmade wildfires, can also have significant negative impacts on the environment. In addition to direct mortality, wildfires and the ash they generate can distort the flow of nutrients through an ecosystem, reducing the biodiversity that can be supported.

Frequent wildfires can eradicate native plant species and encourage the growth of fire-resistant invasive species. Some of these invasive species are highly flammable; therefore, their establishment in an area increases the risk of future wildfires. There are other possible feedback loops associated with this hazard. For example, every wildfire contributes to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation, thereby contributing to global warming and increasing the probability of future wildfires (as well as other hazards). There are also risks related to hazardous material releases during a wildfire. During wildfires, containers storing hazardous materials could rupture due to excessive heat and act as fuel for the fire, causing rapid spreading of the wildfire and escalating it to unmanageable levels. In addition, these materials could leak into surrounding areas, saturating soils and seeping into surface waters to cause severe and lasting environmental damage.

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Based on the above and the Town’s assessment that droughts are increasing the Town’s vulnerability, Shutesbury faces a Medium vulnerability from wildfire and brushfires. While wildfires have caused minimal damage, injury and loss of life to date in Shutesbury, their potential to destroy property and cause injury or death exists. Existing and future mitigation efforts should continue to be developed and employed that will enable Shutesbury to be prepared for these events when they occur. Wildfires can also cause utility disruption and air-quality problems. Particular areas of vulnerability include low-income and elderly populations, and residents living in the interface area adjacent to large areas of unfragmented forests. The following problem statements summarize the areas of greatest concern to Shutesbury regarding wildfires.

<b>Wildfire Hazard Problem Statements</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most residents in Shutesbury live within or adjacent to heavily forested areas in “intermix” and “interface” zones. This increases the risk of impacts to the population from a wildfire.</li> <li>• There are locations in Shutesbury where water volume and/or pressure is low and would be insufficient for firefighting, especially during dry periods or drought.</li> <li>• Many towns in the region rely on volunteer fire departments and mutual aid to assist in firefighting. During dry spells or drought, firefighting resources in Shutesbury and surrounding towns could be strained if multiple wildfires break out at the same time.</li> <li>• Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in</li> </ul>



### Wildfire Hazard Problem Statements

Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.

- Many of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by severe winds and flooding resulting from a hurricane or tropical storm.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

## 3.8 EARTHQUAKES

### **Potential Impacts of Climate Change**


The State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan does not identify any effects of climate change on the earthquake hazard in Massachusetts.

### **Hazard Description**

An earthquake is the vibration of the Earth's surface that follows a release of energy in the Earth's crust. These earthquakes often occur along fault boundaries. As a result, areas that lie along fault boundaries—such as California, Alaska, and Japan—experience earthquakes more often than areas located within the interior portions of these plates. New England, on the other hand, experiences intraplate earthquakes because it is located deep within the interior of the North American plate. Scientists are still exploring the cause of intraplate earthquakes, and many believe these events occur along geological features that were created during ancient times and are now weaker than the surrounding areas.

Ground shaking is the primary cause of earthquake damage to man-made structures. This damage can be increased due to the fact that soft soils amplify ground shaking. A contributor to site amplification is the velocity at which the rock or soil transmits shear waves (S waves). The National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) developed five soil classifications, which are defined by their S-wave velocity, that impact the severity of an earthquake. The soil classification system ranges from A to E, where A represents hard rock that reduces ground motions from an earthquake and E represents soft soils that amplify and magnify ground shaking and increase building damage and losses. These soil types are shown in Figure 3-19.

**Figure 3-18: National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Soil Types in Massachusetts**



Note: This map should be viewed as a first-order approximation of the NEHRP soil classifications. They are not intended for site-specific engineering design or construction. The map is provided only as a guide for use in estimating potential damage from earthquakes. The maps do not guarantee or predict seismic risk or damage. However, the maps certainly provide a first step by highlighting areas that may warrant additional, site-specific investigation if high seismic risk coincides with critical facilities, utilities, or roadways. Sources: Mabee and Duncan, 2017; Preliminary NEHRP Soil Classification Map of Massachusetts, as presented in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.

## **Location**

New England is located in the middle of the North American Plate. One edge of the North American Plate is along the West Coast where the plate is pushing against the Pacific Ocean Plate. The eastern edge of the North American Plate is located at the middle of the Atlantic Ocean, where the plate is spreading away from the European and African Plates. New England's earthquakes appear to be the result of the cracking of the crustal rocks due to compression as the North American Plate is being very slowly squeezed by the global plate movements. As a result, New England epicenters do not follow the major mapped faults of the region, nor are they confined to particular geologic structures or terrains. Because earthquakes have been detected all over New England, seismologists suspect that a strong earthquake could be centered anywhere in the region. Furthermore, the mapped geologic faults of New England currently do not provide any indications detailing specific locations where strong earthquakes are most likely to be centered.

In addition to earthquakes occurring within the Commonwealth, earthquakes in other parts of New England can impact widespread areas. This is due in part to the fact that earthquakes in the eastern U.S. are felt over a larger area than those in the western U.S. The difference between seismic shaking in the East versus the West is primarily due to the geologic structure and rock properties that allow seismic waves to travel farther without weakening.<sup>31</sup>

Because of the regional nature of the hazard, the entire town is susceptible to earthquakes, and the location of occurrence would be Large, with over 50% of the town affected.

## **Extent**

The location of an earthquake is commonly described by the geographic position of its epicenter and by its focal depth. The focal depth of an earthquake is the depth from the surface to the region where the earthquake's energy originates (the focus). Earthquakes with focal depths up to about 43.5 miles are classified as shallow. Earthquakes with focal depths of 43.5 to 186 miles are classified as intermediate. The focus of deep earthquakes may reach depths of more than 435 miles. The focus of most earthquakes is concentrated in the upper 20 miles of the Earth's crust. The depth to the Earth's core is about 3,960 miles, so even the deepest earthquakes originate in relatively shallow parts of the Earth's interior. The epicenter of an earthquake is the point on the Earth's surface directly above the focus.

Seismic waves are the vibrations from earthquakes that travel through the Earth and are recorded on instruments called seismographs. The magnitude or extent of an earthquake is a

---

<sup>31</sup> U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2012. New Evidence Shows Power of East Coast Earthquakes. Accessed May 6, 2013. <http://www.usgs.gov/newsroom/article.asp?ID=3447>

measured value of the amplitude of the seismic waves. The Richter magnitude scale (Richter scale) was developed in 1932 as a mathematical device to compare the sizes of earthquakes. The Richter scale is the most widely known scale for measuring earthquake magnitude. It has no upper limit and is not used to express damage. An earthquake in a densely populated area, which results in many deaths and considerable damage, can have the same magnitude as an earthquake in a remote area that causes no damage.

The perceived severity of an earthquake is based on the observed effects of ground shaking on people, buildings, and natural features, and severity varies with location. Intensity is expressed by the Modified Mercalli Scale, which describes how strongly an earthquake was felt at a particular location. The Modified Mercalli Scale expresses the intensity of an earthquake’s effects in a given locality in values ranging from I to XII. Seismic hazards are also expressed in terms of PGA, which is defined by USGS as “what is experienced by a particle on the ground” in terms of percent of acceleration force of gravity. More precisely, seismic hazards are described in terms of Spectral Acceleration, which is defined by USGS as “approximately what is experienced by a building, as modeled by a particle on a massless vertical rod having the same natural period of vibration as the building” in terms of percent of acceleration force of gravity (percent g). Tables 3-32 and 3-33 summarize the Richter scale magnitudes, Modified Mercalli Intensity scale, and associated damage.

<b>Table 3-32: Richter Scale Magnitudes and Effects</b>	
<b>Magnitude</b>	<b>Effects</b>
< 3.5	Generally not felt, but recorded.
3.5 - 5.4	Often felt, but rarely causes damage.
5.4 - 6.0	At most slight damage to well-designed buildings. Can cause major damage to poorly constructed buildings over small regions.
6.1 - 6.9	Can be destructive in areas up to about 100 kilometers across where people live.
7.0 - 7.9	Major earthquake. Can cause serious damage over larger areas.
8 or >	Great earthquake. Can cause serious damage in areas several hundred kilometers across.

Source: US Federal Emergency Management Agency

**Table 3-33: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale for and Effects**


Scale	Intensity	Description of Effects	Corresponding Richter Scale Magnitude
I	Instrumental	Detected only on seismographs.	
II	Feeble	Some people feel it.	< 4.2
III	Slight	Felt by people resting; like a truck rumbling by.	
IV	Moderate	Felt by people walking.	
V	Slightly Strong	Sleepers awake; church bells ring.	< 4.8
VI	Strong	Trees sway; suspended objects swing, objects fall off shelves.	< 5.4
VII	Very Strong	Mild alarm; walls crack; plaster falls.	< 6.1
VIII	Destructive	Moving cars uncontrollable; masonry fractures, poorly constructed buildings damaged.	
IX	Ruinous	Some houses collapse; ground cracks; pipes break open.	< 6.9
X	Disastrous	Ground cracks profusely; many buildings destroyed; liquefaction and landslides widespread.	< 7.3
XI	Very Disastrous	Most buildings and bridges collapse; roads, railways, pipes and cables destroyed; general triggering of other hazards.	< 8.1
XII	Catastrophic	Total destruction; trees fall; ground rises and falls in waves.	> 8.1

Source: US Federal Emergency Management Agency

**Previous Occurrences**

Although it is well documented that the zone of greatest seismic activity in the U.S. is along the Pacific Coast in Alaska and California, in the New England area, an average of six earthquakes are felt each year (Figure 3-20). Damaging earthquakes have taken place historically in New England (Table 3-34). According to the Weston Observatory Earthquake Catalog, 6,470 earthquakes have occurred in New England and adjacent areas. However, only 35 of these events were considered significant. The most recent earthquakes in the region that could have affected the Town of Shutesbury are shown in Figure 3-20. There is no record of any damage to the Town of Shutesbury as a result of these earthquakes.

Figure 3-19: Earthquakes Occurring in the Northeast from 1975 - 2017



Source: Northeast States Emergency Consortium (NESEC) <http://nesecc.org/earthquakes-hazards/>.

Table 3-34: Northeast States Record of Historic Earthquakes			
State	Years of Record	Number of Earthquakes	Years with Damaging Earthquakes
Connecticut	1678 - 2016	115	1791
Maine	1766 - 2016	454	1973, 1904
Massachusetts	1668 - 2016	408	1727, 1755
New Hampshire	1638 - 2016	320	1638, 1940
Rhode Island	1766 - 2016	34	
Vermont	1843 - 2016	50	
New York	1737 - 2016	551	1737, 1929, 1944, 1983, 2002
<i>Total Number of Earthquakes felt: 1,932</i>			

Source: Northeast States Emergency Consortium website, <http://nsec.org/earthquakes-hazards/>

### Probability of Future Events

Earthquakes cannot be predicted and may occur at any time. However, a 1994 report by the USGS, based on a meeting of experts at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, provides an overall probability of occurrence. Earthquakes above magnitude 5.0 have the potential for causing damage near their epicenters, and larger magnitude earthquakes have the potential for causing damage over larger areas. This report found that the probability of a magnitude 5.0 or greater earthquake centered somewhere in New England in a 10-year period is about 10 percent to 15 percent. This probability rises to about 41 percent to 56 percent for a 50-year period. The last earthquake with a magnitude above 5.0 that was centered in New England took place in the Ossipee Mountains of New Hampshire in 1940. Based on past events, Shutesbury has a Low probability, or a 1 to 2% chance, in a given year, of being impacted by an earthquake.

### Impact

Ground shaking from earthquakes can rupture gas mains and disrupt other utility service, damage buildings, bridges and roads, and trigger other hazardous events such as avalanches, flash floods (dam failure) and fires. Un-reinforced masonry buildings, buildings with foundations that rest on filled land or unconsolidated, unstable soil, and mobile homes not tied to their foundations are at risk during an earthquake. Massachusetts introduced earthquake design requirements into the building code in 1975 and improved building code for seismic reasons in the 1980s. However, these specifications apply only to new buildings or to extensively-modified existing buildings. Buildings, bridges, water supply lines, electrical power lines and facilities built before the 1980s may not have been designed to withstand the forces of an earthquake. The seismic standards have also been upgraded with the 1997 revision of the State Building Code.



Liquefaction of the land near water could also lead to extensive destruction. Because earthquakes are unlikely to occur in Shutesbury, the Team ranked the potential impact of an event as Critical, with multiple injuries possible and more than 25% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed.

## **Vulnerability**

### ***Society***

The entire population of Shutesbury is potentially exposed to direct and indirect impacts from earthquakes. The degree of exposure depends on many factors, including the age and construction type of the structures where people live, work, and go to school; the soil type these buildings are constructed on; and the proximity of these building to the fault location. In addition, the time of day also exposes different sectors of the community to the hazard. There are many ways in which earthquakes could impact the lives of residents. Business interruptions could keep people from working, road closures could isolate populations, and loss of utilities could impact populations that suffered no direct damage from an event itself. People who reside or work in unreinforced masonry buildings are vulnerable to liquefaction.

### **Vulnerable Populations**

The populations most vulnerable to an earthquake event include people over the age of 65 (26% of Shutesbury's population) and those living below the poverty level (19% of Shutesbury's population). These socially vulnerable populations are most susceptible, based on a number of factors, including their physical and financial ability to react or respond during a hazard, the location and construction quality of their housing, and the inability to be self-sustaining after an incident due to a limited ability to stockpile supplies. Residents living in homes built prior to the 1970s when the State building code first went into effect, and residents living in mobile homes, are also more vulnerable to earthquakes. An estimated 375 housing units in Shutesbury, or 44% of all housing units in town, were built prior to the 1970s. An estimated 19 mobile homes are located in Shutesbury, accounting for 2% of the total housing stock.<sup>32</sup>

Earthen dams and levees are highly susceptible to seismic events, and the impacts of their eventual failures can be considered secondary risks for earthquakes. As mentioned previously, there are two high hazard dams in Shutesbury (Atkin's Reservoir Dam and Lake Wyola Dam) upstream from Shutesbury. In the rare event that a compromise of any of these facility's dam should occur, residents would have to evacuate their homes.

---

<sup>32</sup> U.S. Census Bureau 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Health Impacts

The most immediate health risk presented by the earthquake hazard is trauma-related injuries and fatalities, either from structural collapse, impacts from nonstructural items such as furniture, or the secondary effects of earthquakes, such as landslides and fires. Following a severe earthquake, health impacts related to transportation impediments and lack of access to hospitals may occur, as described for other hazards. If ground movement causes hazardous material (in storage areas or in pipelines) to enter the environment, additional health impacts could result, particularly if surface water, groundwater, or agricultural areas are contaminated.

### Economic Impacts

Earthquakes also have impacts on the economy, including loss of business functions, damage to inventories, relocation costs, wage losses, and rental losses due to the repair or replacement of buildings. Lifeline-related losses include the direct repair cost for transportation and utility systems. Additionally, economic losses include the business interruption losses associated with the inability to operate a business due to the damage sustained during the earthquake as well as temporary living expenses for those displaced.

### ***Infrastructure***

All elements of the built environment in Shutesbury are exposed to the earthquake hazard. Table 3-35 identifies the assessed value of all residential, open space, commercial, and industrial land uses in Town, and the losses that would result from 1%, 5%, and 10% damage to this inventory as a result of an earthquake.

<b>Table 3-35: Estimated Potential Loss by Tax Classification</b>				
<b>Tax Classification</b>	<b>Total Assessed Value FY2021</b>	<b>1% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>5% Damage Loss Estimate</b>	<b>10% Damage Loss Estimate</b>
<b>Residential</b>	\$213,174,059	\$2,131,740	\$10,658,700	\$21,317,400
<b>Open Space</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Commercial</b>	\$1,786,981	\$17,870	\$89,350	\$178,700
<b>Industrial</b>	\$540,610	\$5,406	\$27,030	\$54,060
<b>Total</b>	\$225,990,400	\$2,259,904	\$11,299,520	\$22,599,040

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue - Division of Local Services, Municipal Databank/Local Aid Section, 2021.

In addition to these direct impacts, there is increased risk associated with hazardous materials releases, which have the potential to occur during an earthquake from fixed facilities, transportation-related incidents (vehicle transportation), and pipeline distribution. These

failures can lead to the release of materials to the surrounding environment, including potentially catastrophic discharges into the atmosphere or nearby waterways, and can disrupt services well beyond the primary area of impact.

### Agriculture

Earthquakes can result in loss of crop yields, loss of livestock, and damage to barns, processing facilities, greenhouses, equipment, and other agricultural infrastructure. Earthquakes can be especially damaging to farms and forestry if they trigger a landslide.

### Energy

Earthquakes can damage power plants, gas lines, liquid fuel storage infrastructure, transmission lines, utility poles, solar and wind infrastructure, and other elements of the energy sector. Damage to any components of the grid can result in widespread power outages.

### Public Health

A significant earthquake may result in numerous injuries that could overburden hospitals.

### Public Safety

Police stations, fire stations, and other public safety infrastructure can experience direct losses (damage) from earthquakes. The capability of the public safety sector is also vulnerable to damage caused by earthquakes to roads and the transportation sector.

### Transportation

Earthquakes can impact many aspects of the transportation sector, including causing damage to roads, bridges, vehicles, and storage facilities and sheds. Damage to road networks and bridges can cause widespread disruption of services and impede disaster recovery and response.

### Water and Wastewater Infrastructure

Due to their extensive networks of aboveground and belowground infrastructure—including pipelines, pump stations, tanks, administrative and laboratory buildings, reservoirs, chemical storage facilities, and treatment facilities—water and wastewater utilities are vulnerable to earthquakes. Additionally, sewer and water treatment facilities are often built on ground that is subject to liquefaction, increasing their vulnerability. Earthquakes can cause ruptures in storage and process tanks, breaks in pipelines, and building collapse, resulting in loss of water and loss of pressure, and contamination and disruption of drinking water services. Damage to wastewater infrastructure can lead to sewage backups and releases of untreated sewage into the environment.

## **Environment**

Earthquakes can impact natural resources and the environment in a number of ways, both directly and through secondary impacts. For example, damage to gas pipes may cause explosions or leaks, which can discharge hazardous materials into the local environment or the watershed if rivers are contaminated. Fires that break out as a result of earthquakes can cause extensive damage to ecosystems, as described in the Wildfire section. Primary impacts of an earthquake vary widely based on strength and location. For example, if strong shaking occurs in a forest, trees may fall, resulting not only in environmental impacts but also potential economic impacts to the landowner or forestry businesses relying on that forest. If shaking occurs in a mountainous environment, cliffs may crumble and caves may collapse. Disrupting the physical foundation of the ecosystem can modify the species balance in that ecosystem and leave the area more vulnerable to the spread of invasive species.

## **Vulnerability Summary**

Based on this analysis, Shutesbury has a Medium vulnerability to earthquakes. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's areas of greatest concern regarding earthquakes.

### **Earthquake Hazard Problem Statements**

- Existing communication infrastructure issues and vulnerabilities could be exacerbated by an earthquake.
- An estimated 44% of homes in Shutesbury were built prior to the first State building code in 1975, potentially making them more vulnerable to damages from earthquakes.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- Some of the Town's evacuation routes could be impacted by an earthquake.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency

### Earthquake Hazard Problem Statements

shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.

- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

## 3.9 DAM FAILURE

### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

The State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan does not identify any effects of climate change on the dam failure hazard in Massachusetts.

### Hazard Description

Dams and levees and their associated impoundments provide many benefits to a community, such as water supply, recreation, hydroelectric power generation, and flood control. However, they also pose a potential risk to lives and property. Dam or levee failure is not a common occurrence, but dams do represent a potentially disastrous hazard. When a dam or levee fails, the potential energy of the stored water behind the dam is released rapidly. Most dam or levee failures occur when floodwaters above overtop and erode the material components of the dam. Often dam or levee breaches lead to catastrophic consequences as the water rushes in a torrent downstream, flooding an area engineers refer to as an “inundation area.” The number of casualties and the amount of property damage depend upon the timing of the warning provided to downstream residents, the number of people living or working in the inundation area, and the number of structures in the inundation area.

Many dams in Massachusetts were built during the 19<sup>th</sup> Century without the benefit of modern engineering design and construction oversight. Dams of this age can fail because of structural problems due to age and/or lack of proper maintenance, as well as from structural damage caused by an earthquake or flooding.

The Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation Office of Dam Safety is the agency responsible for regulating dams in the state (M.G.L. Chapter 253, Section 44 and the implementing regulations 302 CMR 10.00). The regulations apply to dams that are in excess of 6 feet in height (regardless of storage capacity) or have more than 15 acre feet of storage capacity (regardless of height). Dam safety regulations enacted in 2005 transferred significant responsibilities for dams from the State of Massachusetts to dam owners, including the responsibility to conduct dam inspections.

### Location

The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) identifies nine dams in Shutesbury, of which the Lake Wyola, Atkins Reservoir, and Dudleyville Pond Dams are high hazard. The outflows of Lake Wyola and the Atkins Reservoir are located close along Shutesbury’s western border with Leverett and Amherst, respectively, and the Town of Shutesbury is in possession of Emergency Action Plans for both. Inundation mapping of these

two locations show that a number of Leverett residents would be impacted by the failure of the Lake Wyola Dam, but no residents would be impacted by the Atkins Reservoir Dam. The Town of Leverett has created an evacuation plan for residents in the inundation zone, and has set up a Robocall list of these residents to quickly alert them in the event of a dam failure. An inundation map for Lake Wyola covering the towns of Shutesbury and Leverett can be found in Appendix C: Lake Wyola and McAvoy Pond Inundation Mapping.

The privately owned Lower and Upper Ames Pond Dams are considered low hazard dams, but are also rated as being in poor condition. Additionally, McAvoy Pond in Wendell, less than a half mile upstream from Lake Wyola, is impounded by a dam in fair condition (according to a DCR Office of Dam Safety 2019 inspection report) whose failure would trigger the Lake Wyola emergency plan. Inundation mapping for both McAvoy Pond and Lake Wyola can be found in Appendix C: Lake Wyola and McAvoy Pond Inundation Mapping.

The Town of Shutesbury has not identified any beaver dams whose failure would have critical impacts to people or infrastructure.

### **Extent**

Often dam or levee breaches lead to catastrophic consequences as the water ultimately rushes in a torrent downstream flooding an area engineers refer to as an “inundation area.” The number of casualties and the amount of property damage will depend upon the timing of the warning provided to downstream residents, the number of people living or working in the inundation area, and the number of structures in the inundation area.

Dams in Massachusetts are assessed according to their risk to life and property. The state has three hazard classifications for dams:

- *High Hazard:* Dams located where failure or improper operation will likely cause loss of life and serious damage to homes, industrial or commercial facilities, important public utilities, main highways, or railroads.
- *Significant Hazard:* Dams located where failure or improper operation may cause loss of life and damage to homes, industrial or commercial facilities, secondary highways or railroads or cause interruption of use or service of relatively important facilities.
- *Low Hazard:* Dams located where failure or improper operation may cause minimal property damage to others. Loss of life is not expected.

Owners of dams are required to hire a qualified engineer to inspect and report results using the following inspection schedule:

- Low Hazard Potential dams – 10 years
- Significant Hazard Potential dams – 5 years
- High Hazard Potential dams – 2 years

The time intervals represent the maximum time between inspections. More frequent inspections may be performed at the discretion of the state. As noted previously, dams and reservoirs licensed and subject to inspection by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) are excluded from the provisions of the state regulations provided that all FERC-approved periodic inspection reports are provided to the DCR. FERC inspections of high and significant hazard projects are conducted on a yearly basis. All other dams are subject to the regulations unless exempted in writing by DCR.

### **Previous Occurrences**

To date, there have been no known dam or levee failures in Shutesbury.

### **Probability of Future Events**

Currently the probability of a dam failure is Low with 1 to 2% chance of a dam failing in any given year.

Dams are designed partly based on assumptions about a river's flow behavior, expressed as hydrographs. Changes in weather patterns can have significant effects on the hydrograph used for the design of a dam. If the hydrograph changes, it is conceivable that the dam can lose some or all of its designed margin of safety, also known as freeboard. If freeboard is reduced, dam operators may be forced to release increased volumes earlier in a storm cycle in order to maintain the required margins of safety. Such early releases of increased volumes can increase flood potential downstream.

Throughout the western United States, communities downstream of dams are already seeing increases in stream flows from earlier releases from dams. Dams are constructed with safety features known as "spillways." Spillways are put in place on dams as a safety measure in the event of the reservoir filling too quickly. Spillway overflow events often referred to as "design failures," result in increased discharges downstream and increased flooding potential. Although climate change will not increase the probability of catastrophic dam failure, it may increase the probability of design failures.

The health of the Lake Wyola Dam is monitored by the Lake Wyola Advisory Committee, who is responsible for the inspection, care, and improvement of the dam. The Town of Amherst is responsible for the Atkins Reservoir Dam.



## **Impact**

A dam failure in Shutesbury is likely to have a Limited impact. Residents along the Sawmill River in Leverett, along North Leverett Road and in North Leverett Village, will be most impacted by a failure of the Lake Wyola Dam.

## **Vulnerability**

Dam failures, while rare, can destroy roads, structures, facilities, utilities, and impact the population of Shutesbury. Existing and future mitigation efforts should continue to be developed and employed that will enable Shutesbury to be prepared for these events when they occur. Particular areas of vulnerability include low-income and elderly populations, buildings in the floodplain or inundation areas, and infrastructure such as roadways and utilities that can be damaged by such events.

## **Society**

### Vulnerable Populations

The most vulnerable members of the population are those living or working within the floodplain or dam inundation areas, and in particular, those who would be unable to evacuate quickly, including people over the age of 65, households with young children under the age of 5, people with mobility limitations, people with low socioeconomic status, and people with low English fluency who may not understand emergency instructions provided in English.

### Economic Impacts

Economic impacts are not limited to assets in the inundation area, but may extend to infrastructure and resources that serve a much broader area. In addition to direct damage from dam failure, economic impacts include the amount of time required to repair or replace and reopen businesses, governmental and nonprofit agencies, and industrial facilities damaged by the dam failure.<sup>33</sup>

### Infrastructure

Structures that lie in the inundation area of each of the dams in Shutesbury are vulnerable to a dam failure. Buildings located within the floodplain are vulnerable to dam failure in Shutesbury. There are critical facilities in Shutesbury located either within the 100-year floodplain, in a dam inundation area, or in areas prone to localized flooding. Table 3-12 on page 49 shows the value

---

<sup>33</sup> *Assessing the Consequences of Dam Failure: A How-To Guide*. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). March 2012.

<https://damsafety.org/sites/default/files/files/FEMA%20TM%20AssessingtheConsequencesofDamFailure%20March2012.pdf>

of replacing the structures and contents of buildings located in the flood hazard area of Shutesbury. In total, the structures and building contents are valued in excess of \$4 million. It is evident that catastrophic flooding would cause significant economic, financial, and environmental damage.

### **Environment**

Examples of environmental impacts from a dam failure include:

- Pollution resulting from septic system failure, back-up of sewage systems, petroleum products, pesticides, herbicides, or solvents
- Pollution of the potable water supply or soils
- Exposure to mold or bacteria during cleanup
- Changes in land development patterns
- Changes in the configuration of streams or the floodplain
- Erosion, scour, and sedimentation
- Changes in downstream hydro-geomorphology
- Loss of wildlife habitat or biodiversity
- Degradation to wetlands
- Loss of topsoil or vegetative cover
- Loss of indigenous plants or animals<sup>34</sup>

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Due to the presence of the McAvoy Pond dam upstream from Shutesbury, the Team determined that the Town has a Medium vulnerability from dam or levee failure.

#### **Dam Failure Hazard Problem Statements**

- While the chance is low, a catastrophic dam failure at a major facility upstream of Leverett would result in devastating flooding. A failure at the Lake Wyola Dam would result in a blockage on North Leverett Road and prevent some residents from evacuating.
- Increased intensity and frequency of heavy precipitation events can create loading the dam was not designed for.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable

<sup>34</sup> *Assessing the Consequences of Dam Failure: A How-To Guide*. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). March 2012.

<https://damsafety.org/sites/default/files/files/FEMA%20TM%20AssessingtheConsequencesofDamFailure%20March2012.pdf>

### Dam Failure Hazard Problem Statements

in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.



- Some of the Town's evacuation routes would be impacted by a dam failure.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.10 DROUGHT

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

Although total annual precipitation is anticipated to increase over the next century, seasonal precipitation is predicted to include more severe and unpredictable dry spells. More rain falling over shorter time periods will reduce groundwater recharge, even in undeveloped areas, as the ground becomes saturated and unable to absorb the same amount of water if rainfall were spread out. The effects of this trend will be exacerbated by the projected reduction in snowpack, which can serve as a significant water source during the spring melt to buffer against sporadic precipitation. Also, the snowpack melt is occurring faster than normal, resulting not only in increased flooding but a reduced period in which the melt can recharge groundwater and the amount of water naturally available during the spring growing period.

Reduced recharge can in turn affect base flow in streams that are critical to sustain ecosystems during dry periods and groundwater-based water supply systems. Reservoir-based water supply systems will also need to be assessed to determine whether they can continue to meet projected demand by adjusting their operating rules to accommodate the projected changes in precipitation patterns and associated changes in hydrology. Finally, rising temperatures will also increase evaporation, exacerbating drought conditions.

Figure 3-20: Impacts of Climate Change on Drought		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	<p>RISING TEMPERATURES AND CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → PROLONGED DROUGHT</p>	<p>The frequency and intensity of droughts are projected to increase during summer and fall in the Northeast as higher temperatures lead to greater evaporation and earlier winter and spring snowmelt, and precipitation patterns become more variable and extreme.</p>
	<p>RISING TEMPERATURES AND CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → REDUCED SNOWPACK</p>	<p>Due to climate change, the proportion of precipitation falling as snow and the extent of time snowpack remains are both expected to decrease. This reduces the period during which snowmelt can recharge groundwater supplies, bolster streamflow, and provide water for the growing period.</p>

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

Droughts can vary widely in duration, severity, and local impact. They may have widespread social and economic significance that requires the response of numerous parties, including water suppliers, firefighters, farmers, and residents. Droughts are often defined as periods of deficient precipitation. How this deficiency is experienced can depend on factors such as land use change, the existence of dams, and water supply withdrawals or diversions. For example, impervious surfaces associated with development can exacerbate the effects of drought due to

decreased groundwater recharge.

Drought is a natural phenomenon, but its impacts are exacerbated by the volume and rate of water withdrawn from these natural systems over time as well as the reduction in infiltration from precipitation that is available to recharge these systems. Groundwater withdrawals for drinking water can reduce groundwater levels, impacting water supplies as well as base flow (flow of groundwater) in streams. A reduction in base flow is significant, especially in times of drought, as this is often the only source of water to the stream. In extreme situations, groundwater levels can fall below stream channel bottom, and groundwater becomes disconnected from the stream, resulting in a dry channel.

Natural infiltration is reduced by impervious cover (pavement, buildings) on the land surface and by the interruption of natural small-scale drainage patterns in the landscape caused by development and drainage infrastructure. Sewer collection systems can also reduce groundwater levels when groundwater infiltrates into them. This is a common problem for wastewater collection systems in Franklin County, where many of the existing pipes were put in place over 100 years ago. Also, when drains are connected to the sanitary system, groundwater and precipitation are transported to wastewater treatment plants where effluent is typically discharged to surface water bodies and not returned to the groundwater.

Highly urbanized areas with traditional stormwater drainage systems tend to result in higher peak flood levels during rainfall events and rapid decline of groundwater levels during periods of low precipitation. Thus, the hydrology in these areas becomes more extreme during floods and droughts.<sup>35</sup> The importance of increasing infiltration is widely recognized, and the implementation of nature-based solutions to help address this problem is discussed further in later portions of this plan.



### **Location**

Shutesbury falls in a region in Massachusetts that is more prone to severe and extreme drought based on the number of weeks these areas experienced drought conditions from 2001-2017 (Figure 3-22). Because of this hazard's regional nature, a drought would impact the entire town, resulting in a Large location of occurrence, or more than 50 % of total land area affected.

---

<sup>35</sup> ERG and Horsley Witten Group. 2017. Using Green Infrastructure to Improve Resilience in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts: Final Project Report.

**Figure 3-21: Areas Experiencing Severe or Extreme Drought, 2001 - 2017**



Source: U.S. Drought Monitor, 2017, for the 2018 Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan.

## Extent

The severity of a drought would determine the scale of the event and would vary among town residents depending on the type of private well serving town buildings, local businesses and Shutesbury residents. For example, shallow wells in unconsolidated materials or deep, drilled bedrock wells. There is no municipal public water supply.

The U.S. Drought Monitor categorizes drought on a D0-D4 scale as shown below.

<b>Classification</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>D0</b>	Abnormally Dry	Going into drought: short-term dryness slowing planting, growth of crops or pastures. Coming out of drought: some lingering water deficits; pastures or crops not fully recovered
<b>D1</b>	Moderate Drought	Some damage to crops, pastures; streams, reservoirs, or wells low, some water shortages developing or imminent; voluntary water-use restrictions requested
<b>D2</b>	Severe Drought	Crop or pasture losses likely; water shortages common; water restrictions imposed
<b>D3</b>	Extreme Drought	Major crop/pasture losses; widespread water shortages or restrictions
<b>D4</b>	Exceptional Drought	Exceptional and widespread crop/pasture losses; shortages of water in reservoirs, streams, and wells creating water emergencies


## Previous Occurrences

In Massachusetts, six major droughts have occurred statewide since 1930. They range in severity and length, from three to eight years. In many of these droughts, water-supply systems were found to be inadequate.

Beginning in 1960 in western Massachusetts and in 1962 in eastern Massachusetts through 1969, Massachusetts experienced the most significant drought on record, according to the United States Geological Survey. The severity and duration of the drought caused significant impacts on both water supplies and agriculture. Although short or relatively minor droughts occurred over the next 50 years, the next long-term event began in March 2015, when Massachusetts began experiencing widespread abnormally dry conditions. In July 2016, based on a recommendation from the Drought Management Task Force (DMTF), the Secretary of EOEEA declared a Drought Watch for Central and Northeast Massachusetts and a Drought Advisory for Southeast Massachusetts and the Connecticut River Valley. Drought warnings were issued in five out of six drought regions of the state. Many experts stated that this drought was

the worst in more than 50 years.

By September 2016, 78% of Franklin County was categorized as “severe drought” (D2) or higher, and 26% of the County was categorized as “extreme drought” (D3) (Figure 3-23).<sup>36</sup> By May 2017, the entire Commonwealth had returned to “normal” due to wetter-than-normal conditions in the spring of 2017.



Source: U.S. Drought Monitor. <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/>

Drought was identified as an area of concern during the Shutesbury Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Community Building workshop in spring of 2020. At the workshop it was noted that there has been an increase in well problems during dry summers, with an uptick in well drilling during these times. During the 2016 drought, around 20 households with shallow wells experienced their wells drying up and had to have deep wells drilled. In the early 2010s, the Town convened a water resources committee that set up five monitoring wells along the ridgeline in town. Although the committee has not met since late 2017, the wells continue to be monitored and the data is available to the public.

### Probability of Future Events

According to the 2018 Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, on a

<sup>36</sup> U.S. Drought Monitor, accessed February 13, 2019. <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/Data/DataTables.aspx?state,MA>



monthly basis over the 162-year period of record from 1850 to 2012, there is a 2% chance of being in a drought warning level. As noted previously, rising temperatures and changes in precipitation due to climate change could increase the frequency of episodic droughts, like the one experienced across the Commonwealth in the summers of 2016, 2017, and 2020. In Shutesbury, drought has a Very High probability of future occurrence, or between a 50% and 100% chance of occurring in any given year.

### **Impact**

Due to the water richness of western Massachusetts, Shutesbury is unlikely to be adversely affected by anything other than a major, extended drought. The major impact to residents would be private wells running dry or being contaminated due to low water levels. Farmers, of which there are a handful in Shutesbury, could be impacted economically by crop failure due to the extended lack of water. Drought may increase the probability of a wildfire occurring. The prolonged lack of precipitation dries out soil and vegetation, which becomes increasingly prone to ignition as long as the drought persists. As a result, the impact of a drought would be Minor to Limited, with more than 10% of property damaged or destroyed, manifesting primarily as failure of private wells.

Firefighting capabilities could be compromised during a drought if aquifers, fire ponds, or rivers used for pumping water are low. MVP workshop participant Walter Tibbetts, Fire Chief, noted, fire risk from drought is a “double-edged sword,” as drought simultaneously depletes water supplies available for firefighting. Other workshop participants expressed concern over the lack of accessibility for the fire truck at Lake Wyola, as there are only 3 to 4 points where the truck can access water due to development over the years

The Town Highway Department has noticed an increased number of dead or dying trees along the roadside that need to be managed. Whether a spike in tree mortality is a result of multiple increasing stressors over years, or a single drought year, is unknown. The Department has also noticed that more frequent dryness during summer is causing roads to be dustier, with dust hanging in the air and wafting into homes, creating health and quality of life concerns.

### **Vulnerability**

The number and type of impacts increase with the persistence of a drought as the effect of the precipitation deficit cascades down parts of the watershed and associated natural and socioeconomic assets. For example, a precipitation deficiency may result in a rapid depletion of soil moisture that may be discernible relatively quickly to farmers. The impact of this same precipitation deficit may not affect hydroelectric power production, drinking water supply availability, or recreational uses for many months.

## ***Society***

The entire population of Shutesbury is vulnerable to drought events. However, the vulnerability of populations to this hazard can vary significantly based on water supply sources and municipal water use policies.

### Vulnerable Populations

Drought conditions can cause a shortage of water for human consumption and reduce local firefighting capabilities. Public water supplies (PWS) provide water for both of these services and may struggle to meet system demands while maintaining adequate pressure for fire suppression and meeting water quality standards. The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires all PWS to maintain an emergency preparedness plan. Shutesbury has public water supply systems that serve the Library, the Public Safety Complex (Emergency Operations Center, Police Department, Fire Department, and the Highway Department). However, there is no municipal public water supply in Shutesbury for residential use. Individual wells provide drinking water for residents and businesses in Shutesbury. The Town, as well as homeowners and businesses, are vulnerable during a drought if they are not able to find an alternate short or long term water supply (i.e. install a new well) or temporarily relocate in the event their well runs dry.

### Health Impacts

With declining groundwater levels, residential well owners may experience dry wells or sediment in their water due to the more intense pumping required to pull water from the aquifer and to raise water from a deeper depth. Wells may also develop a concentration of pollutants, which may include nitrates and heavy metals (including uranium) depending on local geology. The loss of clean water for consumption and for sanitation may be a significant impact depending on the affected population's ability to quickly drill a deeper or a new well or to relocate to unaffected areas.

During a drought, dry soil and the increased prevalence of wildfires can increase the amount of irritants (such as pollen or smoke) in the air. Reduced air quality can have widespread deleterious health impacts, but is particularly significant to the health of individuals with pre-existing respiratory health conditions like asthma. Lowered water levels can also result in direct environmental health impacts, as the concentration of contaminants in swimmable bodies of water will increase when less water is present. Stagnant water bodies may develop and increase the prevalence of mosquito breeding, thus increasing the risk for vector-borne illnesses.

## Economic Impacts

The economic impacts of drought can be substantial, and would financially impact residents who need to re-drill wells. It can also affect the agriculture, recreation and tourism, forestry, and energy sectors.

## ***Infrastructure***

### Agriculture

Drier summers and intermittent droughts may strain irrigation water supplies, stress crops, and delay harvests. Insufficient irrigation will impact the availability of produce, which may result in higher demand than supply. This can drive up the price of local food. Farmers with wells that are dry are advised to contact the Massachusetts Department of Agricultural Resources to explore microloans through the Massachusetts Drought Emergency Loan Fund or to seek federal Economic Injury Disaster Loans.

### Water and Wastewater Infrastructure

As noted already, drought affects both groundwater sources and smaller surface water reservoir supplies. Water supplies for drinking, agriculture, and water-dependent industries may be depleted by smaller winter snowpacks and drier summers anticipated due to climate change. Reduced precipitation during a drought means that water supplies are not replenished at a normal rate. This can lead to a reduction in groundwater levels and problems such as reduced pumping capacity or wells going dry. Shallow wells are more susceptible than deep wells. Suppliers may struggle to meet system demands while maintaining adequate water supply pressure for fire suppression requirements. Private well supplies may dry up and need to either be deepened or supplemented with water from outside sources.

## ***Environment***

Drought has a wide-ranging impact on a variety of natural systems. Some of those impacts can include the following:<sup>37</sup>

- Reduced water availability, specifically, but not limited to, habitat for aquatic species
- Decreased plant growth and productivity
- Increased wildfires
- Greater insect outbreaks
- Increased local species extinctions
- Lower stream flows and freshwater delivery to downstream estuarine habitats

---

<sup>37</sup> Clark, J.S. et al. 2016. The impacts of increasing drought on forest dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the United States. *Global Change Biology*, 22, 2329–2352. Doi: 10.1111/gcb.13160.

- Increased potential for hypoxia (low oxygen) events
- Reduced forest productivity
- Direct and indirect effects on goods and services provided by habitats (such as timber, carbon sequestration, recreation, and water quality from forests)
- Limited fish migration or breeding due to dry streambeds or fish mortality caused by dry streambeds

In addition to these direct natural resource impacts, a wildfire exacerbated by drought conditions could cause significant damage to Shutesbury’s environment as well as economic damage related to the loss of valuable natural resources.

**Vulnerability Summary**



Based on the above assessment, Erving has a vulnerability of High from drought. While such a drought would require water saving measures to be implemented, there would be no foreseeable damage to structures or loss of life resulting from the hazard. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury’s areas of greatest concern regarding droughts.

Drought Hazard Problem Statements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residents, businesses, and public buildings rely on individual wells for water, placing them at risk of water shortages during extended periods of drought.</li> <li>• First responders may lack sufficient water infrastructure across town to fight wildfires; a vulnerability worsened by impacts of drought on local water supplies. An evaluation of artesian wells and surface water resources in Town should be completed to determine if they could be used as a backup supply.</li> <li>• Forests make up 88.8% of the Town’s land cover and are vulnerable to drought, which could also increase the risk to other hazards including wildfire and pests.</li> <li>• Farmers in Shutesbury rely on water for their livelihood.</li> <li>• Most residents live within or adjacent to heavily forested areas, making them susceptible to hazards affecting these areas. Also see wildfire problem statements.</li> </ul>

### 3.11 LANDSLIDES

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

According to the 2018 *Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan*, slope saturation by water is already a primary cause of landslides in the Commonwealth. Regional climate change models suggest that New England will likely experience warmer, wetter winters in the future as well as more frequent and intense storms throughout the year. This increase in the frequency and severity of storm events could result in more frequent soil saturation conditions, which are conducive to an increased frequency of landslides. Additionally, an overall warming trend is likely to increase the frequency and duration of droughts and wildfire, both of which could reduce the extent of vegetation throughout the Commonwealth. The loss of the soil stability provided by vegetation could also increase the probability of landslides wherever these events occur.

Figure 3-23: Impacts of Climate Change on Landslides		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION AND EXTREME WEATHER → SLOPE SATURATION	Regional climate change models suggest that Massachusetts will likely experience more frequent and intense storms throughout the year. This change could result in more frequent soil saturation conditions, which are conducive to an increased frequency of landslides.
	RISING TEMPERATURES → REDUCED VEGETATION EXTENT	An increased frequency of drought events is likely to reduce the extent of vegetation throughout the Commonwealth. The loss of the soil stability provided by vegetation could also increase the probability of landslides wherever these events occur.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

The term landslide includes a wide range of ground movements, such as rock falls, deep failure of slopes, and shallow debris flows. The most common types of landslides in Massachusetts include translational debris slides, rotational slides, and debris flows. Most of these events are caused by a combination of unfavorable geologic conditions (silty clay or clay layers contained in glaciomarine, glaciolacustrine, or thick till deposits), steep slopes, and/or excessive wetness leading to excess pore pressures in the subsurface. Historical landslide data for the Commonwealth suggests that most landslides are preceded by two or more months of higher than normal precipitation, followed by a single, high-intensity rainfall of several inches or more.<sup>38</sup> This precipitation can cause slopes to become saturated.

<sup>38</sup> Mabee, S.B., Duncan, C.C. 2013. Slope Stability Map of Massachusetts. Prepared for the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency, the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Massachusetts

Landslides associated with slope saturation occur predominantly in areas with steep slopes underlain by glacial till or bedrock. Bedrock is relatively impermeable relative to the unconsolidated material that overlies it. Similarly, glacial till is less permeable than the soil that forms above it. Thus, there is a permeability contrast between the overlying soil and the underlying, and less permeable, unweathered till and/or bedrock. Water accumulates on this less permeable layer, increasing the pore pressure at the interface. This interface becomes a plane of weakness. If conditions are favorable, failure will occur.<sup>39</sup>

Landslides are created by human activities as well, including deforestation, cultivation and construction, which destabilize already fragile slopes. Some human activities that could cause landslides include:

- vibrations from machinery or traffic;
- blasting;
- earthwork which alters the shape of a slope, or which imposes new loads on an existing slope;
- in shallow soils, the removal of deep-rooted vegetation that binds colluvium to bedrock; and
- construction, agricultural or forestry activities (logging) which change the amount of water which infiltrates the soil.


### **Location**

In 2013, the Massachusetts Geological Survey prepared an updated map of potential landslide hazards for the Commonwealth (funded by FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program) to provide the public, local governments, and emergency management agencies with the location of areas where slope movements have occurred or may possibly occur in the future under conditions of prolonged moisture and high-intensity rainfall. This project was designed to provide statewide mapping and identification of landslide hazards that can be used for community level planning as well as prioritizing high-risk areas for mitigation.

---

<sup>39</sup> Mabee, S.B., Duncan, C.C. 2013. Slope Stability Map of Massachusetts. Prepared for the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency, the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Massachusetts

**Figure 3-24: Slope Stability Map, Shutesbury and Surrounding Towns**



Source: Massachusetts Geologic Survey and UMass Amherst, 2013

Shutesbury has a few areas in town with high and moderate landslide rankings. These areas are shown in Figure 3-25 and are mostly located along the eastern side of the ridges along Quabbin Reservoir and West Branch of the Swift River, as well as on the west sides of Poverty Mountain and the January Hills in southwest Shutesbury. Steep sandy banks along dirt roads in town may also be susceptible to landslides, particularly on Montague Road, Sand Hill Road, and dirt sections of Wendell Road. Additionally, Town officials identified areas likely susceptible to landslides along Cooleyville Road.

**Extent**

Natural variables that contribute to the overall extent of potential landslide activity in any particular area include soil properties, topographic position and slope, and historical incidence. Predicting a landslide is difficult. As a result, estimations of the potential severity of landslides are informed by previous occurrences as well as an examination of landslide susceptibility. Information about previous landslides can provide insight as to both where landslides may occur and what types of damage may result. It is important to note, however, that landslide susceptibility only identifies areas potentially affected and does not imply a time frame when a landslide might occur. The distribution of susceptibility in Shutesbury is depicted on the Slope Stability Map, with areas of higher slope instability considered to also be more susceptible to the landslide hazard.

### **Previous Occurrences**

No significant landslide events have been observed in Shutesbury. However, the Team evaluated the potential area of occurrence to be Isolated, or less than 10% of the town.

### **Probability of Future Events**

In general, landslides are most likely during periods of higher than average rainfall. The ground must be saturated prior to the onset of a major storm for a significant landslide to occur. Increasing heavy precipitation events will increase the risk of landslides in Shutesbury. There is a Low probability, or a 1 to 2% chance, of a landslide happening in the next year.

### **Impact**

Homes located on lots with significant slopes (i.e., 10% or greater), or that are located at the bottom of steep slopes, are at greater risk of impacts from landslides. The impact of a landslide in Shutesbury would be Limited with minor injuries and more than 10% of property in affected area damaged or destroyed.

### **Vulnerability**

#### ***Society***

##### Vulnerable Populations

Populations who rely on potentially impacted roads for vital transportation needs are considered to be particularly vulnerable to this hazard. In Shutesbury, residents who live on Montague Road, Sand Hill Road, and dirt sections of Wendell Road because of the steep, sandy banks along these roads..

##### Health Impacts



People in landslide hazard zones are exposed to the risk of dying during a large-scale landslide; however, damage to infrastructure that impedes emergency access and access to health care is the largest health impact associated with this hazard. Mass movement events in the vicinity of major roads could deposit many tons of sediment and debris on top of the road. Restoring vehicular access is often a lengthy and expensive process.

### Economic Impacts

A landslide's impact on the economy and estimated dollar losses are difficult to measure. Landslides can impose direct and indirect impacts on society. Direct costs include the actual damage sustained by buildings, property, and infrastructure. Indirect costs, such as clean-up costs, business interruption, loss of tax revenues, reduced property values, and loss of productivity are difficult to measure. Additionally, ground failure threatens transportation corridors, fuel and energy conduits, and communication lines

### ***Infrastructure***

Landslides can result in direct losses as well as indirect socioeconomic losses related to damaged infrastructure. Infrastructure located within areas shown as unstable on the Slope Stability Map should be considered to be exposed to the landslide hazard.

### Agriculture

Landslides that affect farmland can result in significant loss of livelihood and long-term loss of productivity. Forests can also be significantly impacted by landslides.

### Energy

The energy sector is vulnerable to damaged infrastructure associated with landslides. Transmission lines are generally elevated above steep slopes, but the towers supporting them can be subject to landslides. A landslide may cause a tower to collapse, bringing down the lines and causing a transmission fault. Transmission faults can cause extended and broad area outages.

### Public Health

Landslides can result in injury and loss of life. Landslides can impact access to power and clean water and also increase exposure to vector-borne diseases.

### Public Safety

Access to major roads is crucial to life safety after a disaster event and to response and recovery operations. The ability of emergency responders to reach people and property impacted by landslides can be impaired by roads that have been buried or washed out by

landslides. The instability of areas where landslides have occurred can also limit the ability of emergency responders to reach survivors.

### Transportation

Landslides can significantly impact roads and bridges. Landslides can block egress and ingress on roads, isolating neighborhoods and causing traffic problems and delays for public and private transportation. These impacts can result in economic losses for businesses. Mass movements can knock out bridge abutments or significantly weaken the soil supporting them, making them hazardous for use.

The possibility of a landslide in the vicinity of a highway or major road represents a significant economic vulnerability for the Town and State. For example, the damage to a 6-mile stretch of Route 2 caused by tropical storm Irene (2011), which included debris flows, four landslides, and fluvial erosion and undercutting of infrastructure, cost \$23 million for initial repairs.

### Water and Wastewater Infrastructure

Surface water bodies may become directly or indirectly contaminated by landslides. Landslides can block river and stream channels, which can result in upstream flooding and reduced downstream flow. This may impact the availability of drinking water. Water and wastewater infrastructure may be physically damaged by mass movements.

### ***Environment***

Landslides can affect a number of different facets of the environment, including the landscape itself, water quality, and habitat health. Following a landslide, soil and organic materials may enter streams, reducing the potability of the water and the quality of the aquatic habitat. Additionally, mass movements of sediment may result in the stripping of forest trees and soils, which in turn impacts the habitat quality of the animals that live in those forests. Flora in the area may struggle to re-establish following a significant landslide because of a lack of topsoil.

### **Vulnerability Summary**

Based on the above assessment, Shutesbury has a hazard index rating of Low for landslides. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury's areas of greatest concern regarding landslides.

#### **Landslide Hazard Problem Statements**

- The steep slopes in the Quabbin Reservoir lands, the west sides of Poverty Mountain and the January Hills are vulnerable to landslides, as identified on the Slope Stability Map. In addition, steep sandy banks along dirt roads in town may be susceptible to

### Landslide Hazard Problem Statements



landslides, particularly on Cooleyville Road, Montague Road, Sand Hill Road, and dirt sections of Wendell Road.

- The greatest dam failure risk to Shutesbury is failure of the McAvoy Pond dam, which would trigger the Lake Wyola Dam Emergency Plan. The McAvoy Pond dam is a private dam in Wendell, so is not being monitored by Shutesbury.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.12 EXTREME TEMPERATURES

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

Beyond the overall warming trend associated with global warming and climate change, Shutesbury will experience increasing days of extreme heat in the future. Generally, extreme heat is considered to be over 90 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), because at temperatures above that threshold, heat-related illnesses and mortality show a marked increase. The average summer across the Commonwealth during the years between 1971 and 2000 included 4 days over 90°F. Climate scientists project that by mid-century, the state could have a climate that resembles that of southern states today, with between 10-28 days over 90°F. By the end of the century, extreme heat could occur between 13-56 days during summer, depending on how successful we are in reducing greenhouse gas emissions.<sup>40</sup>

Figure 3-25: Impacts of Climate Change on Extreme Temperatures		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	RISING TEMPERATURES ➔ HIGHER EXTREME TEMPERATURES	The average summer across the Massachusetts during the years between 1971 and 2000 included 4 days over 90°F (i.e. extreme heat days). Climate scientists project that by mid-century, the state could have a climate that resembles that of southern states today, with an additional 10-28 days over 90°F during summer. By the end of the century, extreme heat could occur between 13-56 days during summer.
	RISING TEMPERATURES ➔ HIGHER AVERAGE TEMPERATURES	Compared to an annual 1971-2000 average temperature baseline of 47.6°F, annual average temperatures in Massachusetts are projected to increase by 3.8 to 10.8 degrees (likely range) by the end of the 21st century; slightly higher in western Massachusetts.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

There is no universal definition for extreme temperatures. The term is relative to the usual weather in the region based on climatic averages. Extreme heat for Massachusetts is usually defined as a period of three or more consecutive days above 90 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), but more generally as a prolonged period of excessively hot weather, which may be accompanied by high humidity. Extreme cold is also considered relative to the normal climatic lows in a region.

Massachusetts has four seasons with several defining factors, and temperature is one of the most significant. Extreme temperatures can be defined as those that are far outside the normal ranges. The average highs and lows of the hottest and coolest months in Franklin County (using

<sup>40</sup> ResilientMA: Climate Change Clearing House for the Commonwealth: <http://resilientma.org/changes/rising-temperatures>. Accessed March 1, 2019.

Greenfield data as a proxy) are provided in Table 3-37.


Table 3-37: Annual Average High and Low Temperatures (Greenfield)		
	July (Hottest Month)	January (Coldest Month)
Average High (°F)	81°	33°
Average Low (°F)	57°	12°

Note: Average temperatures are for the years 1981-2010.

Source: U.S. Climate Data.

### Location

According to the NOAA, Massachusetts is made up of three climate divisions: Western, Central, and Coastal, as shown in Figure 3-27. Average annual temperatures vary slightly over the divisions, with annual average temperatures of around 46°F in the Western division (area labeled “1” in the figure), 49°F in the Central division (area labeled “2” in the figure) and 50°F in the Coastal division (area labeled “3” in the figure). Shutesbury falls within the Central climate division.




Source: NOAA, as presented in the Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018

Extreme temperature events occur more frequently and vary more in the inland regions of the State where temperatures are not moderated by the Atlantic Ocean. The severity of extreme heat impacts, however, is greater in densely developed urban areas like Boston than in

suburban and rural areas, due to the urban “heat island” effect, described in more detail in the Impacts sub-section. In Shutesbury, extreme temperatures would occur over a Large extent of the town, with more than 50% of the town affected. Roads in Shutesbury most vulnerable to mudding during freeze-thaw cycles includes Baker, Leonard, and the lower part of Wendell Roads.


**Extent**

The extent (severity or magnitude) of extreme cold temperatures is generally measured through the Wind Chill Temperature Index. Wind Chill Temperature is the temperature that people and animals feel when they are outside, and it is based on the rate of heat loss from exposed skin by the effects of wind and cold. As the wind increases, the body loses heat at a faster rate, causing the skin’s temperature to drop. The National Weather Service (NWS) issues a Wind Chill Advisory if the Wind Chill Index is forecast to dip to -15°F to -24°F for at least three hours, based on sustained winds (not gusts). The NWS issues a Wind Chill Warning if the Wind Chill Index is forecast to fall to -25°F or colder for at least three hours. On November 1, 2001, the NWS implemented a Wind Chill Temperature Index designed to more accurately calculate how cold air feels on human skin. Figure 3-28 shows the Wind Chill Temperature Index.



Source: National Weather Service: <https://www.weather.gov/safety/cold-wind-chill-chart>

The NWS issues a Heat Advisory when the NWS Heat Index is forecast to reach 100 to 104°F for two or more hours. The NWS issues an Excessive Heat Warning if the Heat Index is forecast to reach 105°F or higher for two or more hours. The NWS Heat Index is based both on temperature and relative humidity, and describes a temperature equivalent to what a person would feel at a baseline humidity level. It is scaled to the ability of a person to lose heat to their environment. The relationship between these variables and the levels at which the NWS considers various health hazards to become relevant are shown in Figure 3-29. It is important to know that the heat index values are devised for shady, light wind conditions. Exposure to full sunshine can increase heat index values by up to 15°F. In addition, strong winds, particularly with very hot, dry air, can increase the risk of heat-related impacts.



Source: National Weather Service: <https://www.weather.gov/safety/heat-index>

### Previous Occurrences

Since 1999, there have been 45 days within the Commonwealth recorded as Cold/Wind Chill to Extreme Cold/Wind Chill events.<sup>41</sup> Information on severe cold weather events in Shutesbury and Franklin County was not available prior to 2015. However, detail on recent extreme events is provided below.

<sup>41</sup> NOAA Storm Events Database: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/>

In February 2015, a series of snowstorms piled nearly 60 inches on the city of Boston in 3 weeks and caused recurrent blizzards across eastern Massachusetts. While Shutesbury and western Massachusetts was not impacted as much from the snow, temperature gauges across the Commonwealth measured extreme cold, with wind chills as low as -31°F. Wind chills as low as 28 below zero were recorded at the Orange Municipal Airport.

In February 2016, one cold weather event broke records throughout the state. Arctic high pressure brought strong northwest winds and extremely cold wind chills to southern New England. Wind chills as low as 38 below zero were reported in Orange.

According to the NOAA's Storm Events Database, there have been 43 warm weather days (ranging from Record Warmth/Heat to Excessive Heat events) since 1995 in Massachusetts. Excessive heat results from a combination of temperatures well above normal and high humidity. Whenever the heat index values meet or exceed locally or regionally established heat or excessive heat warning thresholds, an event is reported in the database. Information on excessive heat was not available for Shutesbury or Franklin County prior to 2018.

In 2012, Massachusetts temperatures broke 27 heat records. Most of these records were broken between June 20 and June 22, 2012, during the first major heat wave of the summer to hit Massachusetts and the East Coast. In July 2013, a long period of hot and humid weather occurred throughout New England. One fatality occurred on July 6, when a postal worker collapsed as the Heat Index reached 100°F. In Franklin County, excessive heat was recorded for July 1, 2018, when a heat index of 107°F was observed at the Orange Municipal Airport from 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM.


### **Probability of Future Events**

There are a number of climatic phenomena that determine the number of extreme weather events in a specific year. However, there are significant long-term trends in the frequency of extreme hot and cold events. In the last decade, U.S. daily record high temperatures have occurred twice as often as record lows (as compared to a nearly 1:1 ratio in the 1950s). Models suggest that this ratio could climb to 20:1 by midcentury, if GHG emissions are not significantly reduced. The data support the trends of an increased frequency of extreme hot weather events and a decreased frequency of extreme cold weather events.

The average, maximum, and minimum temperatures in Franklin County are likely to increase significantly over the next century (resilient MA, 2018). This gradual change will put long-term stress on a variety of social and natural systems, and will exacerbate the influence of discrete



events. Significant increases in maximum temperatures are anticipated, particularly under a higher GHG emissions scenario. Figure 3-30 displays the projected increase in the number of days per year over 90°F. The number of days per year with daily maximum temperatures over 90°F is projected to increase by 18 days by the 2050s, and by 32 days by the end of the century (for a total of 36 days over 90°F), compared to the average observed range from 1971 to 2000 of 4 days per year. Under a high emissions scenario, however, there could be as many as 100 days with a maximum temperature above 90°F by the end of the century. Shutesbury has a Very High probability, or a 50 to 100% chance, of a extreme temperatures occurring in the next year.



Source: resilient MA, 2018.

### Impact

Shutesbury’s aging population is most vulnerable to the impacts of extreme heat and cold. Because of its location at high elevation, extreme heat is not expected to have the same impact in Shutesbury as it does at lower elevations in the region in denser urban environments. The impact of extreme temperatures in Shutesbury would be Minor with very few injuries and minimal damage.

### ***Extreme Cold***

Extreme cold is a dangerous situation that can result in health emergencies for susceptible people, such as those without shelter or who are stranded or who live in homes that are poorly insulated or without heat. Extreme cold events are events when temperatures drop well below normal in an area. Extreme cold temperatures are characterized by the ambient air temperature dropping to approximately 0°F or below.

When winter temperatures drop significantly below normal, staying warm and safe can become a challenge. Extremely cold temperatures often accompany a winter storm, which may also cause power failures and icy roads. During cold months, carbon monoxide may be high in some areas because the colder weather makes it difficult for car emission control systems to operate effectively, and temperature inversions can trap the resulting pollutants closer to the ground.

Staying indoors as much as possible can help reduce the risk of car crashes and falls on the ice, but cold weather also can present hazards indoors. Many homes may be too cold, either due to a power failure or because the heating system is not adequate for the weather. Exposure to cold temperatures, whether indoors or outside, can cause other serious or life-threatening health problems. Power outages may also result in inappropriate use of combustion heaters, cooking appliances, and generators in indoor or poorly ventilated areas, leading to increased risk of carbon monoxide poisoning or fire.

### ***Extreme Heat***

A heat wave is defined as three or more days of temperatures of 90°F or above. A basic definition of a heat wave implies that it is an extended period of unusually high atmosphere-related heat stress, which causes temporary modifications in lifestyle and which may have adverse health consequences for the affected population. Heat waves cause more fatalities in the U.S. than the total of all other meteorological events combined.

Heat impacts can be particularly significant in urban areas. Buildings, roads, and other infrastructure replace open land and vegetation. Dark-colored asphalt and roofs also absorb more of the sun's energy. These changes cause urban areas to become warmer than the surrounding areas. This forms "islands" of higher temperatures, often referred to as "heat islands." The term "heat island" describes built-up areas that are hotter than nearby rural or shaded areas. Heat islands occur on the surface and in the atmosphere. On a hot, sunny day, the sun can heat dry, exposed urban surfaces to temperatures 50°F to 90°F hotter than the air. Heat islands can affect communities by increasing peak energy demand during the summer, air conditioning costs, air pollution and GHG emissions, heat-related illness and death, and water

quality degradation.

Extreme heat events can also have impacts on air quality. Many conditions associated with heat waves or more severe events—including high temperatures, low precipitation, strong sunlight and low wind speeds—contribute to a worsening of air quality in several ways. High temperatures can increase the production of ozone from volatile organic compounds and other aerosols. Weather patterns that bring high temperatures can also transport particulate matter air pollutants from other areas of the continent. Additionally, atmospheric inversions and low wind speeds allow polluted air to remain in one location for a prolonged period of time.

### **Vulnerability**

The entire town of Shutesbury is vulnerable to extreme temperatures.

### ***Society***

#### Vulnerable Populations

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, populations most at risk to extreme cold and heat events include: (1) people over the age of 65, who are less able to withstand temperature extremes due to their age, health conditions, and limited mobility to access shelters; (2) infants and children under 5 years of age; (3) individuals with pre-existing medical conditions that impair heat tolerance (e.g., heart disease or kidney disease); (4) low-income individuals who cannot afford proper heating and cooling; (5) people with respiratory conditions, such as asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; and (6) the general public who may overexert themselves when working or exercising during extreme heat events or who may experience hypothermia during extreme cold events. Additionally, people who live alone—particularly the elderly and individuals with disabilities—are at higher risk of heat-related illness due to their isolation and potential reluctance to relocate to cooler environments.

An additional element of vulnerability to extreme temperature events is homelessness, as homeless individuals have a limited capacity to shelter from dangerous temperatures. Two homeless people died from exposure to extreme cold in January 2019 in Greenfield.

Table 3-38 estimates the number of vulnerable populations and households in Shutesbury. Individuals and households may fall into multiple categories, so the numbers should not be added. Rather, the table provides Town officials and emergency response personnel with information to help plan for responding to the needs of Shutesbury residents during an extreme temperature event.

**Table 3-37: Estimated Vulnerable Populations in Shutesbury**

<b>Vulnerable Population Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Population*</b>
Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
<b>Vulnerable Household Category</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent of Total Households*</b>
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740

Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

### Health Impacts

When people are exposed to extreme heat, they can suffer from potentially deadly illnesses, such as heat exhaustion and heat stroke. Heat is the leading weather-related killer in the U.S., even though most heat-related deaths are preventable through outreach and intervention. A study of heat-related deaths across Massachusetts estimated that when the temperature rises above the 85th percentile (hot: 85-86°F), 90th percentile (very hot: 87-89°F) and 95th percentile (extremely hot: 89-92°F) there are between five and seven excess deaths per day in Massachusetts. These estimates were higher for communities with high percentages of African American residents and elderly residents on days exceeding the 85th percentile.<sup>42</sup> A 2013 study of heart disease patients in Worcester, MA, found that extreme heat (high temperature greater than the 95th percentile) in the 2 days before a heart attack resulted in an estimated 44 percent increase in mortality. Living in poverty appeared to increase this effect.<sup>43</sup> In 2015, researchers analyzed Medicare records for adults over the age of 65 who were living in New England from 2000 to 2008. They found that a rise in summer mean temperatures of 1°C resulted in a 1 percent rise in the mortality rate due to an increase in the number and intensity

<sup>42</sup> Hattis, D. et al. 2012. The Spatial Variability of Heat-Related Mortality in Massachusetts. Applied Geography. 33(2012) pg 45-52. <http://wordpress.clarku.edu/yogneva/files/2012/04/Hattis-et-al-2011-The-spatial-variability-of-heat-related-mortality-in-Massachusetts.pdf>

<sup>43</sup> Madrigano J, Mittleman MA, Baccarelli A, Goldberg R, Melly S, von Klot S, Schwartz J. Temperature, myocardial infarction, and mortality: effect modification by individual- and area-level characteristics. Epidemiology. 2013 May;24(3):439-46.

of heat events.<sup>44</sup>

Hot temperatures can contribute to deaths from heart attacks, strokes, other forms of cardiovascular disease, renal disease, and respiratory diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder. Human bodies cool themselves primarily through sweating and through increasing blood flow to body surfaces. Heat events thus increase stress on cardiovascular, renal, and respiratory systems, and may lead to hospitalization or death in the elderly and those with pre-existing diseases.

Massachusetts has a very high prevalence of asthma: approximately 1 out of every 11 people in the state currently has asthma. In Massachusetts, poor air quality often accompanies heat events, as increased heat increases the conversion of ozone precursors in fossil fuel combustion emissions to ozone. Particulate pollution may also accompany hot weather, as the weather patterns that bring heat waves to the region may carry pollution from other areas of the continent. Poor air quality can negatively affect respiratory and cardiovascular systems, and can exacerbate asthma and trigger heart attacks.

The rate of hospital admissions for heat stress under existing conditions is shown in Figure 3-31. Between 2002 and 2012, the annual average age-adjusted rate of hospital admission for heat stress was highest in Plymouth and Suffolk Counties. Franklin County ranked among the second highest rate of 0.12-0.13 admissions per 10,000 people. As displayed in Figure 3-32, Franklin County experienced the highest annual average age-adjusted hospital admissions for heart attacks (4.29 to 4.17 per 10,000 people) during this period, along with Plymouth, Bristol, and Berkshire Counties. Hamden County had the highest annual average age emergency department visits due to asthma (see Figure 3-33), while Franklin County's rate was statistically significantly lower.

Some behaviors increase the risks of temperature-related impacts. These behaviors include voluntary actions, such as drinking alcohol or taking part in strenuous outdoor physical activities in extreme weather, but may also include necessary actions, such as taking prescribed medications that impair the body's ability to regulate its temperature or that inhibit perspiration.


Cold-weather events can also have significant health impacts. The most immediate of these impacts are cold-related injuries, such as frostbite and hypothermia, which can become fatal if exposure to cold temperatures is prolonged. Similar to the impacts of hot weather that have

---

<sup>44</sup> Shi L. et al. 2015. Impacts of temperature and its variability on mortality in New England. *Nature Climate Change*. Volume 5. November 2015.


already been described, cold weather can exacerbate pre-existing respiratory and cardiovascular conditions. Additionally, power outages that occur as a result of extreme temperature events can be immediately life-threatening to those dependent on electricity for life support or other medical needs. Isolation of these populations is a significant concern if extreme temperatures preclude their mobility or the functionality of systems they depend on. Power outages during cold weather may also result in inappropriate use of combustion heaters, cooking appliances, and generators in indoor or poorly ventilated areas, leading to increased risk of carbon monoxide poisoning or fires.

Figure 3-30: Rates of Heat Stress-Related Hospitalization by County



Source: Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.


Figure 3-31: Rates of Hospital Admissions for Heart Attacks by County



Source: Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.



Figure 3-32: Rates of Emergency Department Visits Due to Asthma by County



Source: Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan, September 2018.

### Economic Impacts

Extreme temperature events also have impacts on the economy, including loss of business function and damage to and loss of inventory. Business owners may be faced with increased financial burdens due to unexpected building repairs (e.g., repairs for burst pipes), higher than normal utility bills, or business interruptions due to power failure (i.e., loss of electricity and telecommunications). Increased demand for water and electricity may result in shortages and a higher cost for these resources. Industries that rely on water for business (e.g., landscaping businesses) will also face significant impacts. There is a loss of productivity and income when the transportation sector is impacted and people and commodities cannot get to their intended destination. Businesses with employees that work outdoors (such as agricultural and construction companies) may have to reduce employees' exposure to the elements by reducing or shifting their hours to cooler or warmer periods of the day.

The agricultural industry is most directly at risk in terms of economic impact and damage due to extreme temperature and drought events. Extreme heat can result in drought and dry conditions, which directly impact livestock and crop production. Increasing average temperatures may make crops more susceptible to invasive species. Higher temperatures that result in greater concentrations of ozone negatively impact plants that are sensitive to ozone. Additionally, as described in the Environment sub-section, changing temperatures can impact the phenology.

Livestock are also impacted, as heat stress can make animals more vulnerable to disease, reduce their fertility, and decrease the rate of milk production. Additionally, scientists believe the use of parasiticides and other animal treatments may increase as the threat of invasive species and pests grows.

### ***Infrastructure***

All elements of the built environment are exposed to the extreme temperature hazard. The impacts of extreme heat on buildings include: increased thermal stresses on building materials, which leads to greater wear and tear and reduces a building's useful lifespan; increased air-conditioning demand to maintain a comfortable temperature; overheated heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems; and disruptions in service associated with power outages. Extreme cold can cause materials such as plastic to become less pliable, increasing the potential for these materials to break down during extreme cold events. In addition to the facility-specific impacts, extreme temperatures can impact critical infrastructure sectors of the built environment in a number of ways, which are summarized in the subsections that follow.

### Agriculture

Above average, below average, and extreme temperatures are likely to impact crops—such as apples, peaches, and maple syrup—that rely on specific temperature regimes. Unseasonably warm temperatures in early spring that are followed by freezing temperatures can result in crop loss of fruit-bearing trees. Increasing heat stress days (above 90°F) may stress livestock and some crops. More pest pressure from insects, diseases and weeds may harm crops and cause farms to increase pesticide use. Farmers may have the opportunity to introduce new crops that are viable under warmer conditions and longer growing seasons; however, a transition such as this may be costly.<sup>45</sup>

### Energy

In addition to increasing demand for heating and cooling, periods of both hot and cold weather can stress energy infrastructure. Electricity consumption during summer may reach three times the average consumption rate of the period between 1960 and 2000; more than 25 percent of this consumption may be attributable to climate change.<sup>46</sup> In addition to affecting consumption rates, high temperatures can also reduce the thermal efficiency of electricity generation.

Extended-duration extreme cold can lead to energy supply concerns, as the heating sector then demands a higher percentage of the natural gas pipeline capacity. When this occurs, New England transitions electricity generation from natural gas to oil and liquid natural gas. Limited on-site oil and liquid natural gas storage as well as refueling challenges may cause energy supply concerns if the events are colder and longer in duration.

### Transportation

Extreme heat has potential impacts on the design and operation of the transportation system. Impacts on the design include the instability of materials, particularly pavement, exposed to high temperatures over longer periods of time, which can cause buckling and lead to increased failures.<sup>47</sup> High heat can cause pavement to soften and expand, creating ruts, potholes, and jarring, and placing additional stress on bridge joints. Extreme heat may cause heat stress in materials such as asphalt and increase the frequency of repairs and replacements. Roads are also vulnerable to rapid freeze and thaw cycles, which may cause damage to road surfaces. An increase in freeze and thaw cycles can also damage bridge expansion joints.<sup>48</sup>

Railroad tracks can expand in extreme heat, causing the track to “kink” and derail trains. Higher

---

<sup>45</sup> Resilient MA: <http://resilientma.org/sectors/agriculture>. Accessed March 4, 2019.

<sup>46</sup> Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs and the Adaptation Advisory Committee (EOEEA). 2011. Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Report.

<sup>47</sup> Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT). 2017. Assessment of Extreme Temperature Impacts on MassDOT Assets

<sup>48</sup> Resilient MA: <http://resilientma.org/sectors/transportation>. Accessed March 4, 2019.

temperatures inside the enclosure-encased equipment, such as traffic control devices and signal control systems for rail service, may result in equipment failure. Rail operations will also be impacted when mandatory speed reductions are issued in areas where tracks have been exposed to high temperatures over many days, resulting in increased transit travel time and operating costs as well as a reduction in track capacity. Finally, extreme temperatures also discourage active modes of transportation, such as bicycling and walking. This will have a secondary impact on sustainable transportation objectives and public health.

Operations are vulnerable to heat waves and associated power outages that affect electrical power supply to rail operations and to supporting ancillary assets for highway operations, such as electronic signing. Increased heat also impacts transportation workers, the viability of vegetation in rights-of-way, and vehicle washing or maintenance schedules.<sup>49</sup> Hot weather increases the likelihood that cars may overheat during hot weather, and also increases the deterioration rate of tires.

### Water Infrastructure

Extreme temperatures do not pose as great a threat to water infrastructure as flood-related hazards, but changes in temperature can impact water infrastructure. For example, extreme heat that drives increases in air-conditioning demand can trigger power outages that disrupt water and wastewater treatment.<sup>50</sup> Hotter temperatures will also likely result in increased outdoor water consumption. Combined with other climate impacts such as an increase in surface water evapotranspiration, changing precipitation patterns, and groundwater recharge rates, increased water demand may challenge the capacity of water supplies and providers. Extreme heat can damage aboveground infrastructure such as tanks, reservoirs, and pump stations. Warmer temperatures can also lead to corrosion, water main breaks, and inflow and infiltration into water supplies. Extreme heat is likely to result in increased drought conditions, and this has significant implications for water infrastructure, as discussed in the Drought Section.

Extreme cold can freeze pipes, causing them to burst. This can then lead to flooding and mold inside buildings when frozen pipes thaw.

### ***Environment***

There are numerous ways in which changing temperatures will impact the natural environment. Because the species that exist in a given area have adapted to survive within a specific

---

<sup>49</sup> Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT). 2017. Assessment of Extreme Temperature Impacts on MassDOT Assets

<sup>50</sup> Resilient MA: <http://resilientma.org/sectors/water-resources>. Accessed March 4, 2019.

temperature range, extreme temperature events can place significant stress both on individual species and the ecosystems in which they function. High-elevation spruce-fir forests, forested boreal swamp, and higher-elevation northern hardwoods are likely to be highly vulnerable to climate change. Higher summer temperatures will disrupt wetland hydrology. Paired with a higher incidence and severity of droughts, high temperatures and evapotranspiration rates could lead to habitat loss and wetlands drying out.<sup>51</sup> Individual extreme weather events usually have a limited long-term impact on natural systems, although unusual frost events occurring after plants begin to bloom in the spring can cause significant damage. However, the impact on natural resources of changing average temperatures and the changing frequency of extreme climate events is likely to be massive and widespread.

One significant impact of increasing temperatures may be the northern migration of plants and animals. Over time, shifting habitat may result in a geographic mismatch between the location of conservation land and the location of critical habitats and species the conserved land was designed to protect. One specific way in which average temperatures influence plant behavior is through changes in phenology, the pattern of seasonal life events in plants and animals. A recent study by the National Park Service found that of 276 parks studied, three-quarters are experiencing earlier spring conditions, as defined by the first greening of trees and first bloom of flowers, and half are experiencing an “extreme” early spring that exceeds 95% of historical conditions.<sup>52</sup> These changing seasonal cues can lead to ecological mismatches, as plants and animals that rely on each other for ecosystem services become “out of sync.” For example, migratory birds that rely on specific food sources at specific times may reach their destinations before or after the species they feed on arrive or are in season. Additionally, invasive species tend to have more flexible phenologies than their native counterparts; therefore, shifting seasons may increase the competitiveness of present and introduced invasive species.

Wild plants and animals are also migrating away from their current habitats in search of the cooler temperatures to which they are accustomed. This is particularly pertinent for ecosystems that (like many in the northeastern U.S.) lie on the border between two biome types. For example, an examination of the Green Mountains of Vermont found a 299- to 390-foot upslope shift in the boundary between northern hardwoods and boreal forests between 1964 and 2004.<sup>53</sup> Such a shift is hugely significant for the species that live in this ecosystem as

---

<sup>51</sup> Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences (MCCS) and Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (DFW). 2010. Climate Change and Massachusetts Fish and Wildlife: Volume 3 Habitat Management.

<sup>52</sup> National Park System (NPS). 2016. Project Brief: Phenology and Climate Change.

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/upload/2016-10-26-NPS-Phen-Project-Brief.pdf>

<sup>53</sup> U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP). 2014. Hatfield, J. et al., Ch. 6: Agri-culture. Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment, J. M. Melillo, Terese (T.C.) Richmond, and G.

well as for forestry companies or others who rely on the continued presence of these natural resources. Massachusetts ecosystems that are expected to be particularly vulnerable to warming temperatures include:

- Coldwater streams and fisheries
- Vernal pools
- Spruce-fir forests
- Northern hardwood (Maple-Beech-Birch) forests, which are economically important due to their role in sugar production
- Hemlock forests, particularly those with the hemlock woolly adelgid
- Urban forests, which will experience extra impacts due to the urban heat island effect

Additional impacts of warming temperatures include the increased survival and grazing damage of white-tailed deer, increased invasion rates of invasive plants, and increased survival and productivity of insect pests, which cause damage to forests.<sup>54</sup> As temperature increases, the length of the growing season will also increase.

### Vulnerability Summary

Based on the above assessment, Shutesbury has a Medium vulnerability to extreme temperatures. The following problem statements summarize Shutesbury’s areas of greatest concern regarding extreme temperatures.

Extreme Temperature Hazard Problem Statements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Extreme cold temperatures combined with power outages, even short duration, can result in frozen and burst pipes for properties without back-up power.</li><li>• Residents may not be familiar with how to deal with or prevent diseases associated with increasing average temperatures (e.g., tick and mosquito borne diseases).</li><li>• Elderly, disabled and low-income residents are more vulnerable to extreme temperatures and may lack A/C or adequate heating systems in their homes.</li><li>• Extreme heat may worsen risk of wildfires and the availability of local water supplies for firefighting. Firefighters may already lack sufficient water volume, pressure, and/or infrastructure across town to fight wildfires. Also see wildfires problem statements.</li><li>• Extreme temperatures create a risk of “brown-outs” in the power grid, where</li></ul>

W. Yohe, Eds., pp 150-174

<sup>54</sup> Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences (MCCS) and Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (DFW). 2010. Climate Change and Massachusetts Fish and Wildlife: Volume 3 Habitat Management.

### Extreme Temperature Hazard Problem Statements

electricity supply may dip due to excess demand on the system and can affect vulnerable populations and municipal operations dependent on a consistent and uninterrupted power supply.



- Roads deteriorate more rapidly under continuous freeze and thaw cycles.
- Changing climate has resulted in an annual decrease in days below freezing, a trend that will progress over the next century. Fewer days below freezing and deep frosts occurring later in the season are some of the contributing factors for larger tick and mosquito populations and longer seasons for both. This increases risk to Shutesbury residents from insect borne diseases.
- Temperature swings in the winter months cause unpaved roads to become muddy, churned, and increasingly difficult to traverse. Improved drainage is needed on several roads in Shutesbury.
- Increased temperatures can disrupt learning in schools if air conditioning is not adequate.
- Vulnerable populations, including elderly and disabled residents, are more vulnerable in the event of an emergency due to lessened mobility or medical needs. Residents in Shutesbury are dispersed and some people may be difficult to reach in the event of an emergency.
- The effectiveness of the CodeRED emergency communication system is limited by poor cell service and the lack of full enrollment (~400 households are not enrolled).
- Appropriate emergency response on the part of residents during hazardous events, such as utilizing sheltering options, may be compromised by failure of emergency communication systems and limited public knowledge of available sheltering options.
- The Shutesbury Elementary School has a number of limitations as an emergency shelter, including lack of air conditioning, and conflict with school operations, if in session.
- Current Highway Department staffing levels may not be sufficient for rapid response for road-related emergencies.
- The REPC is working to identify options for regional and local debris management. The regional plan approved by MassDEP several years ago was never implemented because the communities that would serve as regional sites did not execute MOUs. The Town is being urged by MassDEP to select and provide disaster storage/disposal location(s).
- Disaster preparedness educational programming does not reach every community member.

### 3.13 INVASIVE SPECIES

#### Potential Impacts of Climate Change

A warming climate may place stress on colder-weather species while allowing non-native species accustomed to warmer climates to spread northward. This northward trend is already well documented, and is expected to accelerate in the future. Another way in which climate change may increase the frequency of natural species threat is through the possibility of climate refugees. As populations move to escape increasingly inhospitable climates, they are likely to bring along products, food, and livestock that could introduce novel (and potentially invasive) species to the areas in which they settle.

Extreme winter temperatures are also critical limiting factors for many forest pests, and warming is expected to increase their survival and lead to expansions and outbreaks. For example, in Massachusetts, it’s likely that winter temperatures have been limiting the impact of hemlock wooly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*), as many infested forest stands are surviving while in more southerly ranges there is near complete mortality from this pest. But the adelgid has already expanded its range with warming winter temperatures and is likely to have increased survival and higher reproductive rates in the northern portion of its range as temperatures warm, likely leading to more significant impacts on forests.<sup>55</sup>

Figure 3-33: Impacts of Climate Change on Invasive Species		
Potential Effects of Climate Change		
	RISING TEMPERATURES → WARMING CLIMATE	A warming climate may place stress on colder-weather species, while allowing non-native species accustomed to warmer climates to spread northward.
	RISING TEMPERATURES AND CHANGES IN PRECIPITATION → ECOSYSTEM STRESS	Changes in precipitation and temperature combine to create new stresses for Massachusetts’ unique ecosystems. For example, intense rainfall in urbanized areas can cause pollutants on roads and parking lots to get washed into nearby rivers and lakes, reducing habitat quality. As rainfall and snowfall patterns change, certain habitats and species that have specific physiological requirements may be affected. The stresses experienced by native ecosystems as a result of these changes may increase the chances of a successful invasion of non-native species.

Source: Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. September 2018

#### Hazard Description

“Invasives” are species recently introduced to new ecosystems that cause or are likely to cause significant harm to the environment, economy, or human health. Invasives compete with native plants and wildlife for resources, disrupt beneficial relationships, spread disease, cause direct

<sup>55</sup> MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <http://climateactiontool.org/content/invasive-plants-and-animals>. Accessed March 4, 2019.



mortality, and can significantly alter ecosystem function. Some of the more common invasives in Massachusetts may already be familiar - problematic invasive plants include Japanese barberry (*Berberis thunbergii*), glossy buckthorn (*Frangula alnus*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), Japanese knotweed (*Fallopia japonica*), garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) and black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*). Invasive animals include forest pests such as the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelgis tsugae*), elongate hemlock scale (*Florinia externa*), Asian longhorn beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*), and the emerald ash borer (*Agilus planipennis*). The zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) is a particularly detrimental aquatic invasive species that has recently been detected in Western Massachusetts.<sup>56</sup>

The Massachusetts Invasive Plant Advisory Group (MIPAG), a collaborative representing organizations and professionals concerned with the conservation of the Massachusetts landscape, is charged by the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs to provide recommendations to the Commonwealth to manage invasive species. MIPAG defines invasive plants as "non-native species that have spread into native or minimally managed plant systems in Massachusetts, causing economic or environmental harm by developing self-sustaining populations and becoming dominant and/or disruptive to those systems." These species have biological traits that provide them with competitive advantages over native species, particularly because in a new habitat they are not restricted by the biological controls of their native habitat. As a result, these invasive species can monopolize natural communities, displacing many native species and causing widespread economic and environmental damage. MIPAG recognized 69 plant species as "Invasive," "Likely Invasive," or "Potentially Invasive."

Massachusetts has a variety of laws and regulations in place that attempt to mitigate the impacts of these species. The Massachusetts Department of Agricultural Resources (MDAR) maintains a list of prohibited plants for the state, which includes federally noxious weeds as well as invasive plants recommended by MIPAG and approved for listing by MDAR. Species on the MDAR list are regulated with prohibitions on importation, propagation, purchase, and sale in the Commonwealth. Additionally, the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (310 CMR 10.00) includes language requiring all activities covered by the Act to account for, and take steps to prevent, the introduction or propagation of invasive species.

In 2000, Massachusetts passed an Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan, making the Commonwealth eligible for federal funds to support and implement the plan through the federal Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act. MassDEP is part of the Northeast Aquatic

---

<sup>56</sup> MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <http://climateactiontool.org/content/invasive-plants-and-animals>. Accessed March 4, 2019.

Nuisance Species Panel, which was established under the federal Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force. This panel allows managers and researchers to exchange information and coordinate efforts on the management of aquatic invasive species. The Commonwealth also has several resources pertaining to terrestrial invasive species, such as the Massachusetts Introduced Pest Outreach Project, although a strategic management plan has not yet been prepared for these species.

Code of Massachusetts Regulation (CMR) 330 CMR 6.0(d) requires any seed mix containing restricted noxious weeds to specify the name and number per pound on the seed label. Regulation 339 CMR 9.0 restricts the transport of currant or gooseberry species in an attempt to prevent the spread of white pine blister rust. There are also a number of state laws pertaining to invasive species. Chapters 128, 130, and 132 of Part I of the General Laws of the state include language addressing water chestnuts, green crabs, the Asian longhorn beetle, and a number of other species. These laws also include language allowing orchards and gardens to be surveyed for invasive species and for quarantines to be put into effect at any time.

Identification and monitoring is an important element in mitigating impacts from invasive species. The Outsmart Invasive Species project is a collaboration between the University of Massachusetts Amherst, DCR, and the Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health at the University of Georgia. The goal of the project is to strengthen ongoing invasive-species monitoring efforts in Massachusetts by enlisting help from citizens. The web- and smartphone-based approach enables volunteers to identify and collect data on invasive species in their own time, with little or no hands-on training. By taking advantage of the increasing number of people equipped with iPhone or digital camera/web technology, this approach will expand the scope of invasive-species monitoring, in an effort to help control outbreaks of new or emergent invasive species that threaten our environment.<sup>57</sup>

## **Location**

The damage rendered by invasive species is significant. The massive scope of this hazard means that the entire town of Shutesbury may experience impacts from these species. Furthermore, the ability of invasive species to travel far distances (either via natural mechanisms or accidental human interference) allows these species to propagate rapidly over a large geographic area. Similarly, in open freshwater ecosystems, invasive species can quickly spread once introduced, as there are generally no physical barriers to prevent establishment, outside of physiological tolerances, and multiple opportunities for transport to new locations (by boats, for example).

---

<sup>57</sup> <https://masswoods.org/outsmart>. Accessed March 5, 2019.

One of the immediate threats to Shutesbury is the combined impact of the hemlock woolly adelgid and hemlock elongate scale, small insects that colonize and kill hemlocks. Both pests may spread unimpeded, and together could cause widespread hemlock mortality. Though not yet present in Shutesbury, emerald ash borer has the potential to eliminate all ashes from the forest in the next few decades. Overall, the location of occurrence of invasive species in Shutesbury is Large.

### **Extent**

Invasive species are a widespread problem in Massachusetts and throughout the country. The geographic extent of invasive species varies greatly depending on the species in question and other factors, including habitat and the range of the species. Some species are nearly controlled, whereas others, such as the zebra mussel, are currently adversely impacting ecosystems throughout the Commonwealth. Invasive species can be measured through monitoring and recording observances.

### **Previous Occurrences**

The terrestrial and freshwater species listed on the MIPAG website as “Invasive” (last updated April 2016) are identified in Table 3-39. The table also includes details on the nature of the ecological and economic challenges presented by each species as well as information on where the species has been detected in Massachusetts. Nineteen of the invasive species on the list have been observed in Shutesbury as of 2020.

**Table 3-38: Invasive Plants Occurring in Western Massachusetts**

Species (Common Name)	Notes on Occurrence and Impact	Observed in Shutesbury
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L. ( <b>Norway maple</b> )	A tree occurring in all regions of the state in upland and wetland habitats, and especially common in woodlands with colluvial soils. It grows in full sun to full shade. Escapes from cultivation; can form dense stands; out-competes native vegetation, including sugar maple; dispersed by water, wind and vehicles.	Y
<i>Aegopodium podagraria</i> L. ( <b>Bishop's goutweed; bishop's weed; goutweed</b> )	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in uplands and wetlands. Grows in full sun to full shade. Escapes from cultivation; spreads aggressively by roots; forms dense colonies in flood plains.	Y
<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (P. Miller) Swingle ( <b>Tree of heaven</b> )	This tree occurs in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, & coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Spreads aggressively from root suckers, especially in disturbed areas.	N
<i>Alliaria petiolata</i> (Bieb.) Cavara & Grande ( <b>Garlic mustard</b> )	A biennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in uplands. Grows in full sun to full shade. Spreads aggressively by seed, especially in wooded areas.	Y
<i>Berberis thunbergii</i> DC. ( <b>Japanese barberry</b> )	A shrub occurring in all regions of the state in open and wooded uplands and wetlands. Grows in full sun to full shade. Escaping from cultivation; spread by birds; forms dense stands.	Y
<i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> A.Gray ( <b>Carolina fanwort; fanwort</b> )	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in aquatic habitats. Common in the aquarium trade; chokes waterways.	N
<i>Celastrus orbiculatus</i> Thunb. ( <b>Oriental bittersweet; Asian or Asiatic bittersweet</b> )	A perennial vine occurring in all regions of the state in uplands. Grows in full sun to partial shade. Escaping from cultivation; berries spread by birds and humans; overwhelms and kills vegetation.	Y
<i>Cynanchum louiseae</i> Kartesz & Gandhi ( <b>Black swallow-wort, Louise's swallow-wort</b> )	A perennial vine occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to partial shade. Forms dense stands, out-competing native species: deadly to Monarch butterflies.	N
<i>Elaeagnus umbellata</i> Thunb. ( <b>Autumn olive</b> )	A shrub occurring in uplands in all regions of the state. Grows in full sun. Escaping from cultivation; berries spread by birds; aggressive in open areas; has the ability to change soil.	Y

**Table 3-38: Invasive Plants Occurring in Western Massachusetts**

Species (Common Name)	Notes on Occurrence and Impact	Observed in Shutesbury
<i>Euonymus alatus</i> (Thunb.) Sieb. ( <b>Winged euonymus; Burning bush</b> )	A shrub occurring in all regions of the state and capable of germinating prolifically in many different habitats. It grows in full sun to full shade. Escaping from cultivation and can form dense thickets and dominate the understory; seeds are dispersed by birds.	Y
<i>Frangula alnus</i> P. Mill. ( <b>European buckthorn; glossy buckthorn</b> )	Shrub or tree occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Produces fruit throughout the growing season; grows in multiple habitats; forms thickets.	Y
<i>Hesperis matronalis</i> L. ( <b>Dame's rocket</b> )	A biennial and perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in upland and wetland habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Spreads by seed; can form dense stands, particularly in flood plains.	Y
<i>Iris pseudacorus</i> L. ( <b>Yellow iris</b> )	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in wetland habitats, primarily in flood plains. Grows in full sun to partial shade. Out-competes native plant communities.	N
<i>Lonicera japonica</i> Thunb. ( <b>Japanese honeysuckle</b> )	A perennial vine occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Rapidly growing, dense stands climb and overwhelm native vegetation; produces many seeds that are bird dispersed; more common in southeastern Massachusetts.	N
<i>Lonicera morrowii</i> A.Gray ( <b>Morrow's honeysuckle</b> )	A shrub occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Part of a confusing hybrid complex of nonnative honeysuckles commonly planted and escaping from cultivation via bird dispersal.	Y
<i>Lonicera x bella</i> Zabel [ <i>morrowii</i> x <i>tatarica</i> ] ( <b>Bell's honeysuckle</b> )	This shrub occurs in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Part of a confusing hybrid complex of nonnative honeysuckles commonly planted and escaping from cultivation via bird dispersal.	Y
<i>Lysimachia nummularia</i> L. ( <b>Creeping jenny; moneywort</b> )	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in upland and wetland habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Escaping from cultivation; problematic in flood plains, forests and wetlands; forms dense mats.	Y
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i> L. ( <b>Purple loosestrife</b> )	A perennial herb or subshrub occurring in all regions of the state in upland and wetland habitats. Grows in full sun to partial shade. Escaping from	Y

**Table 3-38: Invasive Plants Occurring in Western Massachusetts**

Species (Common Name)	Notes on Occurrence and Impact	Observed in Shutesbury
	cultivation; overtakes wetlands; high seed production and longevity.	
<i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> Michx. (Variable water-milfoil; Two-leaved water-milfoil)	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in aquatic habitats. Chokes waterways, spread by humans and possibly birds.	N
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> L. (Eurasian or European water-milfoil; spike water-milfoil)	A perennial herb found in all regions of the state in aquatic habitats. Chokes waterways, spread by humans and possibly birds.	N
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> L. (Reed canary-grass)	This perennial grass occurs in all regions of the state in wetlands and open uplands. Grows in full sun to partial shade. Can form huge colonies and overwhelm wetlands; flourishes in disturbed areas; native and introduced strains; common in agricultural settings and in forage crops.	Y
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. subsp. australis (Common reed)	A perennial grass (USDA lists as subshrub, shrub) found in all regions of the state. Grows in upland and wetland habitats in full sun to full shade. Overwhelms wetlands forming huge, dense stands; flourishes in disturbed areas; native and introduced strains.	Y
<i>Fallopia japonica</i> var. <i>japonica</i> (Japanese knotweed; Japanese or Mexican Bamboo)	A perennial herbaceous subshrub or shrub occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland, and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade, but hardier in full sun. Spreads vegetatively and by seed; forms dense thickets.	Y
<i>Persicaria perfoliata</i> (Mile-a-minute vine or weed; Asiatic tearthumb)	This annual herbaceous vine is currently known to exist in several counties in MA, and has also has been found in RI and CT. Habitats include streamside, fields, and road edges in full sun to partial shade. Highly aggressive; bird and human dispersed.	N
<i>Potamogeton crispus</i> L. (Crisped pondweed; curly pondweed)	A perennial herb occurring in all regions of the state in aquatic habitats. Forms dense mats in the spring and persists vegetatively.	N
<i>Ficaria verna</i> ssp. <i>bulbilifer</i> (Lesser celandine; fig buttercup)	A perennial herb occurring on stream banks, and in lowland and uplands woods in all regions of the state. Grows in full sun to full shade. Propagates vegetatively and by seed; forms dense stands especially in riparian	N

**Table 3-38: Invasive Plants Occurring in Western Massachusetts**

Species (Common Name)	Notes on Occurrence and Impact	Observed in Shutesbury
	woodlands; an ephemeral that outcompetes native spring wildflowers.	
<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i> L. ( <b>Common buckthorn</b> )	A shrub or tree occurring in all regions of the state in upland and wetland habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Produces fruit in fall; grows in multiple habitats; forms dense thickets.	Y
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L. ( <b>Black locust</b> )	A tree that occurs in all regions of the state in upland habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. While the species is native to central portions of Eastern North America, it is not indigenous to Massachusetts. It has been planted throughout the state since the 1700's and is now widely naturalized. It behaves as an invasive species in areas with sandy soils.	Y
<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb. ( <b>Multiflora rose</b> )	A perennial vine or shrub occurring in all regions of the state in upland, wetland and coastal habitats. Grows in full sun to full shade. Forms impenetrable thorny thickets that can overwhelm other vegetation; bird dispersed.	Y
<i>Trapa natans</i> L. ( <b>Water-chestnut</b> )	An annual herb occurring in the western, central, and eastern regions of the state in aquatic habitats. Forms dense floating mats on water.	N

Source: Massachusetts Invasive Plant Advisory Group, <https://www.massnrc.org/mipag/invasive.htm>; Franklin County Flora Group, 2019; Bertin et al. 2020: <https://www.rhodora.org/specialpublications/pdf/Bertin-et-al-Vascular-Flora-Franklin-County-Massachusetts.pdf>

Although there are less clear-cut criteria for invasive fauna, there are a number of animals that have disrupted natural systems and inflicted economic damage on the Commonwealth, and may impact Shutesbury (Table 3-40). One invasive species, the Zebra mussel, was first documented in Massachusetts in Laurel Lake in Lee (Berkshire County, Housatonic River watershed) in 2009. Invasive fungi are also included in this table. Because of the rapidly evolving nature of the invasive species hazard, this list is not considered exhaustive.

<b>Table 3-39: Invasive Animal and Fungi Species in Massachusetts</b>	
<b>Species (Common Name)</b>	<b>Notes on Occurrence and Impact</b>
<i>Terrestrial Species</i>	
<p>Lymantria dispar dispar <b>Gypsy moth</b> (insect)</p>	<p>This species was imported to Massachusetts for silk production, but escaped captivity in the 1860s. It is now found throughout the Commonwealth and has spread to parts of the Midwest. This species is considered a serious defoliator of oaks and other forest and urban trees; however, serious defoliation events have mostly occurred south of Franklin County.</p>
<p>Ophiostoma ulmi, Ophiostoma himal-ulmi, Ophiostoma novo-ulmi <b>Dutch elm disease</b> (fungus)</p>	<p>In the 1930s, this disease arrived in Cleveland, Ohio, on infected elm logs imported from Europe. A more virulent strain arrived in the 1940s. The American elm originally ranged in all states east of Rockies, and elms were once the nation’s most popular urban street tree. However, the trees have now largely disappeared from both urban and forested landscapes. It is estimated that “Dutch” elm disease has killed more than 100 million trees.</p>
<p>Adelges tsugae <b>Hemlock woolly adelgid</b> (insect)</p>	<p>This species was introduced accidentally around 1924 and is now found from Maine to Georgia, including all of Massachusetts. It has caused up to 90% mortality in eastern hemlock species, which are important for shading trout streams and provide habitat for about 90 species of birds and mammals. It has been documented in about one-third of Massachusetts cities and towns and threatens the state’s extensive Eastern Hemlock groves.</p>
<p>Florinia externa <b>Elongate hemlock scale</b> (insect)</p>	<p>This species was first detected in New York City in 1908. Scales injure host plants by inserting piercing-sucking mouthparts into needles and withdrawing vital nutrients necessary for plant growth. Excessive loss of plant fluid reduces the growth and health of the plant.</p>
<p>Cryphonectria parasitica <b>Chestnut blight</b> (fungus)</p>	<p>This fungus was first detected in New York City in 1904. By 1926, the disease had devastated chestnuts from Maine to Alabama. Chestnuts once made up one-fourth to one-half of eastern U.S. forests, and the tree was prized for its durable wood and as a food for humans, livestock, and wildlife. Today, only stump sprouts from killed trees remain.</p>



**Table 3-39: Invasive Animal and Fungi Species in Massachusetts**

Species (Common Name)	Notes on Occurrence and Impact
Anoplophora glabripennis <b>Asian long-horned beetle</b> (insect)	This species was discovered in Worcester in 2008. The beetle rapidly infested trees in the area, resulting in the removal of nearly 30,000 infected or high-risk trees in just 3 years.
Cronartium ribicola <b>White pine blister rust</b> (fungus)	This fungus is an aggressive and non-native pathogen that was introduced into eastern North America in 1909. Both the pine and plants in the Ribes genus (gooseberries and currants) must be present in order for the disease to complete its life cycle. The rust threatens any pines within a quarter-mile radius from infected Ribes.
Agrilus planipennis <b>Emerald ash borer</b> (insect)	Beetle of Asian origin, first detected in 2002 in Michigan. The larval stage of EAB feed in the nutrient- and water-conducting tissues beneath the barks of ash trees, which can lead to the eventual girdling of the tree. Now present in most Massachusetts counties, EAB is likely to eliminated ashes as major forest trees from MA in the next few decades.
Neonectria spp. <b>Beech bark disease</b> (fungus)	Fungus spread by the non-native scale insect <i>Cryptococcus fagisuga</i> creates cankers on beech trees, which eventually result in girdling of the tree. The disease has been spreading in Massachusetts since 1929. Beeches are still abundant, but the number of large beeches is decreasing.
<i>Aquatic Species</i>	
Dreissena polymorpha <b>Zebra mussel</b> (mollusk)	The first documented occurrence of zebra mussels in a Massachusetts water body occurred in Laurel Lake in July 2009. Zebra mussels can significantly alter the ecology of a water body and attach themselves to boats hulls and propellers, dock pilings, water intake pipes and aquatic animals. They are voracious eaters that can filter up to a liter of water a day per individual. This consumption can deprive young fish of crucial nutrients.

Source: Chase et al., 1997; Pederson et al., 2005, CZM, 2013, 2014; Defenders of Wildlife; Gulf of Maine; EOEEA, 2013a, 2013b; as presented in the 2018 Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan; Bert et al., 2020.

**Probability of Future Events**

Because the presence of invasive species is ongoing rather than a series of discrete events, it is difficult to quantify the frequency of these occurrences. However, increased rates of global trade and travel have created many new pathways for the dispersion of exotic species. As a result, the frequency with which these threats have been introduced has increased significantly. Increased international trade in ornamental plants is particularly concerning because many of the invasive plants species in the U.S. were originally imported as ornamentals.

More generally, a warming climate may place stress on colder-weather species while allowing

non-native species accustomed to warmer climates to spread northward. The impacts of invasive species and climate change is discussed in more detail below. The probability of future occurrences of invasive species is Very High.

### **Impact**

The impacts of invasive species may interact with those of climate change, magnifying the negative impacts of both threats. Furthermore, due to the very traits that make them successful at establishing in new environments, invasives may be favored by climate change. These traits include tolerance to a broad range of environmental conditions, ability to disperse or travel long distances, ability to compete efficiently for resources, greater ability to respond to changes in the environment with changes in physical characteristics (phenotypic plasticity), high reproductive rates, and shorter times to maturity.

To become an invasive species, the species must first be transported to a new region, colonize and become established, and then spread across the new landscape. Climate change may impact each stage of this process. Globally, climate change may increase the introduction of invasive species by changing transport patterns (if new shipping routes open up), or by increasing the survival of invasives during transport. New ornamental species may be introduced to Massachusetts to take advantage of an expanded growing season as temperatures warm. Aquatic invasives may survive in ships' ballast waters with warmer temperatures. Extreme weather events or altered circulation patterns due to climate change could also allow the dispersal of invasive species to new regions via transportation of seeds, larvae, and small animals.

Species may shift their ranges north as the climate warms and be successful in regions they previously had not colonized. Invasives may also be able to spread more rapidly in response to climate change, given their high dispersal rates and fast generation times. These faster moving species may be at a competitive advantage if they can move into new areas before their native competitors.

Here in the Northeast, warming conditions may be particularly concerning for some invasives because species ranges in temperate regions are often limited by extreme cold temperatures or snowfall. There is concern that aquatic species, such as hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*) and water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), may be able to survive and overwinter in Massachusetts with increased temperatures and reduced snowfall. Nutria (*Myocastor coypus*), large, non-native, semi-aquatic rodents that are currently established in Maryland and Delaware, are likely to move north with warming temperatures - perhaps as far as Massachusetts.

Extreme winter temperatures are also critical limiting factors for many forest pests, and warming is expected to increase their survival and lead to expansions and outbreaks. For example, in Massachusetts, it's likely that winter temperatures have been limiting the impact of hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*), as many infested forest stands are surviving while in more southerly ranges there is near complete mortality from this pest. But the adelgid has already expanded its range with warming winter temperatures and is likely to have increased survival and higher reproductive rates in the northern portion of its range as temperatures warm, likely leading to more significant impacts on forests.

Invasive species are often able to thrive or take advantage of areas of high or fluctuating resource availability such as those found in disturbed environments. For example, for invasive plants, insect outbreaks or storms often free up space in the forest allowing light to penetrate and nutrients and moisture balances to change, allowing invasive plants to move in. Climate change is likely to create these types of opportunities through increased disturbances such as storms and floods, coastal erosion and sea level rise.

Invasives may also be better able to respond to changing environmental conditions that free up resources or create opportunities. For example, greater plasticity in response to their environment may allow some invasive plants to respond faster to increases in spring temperature than native plants. These invasives are able to leaf-out earlier in warmer years, taking up available space, nutrients, and sunlight, and achieving a competitive advantage against native species. Increased carbon dioxide in the atmosphere may also benefit some weedy plant species, allowing them to compete for other resources (like water) more effectively than their native counterparts.

Species roles may change as the climate changes, further complicating the management and policy response. As species ranges shift and existing inter-species relationships are broken, there is the potential that some species, including native species, may become pests because the interspecies interactions (e.g., predation, herbivory) that used to keep their population numbers in check are no longer functional.<sup>58</sup>

Once established, invasive species often escape notice for years or decades. Introduced species that initially escaped many decades ago are only now being recognized as invasives. Because these species can occur anywhere (on public or private property), new invasive species often escape notice until they are widespread and eradication is impractical. As a result, early and

---

<sup>58</sup> This section excerpted from the MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <http://climateactiontool.org/content/invasive-plants-and-animals>. Accessed March 5, 2019.

coordinated action between public and private landholders is critical to preventing widespread damage from an invasive species. Overall, the impact of invasive species in Shutesbury is Minor.

### **Vulnerability**

Because plant and animal life is so abundant in Shutesbury, the entire town is considered to be exposed to the invasive species hazard. Areas with high amounts of plant or animal life may be at higher risk of exposure to invasive species than less vegetated areas; however, invasive species can disrupt ecosystems of all kinds.

### **Society**

The majority of invasive species do not have direct impacts on human well-being; however, as described in the following subsections, there are some health impacts associated with invasive species.

#### Vulnerable Populations

Invasive species rarely result in direct impacts on humans, but sensitive people may be vulnerable to specific species that may be present in the state in the future. These include people with compromised immune systems, children under the age of 5, people over the age of 65, and pregnant women. Those who rely on natural systems for their livelihood or mental and emotional well-being are more likely to experience negative repercussions from the expansion of invasive species.

#### Health Impacts

Of particular concern to human health are species like the Asian tiger mosquito (“ATM”) (*Aedes albopictus*). This invasive mosquito, originally from southeast and subtropical Asia, arrived in Houston in 1985 via a container ship and quickly became established in warm-climate, Southern states. Since then, its range has recently expanded to Massachusetts, mainly due to an increase in minimum winter temperatures which allow its eggs to successfully survive until Spring. Capable of spreading diseases endemic to the Commonwealth, such as West Nile Virus and Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE), the ATM is also a known competent vector for tropical flaviviruses, including Zika virus, dengue, yellow fever, and chikungunya. Informal surveillance for the ATM by a nearby city found evidence of its presence and in 2019 it was detected in Brattleboro, VT - even further north than Shutesbury. This aggressive mosquito is likely range-limited by cold winter temperatures, suitable landscape conditions (it prefers urban areas and areas with standing water), and variation in moisture. As winter temperatures increase, the species is likely to become more prevalent in Massachusetts and throughout the Northeast,

increasing the risk of serious illness for residents in summer months.<sup>59</sup>

Additional invasive species have negative impacts on human health. The Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*) produces powerful allelochemicals that prevent the reproduction of other species and can cause allergic reactions in humans. Similarly, due to its voracious consumption, the zebra mussel accumulates aquatic toxins, such as polychlorinated biphenyls or polyaromatic hydrocarbons, in their tissues at a rapid rate. When other organisms consume these mussels, the toxins can accumulate, resulting in potential human health impacts if humans consume these animals.

Loss of urban tree canopy from invasive species and pests can lead to higher summertime temperatures and greater vulnerability to extreme temperatures. Health impacts from extreme heat exposure is discussed in the Extreme Temperature section.

### Economic Impacts

Economic impacts include the cost to control invasive species on public and private land. Individuals who are particularly vulnerable to the economic impacts of this hazard include all groups who depend on existing ecosystems in Shutesbury for their economic success. This includes all individuals working in forestry and agriculture-related fields, as well as those whose livelihoods depend on outdoor recreation activities such as hunting, hiking, or aquatic sports. Businesses catering to visitors who come to a town for outdoor recreation opportunities can also suffer from loss of business. Additionally, homeowners whose properties are adjacent to vegetated areas or waterbodies experiencing decline from an invasive species outbreak could experience decreases in property value.

### ***Infrastructure***

The entire town of Shutesbury is considered exposed to this hazard; however, the built environment is not expected to be impacted by invasive species to the degree that the natural environment is. Buildings are not likely to be directly impacted by invasive species. Amenities such as outdoor recreational areas that depend on biodiversity and ecosystem health may be impacted by invasive species. Facilities that rely on biodiversity or the health of surrounding ecosystems, such as outdoor recreation areas or agricultural/forestry operations, could be more vulnerable to impacts from invasive species.

### Agriculture

The agricultural sector is vulnerable to increased invasive species associated with increased

---

<sup>59</sup> MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <http://climateactiontool.org/content/invasive-plants-and-animals>. Accessed March 5, 2019.

temperatures. More pest pressure from insects, diseases, and weeds may harm crops and cause farms to increase pesticide use. In addition, floodwaters may spread invasive plants that are detrimental to crop yield and health. Agricultural and forestry operations that rely on the health of the ecosystem and specific species are likely to be vulnerable to invasive species.

### Public Health

An increase in species not typically found in Massachusetts could expose populations to vector-borne disease. A major outbreak could exceed the capacity of hospitals and medical providers to care for patients.

### Transportation

Water transportation may be subject to increased inspections, cleanings, and costs that result from the threat and spread of invasive species. Species such as zebra mussels can damage aquatic infrastructure and vessels.

### Water Infrastructure

Water storage facilities may be impacted by zebra mussels. Invasive species may lead to reduced water quality, which has implications for the drinking water supplies and the cost of treatment.

### **Environment**

Shutesbury is 88% forested, and is therefore vulnerable to invasive species impacts to forests. Invasive plants can out-compete native vegetation through rapid growth and prolific seed production. Increased amounts of invasive plants can reduce plant diversity by dominating forests. When invasive plants dominate a forest, they can inhibit the regeneration of native trees and plants. This reduced regeneration further reduces the forest's ability to regenerate in a timely and sufficient manner following a disturbance event. In addition, invasive plants have been shown to provide less valuable wildlife habitat and food sources.

As discussed previously, the movement of a number of invasive insects and diseases has increased with global trade. Many of these insects and diseases have been found in New England, including the hemlock woolly adelgid, the Asian long-horned beetle, and beech bark disease. These organisms have no natural predators or controls and are significantly affecting our forests by changing species composition as trees susceptible to these agents are selectively killed.

Invasive species interact with other forest stressors, such as climate change, increasing their negative impact. Examples include:

- A combination of an earlier growing season, more frequent gaps in the forest canopy from wind and ice storms, and carbon dioxide fertilization will likely favor invasive plants over our native trees and forest vegetation.
- Preferential browse of native plants by larger deer populations may favor invasive species and inhibit the ability of a forest to regenerate after wind and ice storms.
- Warming temperatures favor some invasive plants, insects, and diseases, whose populations have historically been kept in check by the cold climate.
- Periods of drought weaken trees and can make them more susceptible to insects and diseases.<sup>60</sup>

Aquatic invasive species pose a particular threat to water bodies. In addition to threatening native species, they can degrade water quality and wildlife habitat. Impacts of aquatic invasive species include:

- Reduced diversity of native plants and animals
- Impairment of recreational uses, such as swimming, boating, and fishing
- Degradation of water quality
- Degradation of wildlife habitat
- Increased threats to public health and safety
- Diminished property values
- Local and complete extinction of rare and endangered species

### Vulnerability Summary

Based on the above assessment, Shutesbury has an overall Low vulnerability to invasive species. The following problem statements summarize areas of greatest concern regarding invasive species.

Invasive Species Problem Statements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The changing climate has resulted in fewer days below freezing, a trend that will progress over the next century. Fewer days below freezing and deep frosts occurring later in the season are some of the contributing factors that has resulted in a climate more suitable for invasive insects and other pests that can carry non-native diseases.</li> <li>• Education and outreach is needed to increase local awareness around invasive species and equip residents with appropriate control measures.</li> <li>• The hemlock wooly adelgid and hemlock elongate scale have caused extensive damage to hemlock trees throughout Shutesbury. Damaged trees may increase the</li> </ul>

<sup>60</sup> Catanzaro, Paul, Anthony D’Amato, and Emily Silver Huff. *Increasing Forest Resiliency for an Uncertain Future*. University of Massachusetts Amherst, University of Vermont, USDA Forest Service. 2016

Town's vulnerability to wildfires. Also see wildfire problem statements.

- Oriental bittersweet vine is damaging trees in a number of places in town.



## 3.14 OTHER HAZARDS

In addition to the hazards identified above, the Team reviewed the full list of hazards listed in the Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. Due to the location and context of the Town of Shutesbury, coastal erosion, coastal flooding, and tsunamis were determined not to be a threat. Human made hazards are not addressed in the State plan, but were addressed in the 2015 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan, and are considered a risk to the Town.

This plan does not address all human made hazards that could affect Shutesbury. A complete hazards vulnerability analysis was not within the scope of this update. For the purposes of the 2021 plan, the Team discussed non-natural hazards that are of an accidental nature, including industrial transportation accidents and industrial accidents in a fixed facility. New to the 2021 plan is an evaluation of cyber-security, which has become a threat of greater concern in recent years.

### 3.14.1 VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES<sup>61</sup>

#### **Hazard Profile**

The Town of Shutesbury chose to include a discussion of the hazards posed by vector-borne disease in their community as part of this Plan update. Vector-borne disease is defined by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) as illnesses in humans that are caused by contact (being bitten by) a vector such as mosquito, tick, or flea. Examples of mosquito-borne diseases include Chikungunya, Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE), Zika and West Nile Virus. Examples of tick-borne disease include Lyme disease, Anaplasmosis/Ehrlichiosis, Babesiosis and Powassan.

In the US in 2016, a total of 96,075 cases of vector-borne diseases were reported, 1,827 of which were reported in Massachusetts. The CDC indicates that cases of vector-borne diseases are substantially underreported. Tick-borne illnesses more than doubled between 2004 and 2016 and accounted for 77% of all vector-borne disease reports in the United States. Lyme disease accounted for 82% of all tick-borne cases, but cases of Spotted fever rickettsioses, Babesiosis and Anaplasmosis/Ehrlichiosis also increased. Between 2004 and 2016, nine vector-borne human diseases were reported for the first time from the United States and its

---

<sup>61</sup> This section relies heavily on a template prepared by the Berkshire Regional Planning Commission (BRPC) for towns in their region that are working to update local hazard mitigation plans. Shutesbury requested that this section be added to their 2021 Hazard Mitigation Plan. FRCOG updated available statistics for Massachusetts using information from MA DPH's website and for Franklin County (FRCOG Public Health Nurse and MAVEN).

territories. According to the CDC, vector-borne diseases have been difficult to prevent and control, and a Food and Drug Administration approved vaccine is only available for yellow fever virus. Insecticide resistance is widespread and is increasing.

The impacts of vector-borne diseases can be significant in a community and can affect residents' quality of life and ability to work. Other impacts of these diseases can include an increase in life-long morbidity and an increase in mortality.

#### **Probability of Occurrence**

According to the CDC, the geographic and seasonal distribution of vector populations and the diseases they can carry depends not only on the climate, but also on land use, socioeconomic and cultural factors, pest control, access to health care, and human responses to disease risk. Climate variability can result in vector/pathogen adaptation and shifts or expansions in their geographic ranges. Infectious disease transmission is sensitive to local, small-scale differences in weather, human modification of the landscape, the diversity of animal hosts and human behavior that affects vector/human contact.

Franklin County provides many and varied outdoor recreation opportunities for both residents and visitors, including hiking, swimming, mountain biking, and camping. Increased exposure to the outdoors, particularly to areas with heavy tree and forest cover, and areas with tall grass or standing water, significantly increase a person's exposure to vector-borne illnesses. Increases in average year-round temperature during the past few decades has also led to the over-wintering of ticks in Franklin County and across the Commonwealth. A lengthening warm season has also increased tick and mosquito populations significantly.

#### **Location**

The entire town of Shutesbury is likely already impacted by vector-borne disease and is likely to be increasingly impacted. Exposure to any outdoor area with tall grasses, standing water, and trees increases risk. Residents and visitors can be exposed at home and in more commercial areas, although exposure in commercial areas is generally less likely.

## Extent

### *Tick-borne Illness*<sup>62</sup>


Massachusetts has seen cases of once non-existent or very rare tick-borne illnesses rise, including Anaplasmosis, Babesiosis, Lyme, Powassan, Spotted fever rickettsiosis and Tularemia. Tick activity and tick-borne diseases occur year-round in Massachusetts. Although tick activity is weather dependent, there are two peaks during the year; the first begins in March/April and lasts through August, and the second occurs in October-November. The majority of cases of tick-borne disease occur in June through August.

The map on the following page shows the rate, per 10,000 total population, of ED visits by patients who had a visit related to a tick exposure, by Massachusetts county of residence, 2019 to date. Although there are differences in the rate of patient visits, this shows that people are exposed to ticks throughout all of Massachusetts and should take recommended steps to reduce the chance of being bitten.<sup>63</sup>



<sup>62</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/lists/tick-borne-disease-surveillance-summaries-and-data#monthly-tick-report-page> accessed March 19, 2020.

<sup>63</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/info-details/monthly-tick-report-november-2019> accessed March 19, 2020.



The following information was downloaded from the website of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.<sup>64</sup>

### Babesiosis


- 590 confirmed and probable cases of Babesiosis were reported in Massachusetts in 2017, a 13% increase from 2016. Overall, 1,677 suspect cases of Babesiosis were investigated.
- **2 confirmed cases in Franklin County.**
- Statewide, Babesiosis incidence increased from 7.9 to 9.0 cases per 100,000 residents. The incidence in Berkshire, Dukes, Hampden, Hampshire, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk, and Worcester counties increased slightly. Counties with the highest incidence continued to be Barnstable, Dukes, and Nantucket.

<sup>64</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/lists/tick-borne-disease-surveillance-summaries-and-data#lyme-disease-surveillance-data> accessed March 19, 2020.

- The majority of cases occurred in June, July and August, with only 35% of cases reporting awareness of a recent tick bite.

- People aged 60 years and older continue to be at greatest risk for clinical disease (59% of all patients identified with Babesiosis were 60 years or older) and 66% of all cases were male.

- 1,209 confirmed and probable cases of HGA were reported in Massachusetts in 2017, a 38% increase over 2016. Overall 2,473 suspect cases of HGA were investigated.



### Human Granulocytic Anaplasmosis (HGA)

- Statewide, HGA incidence increased from 13.3 to 18.4 cases per 100,000 residents. The counties with the highest incidence are Barnstable, Berkshire, Dukes, **Franklin**, Nantucket and Plymouth. Berkshire County had the greatest change in incidence, from 66.3 to 133.4 cases per 100,000 residents.
- **27 confirmed cases in Franklin County.**
- The majority of cases occurred in May, June, and July, with only 45% of cases reporting awareness of a recent tick bite.
- People aged 60 years and over continue to be at greatest risk for clinical disease (56% of patients identified with HGA were 60 or over) and 64% of all cases were male.
- Nearly one out of three patients with HGA (29%) was hospitalized. The symptoms most commonly reported included fever (93%), malaise (72%), and muscle aches and pain (63%). There were three fatalities.

### Lyme disease


- 3,830 confirmed Lyme disease cases, and 1,770 probable cases, were reported in Massachusetts in

2014, which is a decrease of 1% from the number of confirmed and probable cases reported in 2013


- **50 confirmed cases in Franklin County in 2014.**
- The highest incidence rates were among children aged 5-9 years and adults aged 65-74 years.
- The majority of cases had onsets in June, July, and August.
- 66% of confirmed cases had a reported erythema migrans (“bulls-eye”) rash.

The Franklin Regional Council of Governments’ Cooperative Public Health Services (CPHS) Public Health Nurse supplied the following information for reported cases of vector-borne illnesses in 2019:<sup>65</sup>

- In 2019, 92 suspect Lyme
- In 2018, 76 suspect Lyme
- In 2017, 86 suspect Lyme
- Babesiosis 1 (5 were reported but 4 were revoked-determined not to be Babesiosis)
- HGA Human Granulocytic Anaplasmosis (37 total reported, 11 confirmed, 14 suspect, 1 probable and 10 revoked)
- Erlichiosis 1 (6 reported: 1 probable, 5 revoked)
- No other tick-borne illnesses reported in 2019.



<sup>65</sup> Note: It is never clear if these trends actually represent an increase in infection/illness as small sample, underreporting is assumed, reporting of cases determined by clinical judgement. Virtually all of the reports that reach MAVEN are due to a laboratory result.



**Mosquito-borne Illnesses<sup>66</sup>**

West Nile Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE or “Triple E”) are viruses that occur in Massachusetts and can cause illness ranging from a mild fever to more serious disease like encephalitis or meningitis. There are other diseases spread by mosquitoes that people may be exposed to when traveling in other regions of the world. These include Zika virus, Dengue fever, and Chikungunya.

Eastern equine encephalitis (EEE) is a rare but serious disease caused by a virus that can affect people of all ages. EEE is generally spread to humans through the bite of a mosquito infected with the virus. EEE can cause severe illness and possibly lead to death in any age group; however, people under age 15 are at particular risk.<sup>67</sup>

EEE has a 30-50% mortality and lifelong neurological disability among many survivors. The first symptoms of EEE are fever (often 103° to 106°F), stiff neck, headache, and lack of energy. These symptoms show up three to ten days after a bite from an infected mosquito. Inflammation and swelling of the brain, called encephalitis, is the most dangerous and frequent serious complication. The disease rapidly worsens and some patients may go into a coma within a week. There is no treatment for EEE. In Massachusetts, approximately half of the people


<sup>66</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/mosquito-borne-diseases> accessed March 20, 2020.

<sup>67</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/guides/eee-in-massachusetts> accessed March 20, 2020

identified with EEE have died from the infection. People who survive this disease will often be permanently disabled due to neurologic damage. Few people recover completely.

Historically, clusters of human cases have occurred over a period of two to three years, with a variable number of years between clusters. In the years between these case clusters or outbreaks, isolated cases can and do occur. Outbreaks of human EEE disease in Massachusetts occurred in 1938-39, 1955-56, 1972-74, 1982-84, 1990-92, and, 2004-06. Two cases of EEE occurred in each of 2010 and 2011; one case each of these years occurred in visitors to Massachusetts. Seven human cases of EEE occurred in 2012, a single case in 2013 and no cases from 2014 - 2018.


The narrative above and the following figures are from the MA Department of Public Health's 2019 Arbovirus Surveillance and Response Plan.<sup>68</sup>




<sup>68</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/lists/arbovirus-surveillance-plan-and-historical-data> accessed March 20, 2020. Narrative copied from p. 1 of the report. Figures from pp. 24-26.



### Human WNV Cases in Massachusetts 2001-2018



### Number of West Nile virus and Eastern Equine Encephalitis Cases by Month of Disease Onset 2001-2018



West Nile virus (WNV) first appeared in the United States in 1999. Since the initial outbreak in New York City, the virus has spread across the US from east to west. Following the identification of WNV in birds and mosquitoes in Massachusetts during the summer of 2000, MDPH arranged meetings between local, state, and federal officials, academicians, environmentalists and the

public to develop recommendations to adapt the arbovirus surveillance and response plan to include activities appropriate for WNV. Four workgroups addressed the issues of surveillance, risk reduction interventions, pesticide toxicity, and communication.

WNV infection may be asymptomatic in some people, but it leads to morbidity and mortality in others. WNV causes sporadic disease of humans, and occasionally significant outbreaks. Nationally, 2,554 human cases of WNV neuroinvasive disease (meningitis and encephalitis) and WNV fever were reported to the CDC in 2018. The majority of people who are infected with WNV (approximately 80%) will have no symptoms. A smaller proportion of people who become infected (~ 20%) will have symptoms such as fever, headache, body aches, nausea, vomiting, and sometimes swollen lymph glands. They may also develop a skin rash on the chest, stomach, and back. Less than 1% of people infected with WNV will develop severe illness, such as encephalitis or meningitis. The symptoms of severe illness can include high fever, headache, neck stiffness, stupor, disorientation, coma, tremors, convulsions, muscle weakness, vision loss, numbness, and paralysis. Persons older than 50 years of age have a higher risk of developing severe illness. In Massachusetts, there were at least 12 fatal WNV human cases identified between 2002 and 2018. All but three of these fatalities were in individuals 80 years of age or older; all of them were in individuals over 60.<sup>69</sup>

The number of EEE and WNV cases in Massachusetts in 2019 is shown below.<sup>70</sup>

	Mosquito samples positive	Animals positive	Humans positive
WNV	87	0	3
EEE	428	9	12

LAST UPDATED: November 14, 2019

### **Vulnerability**

#### **Society**

Vector-borne illness has a significant impact on humans and on a community. These illnesses

<sup>69</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/lists/arbovirus-surveillance-plan-and-historical-data> accessed March 20, 2020. pp.3-4.

<sup>70</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/info-details/massachusetts-arbovirus-update> accessed March 20, 2020.

can significantly impact the health, long-term morbidity and mortality, and quality of life of Town residents and can reduce a person's ability to work or contribute to the community in other ways. In addition, pesticides and herbicides used to control vector populations can also negatively impact human health.

### ***Infrastructure***

Vector-borne illnesses pose little threat to infrastructure and the built environment. Overtime, changes in development patterns may occur as people respond to the increase in disease carrying insects.

### ***Natural Environment***

Increases in vector-borne illnesses can increase the likelihood that a community needs to use chemical pesticides and herbicides to control vector populations. The increased use of these products and chemicals can negatively impact the natural environment, including vegetation, rivers and streams, and animal populations. Reducing populations of ticks and mosquitoes can reduce the food source for other dependent animal populations. Additionally, diseases carried by insects can affect wildlife. There is also the risk of people reacting to the threat of disease by altering the environment to not support vector habitat, which can severely damage the long-term health of ecosystems.

### ***Economy***

The economy is susceptible to the indirect impacts of vector-borne illnesses. If a community decides to engage in a pest-control program or another program to reduce vector populations, this can significantly affect their operating budget. Incorporation of any program to reduce vector populations in a community will likely cause tax increases within the municipality. Long-term, the more individuals in a population affected by vector-borne disease that results in life-long morbidity or mortality will reduce the overall economic participation and output of the population in a municipality. This can also be impacts on the outdoor recreation economy, which is a major revenue driver for Franklin County. People today choose to or may be advised by public health officials to avoid outdoor activities for fear of tick and mosquito bites.

### ***Future Conditions***

Continued changes to the climate, extreme precipitation events, issues with the control of stormwater, changes to animal and vector populations, and increases in insecticide resistance will lead to an ongoing and growing threat to individuals, governments and businesses. Local governments will need to invest in methods to reduce or prevent exposure to vector-borne diseases and should strongly consider methods that do not include the increased use of insecticides and herbicides. This may include methods such as promoting populations of bats,

opossums and other animals that consume vectors of concern, increasing opportunities for residents to get ticks tested, reducing the cost and burden of tick testing and increasing the level of education and outreach to the public and health care practitioners about current and new vector-borne illnesses so treatment can be expedited. Towns should implement educational programs for residents and visitors for bite-prevention and detection.

#### Vector-borne Disease Hazard Problem Statements

- Climate change will increase the number of disease carrying vectors (ticks and mosquitoes) and increase demands on our public health system for symptom management and care for infected people.
- More and consistent outreach and education is needed to increase prevention and diagnosis of vector-borne diseases. The Town of Shutesbury Board of Health and the Massachusetts DPH website contains many good education and outreach materials as does the Franklin Regional Council of Governments' Cooperative Public Health Service program.
- Residents may not be familiar with how to deal with or prevent vector-borne diseases spread by insects drawn by warmer temperatures.
- Vector-borne disease can have a significant negative impact on public health and the local and regional outdoor recreation economy.
- Residents may not know the implications of being in the state insect spraying program of the Pioneer Valley Mosquito control district.

### **3.14.2 HUMAN MADE HAZARDS**

#### **Hazard Description**

Most non-natural or human made hazards fall into two general categories: intentional acts and accidental events, although these categories can overlap. Some of the hazards included in these two categories, as defined by MEMA, consist of intentional acts such as explosive devices, biological and radiological agents, arson and cyberterrorism and accidental events such as nuclear hazards, invasive species, infrastructure failure, industrial and transportation accidents. Accidental events can arise from human activities such as the manufacture, transportation, storage, and use of hazardous materials.

Hazardous materials in various forms can cause death, serious injury, long-lasting health effects, and damage to buildings, homes, and other property. Many products are shipped daily on the nation's highways, railroads, waterways, and pipelines. Chemical manufacturers are one source of hazardous materials, but there are many others, including service stations, hospitals, and hazardous materials waste sites. Hazardous materials come in the form of explosives, flammable and combustible substances, poisons, and radioactive materials. These substances are most often released as a result of transportation accidents or because of chemical accidents in plants.

#### **Location and Extent**

##### ***Industrial Accidents – Transportation***

Franklin County transportation systems include road, rail, and air. Accessible and efficient freight transportation plays a vital function in the economy of the region. Most freight and goods being transported to and from Franklin County are by truck; however, a significant amount of freight that moves through the county is being hauled over the three main rail lines. Given that any freight shipped via air needs first to be trucked to an airport outside the region, air transportation is not being evaluated in this plan.

The major trucking corridors in Franklin County are Interstate 91, running north/south, and Route 2, running east/west. These two highways also represent the busiest travel corridors in the region for non-commercial traffic. Safe and efficient transportation routes for trucks to and through the region are important to the region's economy and to the safety of its citizens. The safer the transportation routes are, the less likely a transportation accident will occur. According to the Franklin County Hazardous Material Emergency Plan,<sup>71</sup> approximately 13

---

<sup>71</sup> Franklin County Regional Emergency Planning Committee, Franklin County Hazardous Material Emergency Plan and Maps, 2006. Based on a one-time survey conducted in 2003.

trucks per hour traveling through the region contain hazardous materials. Most of these vehicles are on Interstate 91 and Route 2, neither of which do not pass through Shutesbury. An average of 1 truck per hour carrying hazardous materials travels on Route 202, but no residences are located on Route 202 in Shutesbury. In addition, the HMEP notes that all roads in the county likely have vehicles carrying hazardous materials at varying intervals. Participants in hazard mitigation plan update meetings noted that since the advent of GPS, a higher number of tractor trailers (a couple per day) travel through Shutesbury via Leverett, Cooleyville, and Prescott Roads.

The greatest concern with a potential hazardous spill is the impact of a spill on Route 202 on the water quality of the Quabbin Reservoir. All Towns bordering the Quabbin Reservoir have been equipped and trained by the Department of Conservation and Recreation to react to a large-scale spill along Route 202. The Town is also expected to notify DCR of any type of spill over 5 gallons.

Hazardous materials regularly carried on trucks that may pass through Shutesbury include:

- Gasoline
- Fuel oil
- Kerosene
- Liquefied petroleum gas
- Propane
- Sodium aluminate
- Sulfuric acid
- NOS liquids 3082

Safe and efficient transportation routes for trucks to and through the region are important to the region's economy and to the safety of its citizens. The safer the transportation routes are, the less likely a transportation accident will occur.

Franklin County has two primary rail lines that carry hazardous materials to and through the county, neither of which passes through Shutesbury. The hazardous chemicals carried by rail through the county in 2013 were:

- |                       |                     |                       |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| • Petroleum crude     | • Phenol molten     | • Methyl methacrylate |
| • Liquefied petroleum | • Hydrochloric acid | • Alkylphenols        |
| • Petroleum gases     | • Acetone           | • Batteries, wet      |
| • Sodium chlorate     | • Methanol          | • Adhesives           |
| • Sodium hydroxide    | • Air bag inflation | • Caustic alkali      |
| • Carbon dioxide      | • chemicals         | • Helium, compressed  |

- Fire extinguisher
- chemicals
- Sulfuric acid
- Paint
- Gasoline
- Toluene
- Hydrogen peroxide

**Industrial Accidents – Fixed Facilities**

An accidental hazardous material release can occur wherever hazardous materials are manufactured, stored, transported, or used. Such releases can affect nearby populations and contaminate critical or sensitive environmental areas. Those facilities using, manufacturing, or storing toxic chemicals are required to report their locations and the quantities of the chemicals stored on-site to state and local governments.

The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) tracks the management of over 650 toxic chemicals that pose a threat to human health and the environment. U.S. facilities in different industry sectors that manufacture, process, or otherwise use these chemicals in amounts above established levels must report how each chemical is managed through recycling, energy recovery, treatment, and environmental releases. *Note: a “release” of a chemical means that it is emitted to the air or water, or placed in some type of land disposal.* The information submitted by facilities to the EPA and states is compiled annually as the Toxics Release Inventory or TRI, and is stored in a publicly accessible database. TRI information helps support informed decision-making by industry, government, non-governmental organizations and the public. Note that TRI does not provide any safety or health information about these chemicals and compounds. TRI data, in conjunction with other information, can be used as a starting point in evaluating exposures that may result from industrial activities which involve toxic chemicals.<sup>72</sup>

It is important to note that inclusion on the TRI in no way indicates any issues with any of the sites, but rather is an inventory of those facilities meeting TRI reporting requirements. There are no facilities in Shutesbury listed in the TRI database.<sup>73</sup> Table 3-41 lists the four hazardous facilities in Shutesbury as identified in the Town’s 2021 CEMP and by the Hazard Mitigation Planning Team.

<b>Table 3-40: Massachusetts Toxics Users in Shutesbury</b>		
<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>Facility Location</b>	<b>Facility Type</b>
National Grid Substation	491 Pratt Corner Road	Facility
Department of Public Works	59 Leverett Road	Facility, fuel transfer station
William W. Clark Excavating	22 Pratt Corner Road	Facility, fuel transfer station
Wheelock Solar	130 Pratt Corner Road	Battery storage

<sup>72</sup> <https://www.epa.gov/enviro/tri-overview>

<sup>73</sup> <https://www.epa.gov/enviro/tri-search>

Hazardous facilities located outside of town boundaries can also be of concern to Shutesbury. The Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant is located on the Connecticut River in Vernon, Vermont, near the Vermont/Massachusetts border. In January 2010, the facility notified the Vermont Department of Health that samples taken in November 2009 from a ground water monitoring well on site contained tritium. This finding signals an unintended release of radioactive material into the environment. Testing has shown that contaminated groundwater has leaked into the Connecticut River, though tritium levels in the river have remained below the lower limit of detection.<sup>74</sup>

On August 27, 2013, Entergy, then-owner of Vermont Yankee, announced that Vermont Yankee would cease operations at the end of 2014 for economic reasons. Vermont Yankee officially disconnected from the grid on December 29, 2014. The reactor was manually shut down without incident. Transfer of all Vermont Yankee spent fuel from the reactor to the spent fuel pool was completed on January 12, 2015. The transfer of all Vermont Yankee spent fuel to dry cask storage was completed on August 1, 2018. On December 6, 2018, the Vermont Public Utilities Commission (PUC) approved Entergy's sale of Vermont Yankee to subsidiaries of NorthStar Group Services, Inc., as a means of completing the decommissioning and site restoration on an accelerated schedule.<sup>75</sup>

The Yankee Atomic Electric Company (YAEC) stores spent nuclear fuel from the former Yankee Rowe nuclear facility, which operated for over three decades as a power generating facility until 1992. The plant was disassembled and officially decommissioned in 2007. However, spent fuel from the plant's operation is still stored on site adjacent to the Sherman Reservoir on the Deerfield River. The fuel is stored in Nuclear Regulatory Commission – approved dry canisters and casks made of steel and concrete, which are placed on a concrete pad on the site. The stored fuel is monitored 24 hours a day. The fuel storage site is within the inundation zone for the Harriman Dam, which is located approximately 6.5 miles upstream from the site. According to the YAEC's website, the type of container that the fuel is stored in has been tested to withstand submersion under 50 feet of water for 8 hours, among other safety tests.<sup>76</sup>

More recently, the 2011 tsunami and earthquake in Japan that damaged a nuclear power plant demonstrates the potential vulnerability of these facilities to natural disasters, and the

---

<sup>74</sup> Vermont Department of Health. [http://healthvermont.gov/enviro/rad/vt\\_yankee.aspx](http://healthvermont.gov/enviro/rad/vt_yankee.aspx)

<sup>75</sup> Vermont Department of Public Service: [https://publicservice.vermont.gov/content/nuclear\\_decommissioning\\_citizens\\_advisory\\_panel\\_ndcap/history](https://publicservice.vermont.gov/content/nuclear_decommissioning_citizens_advisory_panel_ndcap/history). Accessed July 6, 2019.

<sup>76</sup> Yankee Atomic Electric Company. [http://www.yankeeatomic.com/fuel\\_transportation.html](http://www.yankeeatomic.com/fuel_transportation.html).



geographic extent that could be impacted by an accident. While Vermont Yankee is no longer in operation, the storage of spent fuel at the site still presents a potential risk. The northern part of Shutesbury falls within 20 miles of the site. Shutesbury officials should stay abreast of proper evacuation procedures in the event of an accident at the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant.

### ***Cyber Threats***

A failure of networked computer systems could result in the interruption or disruption of Town services (including public safety and other critical services), the disruption or interruption of the functioning of Town departments, and the potential for loss or theft of important data (including financial information of the Town and residents).

There are many possible causes of a network failure, but most either happen because of damage to the physical network/computer system infrastructure or damage to the network in cyberspace. Physical damages are incidents that damage physical telecommunications infrastructure or server/computer hardware. Examples are a water main break above a server room, fire/lighting strike that destroys equipment, construction accident damaging buried fiber line, or power outage and other issues effecting the Internet Service Provider (ISP).

Damage to the cyber infrastructure can be malicious attacks or critical software errors that affect computer systems, from individual computers to the entire network. These virtual hazards can cause lack of access to the network, permanent data loss, permanent damage to computer hardware, and impact the ability to access programs or systems on the network. When incidents are malicious attacks, they can impact:

- Confidentiality: protecting a user's private information.
- Integrity: ensuring that data is protected and cannot be altered by unauthorized parties.
- Availability: keeping services running and giving administration access to key networks and controls.
- Damage: irreversible damage to the computer or network operating system or "bricking" and physical, real world damages, caused by tampering with networked safety systems.
- Confidence: confidence of stakeholders in the organization who was victim of the attack.

Motives for cyber-attacks can vary tremendously, ranging from the pursuit of financial gain—the primary motivation for what is commonly referred to as "cyber-crimes" is for profit, retribution, or vandalism. Other motivations include political or social aims. Hacktivism is the act of hacking, or breaking into a computer system, for a political or social purpose. Cyber

espionage is the act of obtaining secrets without permission of the holder of the information, using methods on the Internet, networks, or individual computers.<sup>77</sup> These threats are not only external; many acts of cyber-crime happened from current or former employees who were given network access legitimately.

For Shutesbury, the most likely cyber-threat affecting the Town and Town departments comes from malware and social engineering. These crimes prey on the vulnerable and unprepared and every individual and organization that connects a device to the internet is a potential mark.

### Social Engineering

Social engineering involves obtaining confidential information from individuals through deceptive means by mail, email, over the phone, and increasingly through text messages.<sup>78</sup> These techniques are referred to as 'Phishing'.

### Malware

Malware, or malicious software, is any program or file that is harmful to a computer user. Types of malware can include computer viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and spyware. These malicious programs can perform a variety of different functions such as stealing, encrypting or deleting sensitive data, altering or hijacking core computing functions and monitoring users' computer activity without their permission. The most common way for malware to infect a Town's network is through an employee opening an infected email attachment.

### **Previous Occurrences**

Over the past few years a type of malware called ransomware has been targeted at local governments. Cyber-criminals will use social-engineering to infect a network, take control and block user access to that network, then request a ransom from the organization. Once the ransomware is on the network, it can be extremely expensive and time consuming to restore that network without paying the ransom. When the cost of the ransom is less than the cost of resorting the system, is when the cyber-criminals succeed.

In 2012, the Shutesbury Town Accountant and Treasurers' computer system was attacked by ransomware and the Town lost a lot of data, much of which had to be later re-entered by hand. Since that incident, the Town has invested in systems protection software called GData and purchased cyber insurance. The Town routinely backs their systems up to external drives but does not currently back up their computers to the cloud.

---

<sup>77</sup> NYC Hazard Mitigation, Cyber Threats, <https://nychazardmitigation.com/hazard-specific/cyber-threats/what-is-the-hazard/>

<sup>78</sup> Cybersecurity Precautions, MA Executive Office of Technology Services & Security, 2017

In July 2019, school districts all across the United States were targeted by ransomware. Since 2013, there have been some 170 attacks against state and local governments and there is no sign that this trend is slowing. Unlike other hazards, cyber-threats are global. Cyber-criminals don't care where you are or how small your town is. Many cyber-crimes are not just lone criminals, they are more often than not committed by sophisticate criminal organizations and foreign governments who work around the clock looking to exploit small towns and big businesses alike.

The best way to prevent a cyber-attack is to follow best practices in cyber-security. Following these best practices will greatly mitigate the likelihood a cyber-attack is successful. MA Executive Office of Technology Services and Security (EOTSS)<sup>79</sup> is the chief MA State program that can assist local governments with cyber-security. There are educational opportunities available throughout the region that aim to assist municipalities learn and implement these best practices.

#### Human-made Hazard Problem Statements

- Keeping up with current best practices and software in cyber security can be challenging for communities.
- Shutesbury is vulnerable to a spill of hazardous materials and/or hazardous waste at one of several facilities in town that use and store hazardous materials. These facilities and/or hazardous materials storage may be in the 100-year floodplain.
- A spill of hazardous materials and/or hazardous waste being transported on Route 202 could compromise water quality in the Quabbin Reservoir.
- Since traveling with GPS has become more commonplace, there is an increased volume of large trucks and tractor trailers traveling between Route 202 and points west of Shutesbury along Leveret, Cooleyville, and Prescott Roads.

---

<sup>79</sup> <https://www.mass.gov/cybersecurity>

## 4 MITIGATION CAPABILITIES & STRATEGIES

### 4.1 NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS FOR HAZARD MITIGATION & CLIMATE RESILIENCY

Nature-Based Solutions are actions that work with and enhance nature to help people adapt to socio-environmental challenges. They may include the conservation and restoration of natural systems, such as wetlands, forests, floodplains and rivers, to improve resiliency. NBS can be used across a watershed, a town, or on a particular site. NBS use natural systems, mimic natural processes, or work in tandem with engineering to address natural hazards like flooding, erosion and drought.

The 2018 Massachusetts Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan and the MVP program both place great emphasis on NBS, and multiple state and federal agencies fund projects that utilize NBS. For this plan, Low Impact Development (LID) and Green Infrastructure (GI) are included under the blanket term of NBS. Following are examples of how NBS can mitigate natural hazards and climate stressors, and protect natural resources and residents:

- Restoring and reconnecting streams to floodplains stores flood water, slows it down and reduces infrastructure damage downstream
- Designing culverts and bridges to accommodate fish and wildlife passage also makes those structures more resilient to flooding, allowing for larger volumes of water and debris to safely pass through
- Managing stormwater with small-scale infiltration techniques like rain gardens and vegetated swales recharges drinking water supplies, reduces stormwater runoff, and reduces mosquito habitat and incidents of vector-borne illness by eliminating standing pools of water following heavy rain events
- Planting trees in developed areas absorbs carbon dioxide, slows and infiltrates stormwater, and provides shade, reducing summertime heat, lowering energy costs for village residents and improving air quality by reducing smog and particulate matter
- Vegetated riparian buffers absorb and filter pollutants before they reach water sources, and reduce erosion and water velocity during high flow events

This update of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan incorporates Nature-Based Solutions into

mitigation strategies where feasible.

## 4.2 EXISTING AUTHORITIES POLICIES, PROGRAMS, & RESOURCES

One of the steps of this Hazard Mitigation Plan update process is to evaluate all of the Town's existing policies and practices related to natural hazards and identify potential gaps in protection.

Shutesbury has most of the no cost or low cost hazard mitigation capabilities in place. Land use zoning, subdivision regulations and an array of specific policies and regulations that include hazard mitigation best practices, such as limitations on development in floodplains, stormwater management, tree maintenance, etc. Shutesbury has appropriate staff dedicated to hazard mitigation-related work for a community its size, including a Town Administrator, Emergency Management Team, and a professionally run Highway Department. Shutesbury is a member of the Franklin County Inspection Service, which provides Building, Plumbing, and Electrical permitting and inspections in town. In addition to Town staff, Shutesbury has an experienced Planning Board which reviews all proposed developments and assures that buildings are built to the current zoning requirements.

Shutesbury has some recommended plans in place, including a recently updated Open Space and Recreation Plan (2015), a Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness plan (2020), a Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) (2021), an OSRP update underway (expected 2022). The Town also conducts capital improvements The Town also has very committed and dedicated volunteers who serve on boards and committees and in volunteer positions. The Town collaborates closely with surrounding communities and is party to mutual aid agreements for police, fire, and emergency through MEMA and other organizations. Shutesbury is party to the Statewide Mutual Aid, DPW Mutual Aid, Tri State Fire Mutual Aid, WEMLEC, and local mutual aid agreements with neighboring communities, and participates in the Franklin County Regional Emergency Planning Committee (REPC).

### **Shutesbury's Strengths and Assets**

#### ***All Hazards***

Participants at the May 2020 MVP Community Resilience Building workshop expressed pride that people who have lived in Shutesbury for a long time are accustomed to weathering storms, "sheltering in place," and helping out neighbors. Many families in town know each other and know the first responders and Town staff who help run the Town. That said, many Shutesbury residents have only been in town a few years and are less accustomed to weather storm

events.

Between the 2020 MVP workshop and the 2021 hazard mitigation plan update process, residents identified numerous strengths and assets in the face of hazards:

- A **strong social network and safety net**; the **Village Neighbors and MedRide programs** provide a wide variety of services for seniors.
- The community has **dispersed septic and water infrastructure**: each household in Shutesbury (with the exception of the Sirius Community) has its own septic and water system, which helps to prevent widespread outages of necessary infrastructure.
- The Town has multiple layers of **protection from cyberattacks**.
- The Town's zoning bylaws **protect open space and encourage green infrastructure**.
- The Town is **updating its Open Space and Recreation Plan** this year.
- There are **thousands of acres of forest and open space** across Town.
- The Town is in the planning stages of a **new public library facility that will have a backup generator** for charging electronics and using the internet during emergencies; the facility will likely also be able to act as a cooling center.
- The Town has **four water monitoring wells** to track water quantity.
- The Town runs a **Septic Betterment loan program** for when septic failure occurs.
- **The Town runs a Farmer's Market** to provide local produce and supports local farmers.
- The Town and National Grid conduct **aggressive tree trimming and removal** to protect power lines.
- The Town has set up **temporary cooling centers** for residents in the past.
- Shutesbury runs a **Town announcement program** to inform residents on important events and Town news and recently installed **community-owned broadband internet** that will help facilitate access to emergency information
- Antivirus software and cyber-attack insurance help **protect the Town from cyber attacks**.
- The Town participates in the **Pioneer Valley Mosquito Control District**.

Many of the social network and infrastructure assets are multi-dimensional. These include Shutesbury's informal community leaders, neighborhood groups and communication networks. Participants said that there is a strong sense of community in Shutesbury, and neighbors are always willing to help each other out during emergencies. For example, the Village Neighbors program—which originated in Shutesbury and has been copied in other towns across the Connecticut River Valley—provides transportation, food delivery, computer help, small tasks at home, and a variety of other services for adults 60 and over. The Council on Aging also sponsors a MedRide program—a free transportation service for seniors who are unable to drive

themselves to medical and dental appointments, and community potluck lunches (both on hold during the Covid pandemic).

The Town uses the CodeRED notification system to communicate emergency and critical messages to inform residents of any precautions they need to take. CodeRED is not functioning as well as hoped due to patchy cell phone service across town; however, Shutesbury may be getting a cell phone tower in the near future that would improve this service. Shutesbury also recently installed community-owned high-speed fiber internet, so residents with high-speed internet (80 percent have the new high-speed internet) will get official Town messages as long as they have power.

Shutesbury has an active Emergency Management Team, a vital resource for the Town during hazards. The Team, composed of members of various Town boards, works as an emergency management department would: planning for emergencies, keeping emergency management equipment supplied, providing public trainings, and responding efficiently to emergencies. The 12-member team continues to address the weaknesses in the Town's response capacity exposed by hazard events over the years, including a Lake Wyola dam emergency threat and the 2008 ice storm. Typically, the Team meets once a month.

The Town's records are not currently all digitized, but the Records Storage Committee is researching ways to digitize records and will present alternatives to the Town and public for their consideration. A new mini split in the Town hall is helping to regulate the building's temperature to improve archive storage conditions, and the Records Storage Committee studied the humidity levels in the building and has made a number of recommendations for storage facility improvement. The Town departments is in the practice of backing up their information onto hard drives and is shopping for a cloud-based back-up service now that there is high-speed internet. A ransomware cyberattack on the Town accountant and treasurer's files in the early 2010s prompted an increase in security measures across Shutesbury Town offices. The Town now uses antivirus software on all of its computers and has cyber insurance.

### **Overview of Mitigation Strategies by Hazard**

An overview of the general concepts underlying mitigation strategies for each of the hazards identified in this plan is as follows:

#### ***Flooding***

The key factors in flooding are the water capacity of water bodies and waterways, the regulation of waterways by flood control structures, and the preservation of flood storage areas (like floodplains) and wetlands. As more land is developed, more flood storage is demanded of

the town's water bodies and waterways. FEMA has identified no flood control structures within the Town of Shutesbury. Floods on the Connecticut River and portions of its major tributaries that are prone to backwater effects are controlled by nine flood control reservoirs located upstream in Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont.

The Town of Shutesbury has adopted several land use regulations that serve to limit or regulate development in floodplains, to manage stormwater runoff, and to protect groundwater and wetland resources, the latter of which often provide important flood storage capacity. These regulations are summarized in Table 4-1.

Infrastructure like dams and culverts are also in place to manage the flow of water. However, some of this infrastructure is aging and in need of replacement, or is undersized and incapable of handling heavier flows our region is experiencing due to climate change. The Town has prioritized replacements of majorly risky culverts over the past few years, including a culvert replacement on Locks Pond Road which is now in process; however, the Town has not conducted a town-wide culvert evaluation to prioritize upgrades further upgrades.

### ***Severe Snowstorms / Ice Storms***

Winter storms can be especially challenging for emergency management personnel even though the duration and amount of expected amount of snowfall is usually forecasted. The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) serves as the primary coordinating entity in the statewide management of all types of winter storms and monitors the National Weather Service (NWS) alerting systems during periods when winter storms are expected.

To the extent that some of the damages from a winter storm can be caused by flooding, flood protection mitigation measures also assist with severe snowstorms and ice storms. The Town has adopted the State Building Code, which ensures minimum snow load requirements for roofs on new buildings. There are no restrictions on development that are directly related to severe winter storms, however, there are some Subdivision Rules and Regulations that could pertain to severe winter storms, summarized in Table 4-1.

Severe snowstorms or ice storms can often result in a small or widespread loss of electrical service. Should a natural hazard cause a power outage, Shutesbury residents would be vulnerable to losing domestic heat and water supplies reliant on electricity.

### ***Hurricanes and Tropical Storms***

Hurricanes provide the most lead warning time of all identified hazards, because of the relative ease in predicting the storm's track and potential landfall. MEMA assumes "standby status"



when a hurricane’s location is 35 degrees North Latitude (Cape Hatteras) and “alert status” when the storm reaches 40 degrees North Latitude (Long Island). Even with significant warning, hurricanes cause significant damage – both due to flooding and severe wind.

The flooding associated with hurricanes can be a major source of damage to buildings, infrastructure and a potential threat to human lives. Flood protection measures can thus also be considered hurricane mitigation measures. The high winds that often accompany hurricanes can also damage buildings and infrastructure, similar to tornadoes and other strong wind events. For new or recently built structures, the primary protection against wind-related damage is construction according to the State Building Code, which addresses designing buildings to withstand high winds. The Town of Shutesbury is a member of the Franklin County Cooperative Building Inspection Program, which provides building inspection services.

### ***Severe Thunderstorms / Winds / Microbursts and Tornadoes***

Most damage from tornadoes and severe thunderstorms come from high winds that can fell trees and electrical wires, generate hurtling debris and, possibly, hail. According to the Institute for Business and Home Safety, the wind speeds in most tornadoes are at or below design speeds that are used in current building codes, making strict adherence to building codes a primary mitigation strategy. In addition, current land development regulations, such as restrictions on the height and setbacks of telecommunications towers, can also help prevent wind damages.

### ***Wildfires / Brushfires***

Over eighty-eight (88) percent of Shutesbury is forested; a large portion of the town is therefore at risk of fire. Wildfire and brushfire mitigation strategies involve educating people about how to prevent fires from starting, controlling burns within the town, as well as managing forests for fire prevention. The Shutesbury Fire Department upgrades equipment as needed, and recently purchased a military service vehicle to use as a brush-tanker/truck in the event of a wildfire.

The Shutesbury Fire Department conducts educational programs to educate residents on fire safety, including fire drills in the school, and fire extinguisher and fire science classes for all community members. The Shutesbury Fire Department has worked with the Amherst Fire Department’s SAFE Program in the school district for over a decade; the continuation of that partnership is unknown at the time after the Covid pandemic interrupted the 2020-2021 school-year program.

Burn permits for the Town of Shutesbury are issued from the Shelburne Control Center of the

Massachusetts State Police either online or over the phone. During this process, the applicant is read the State Law, which includes guidelines for when and where the burn may be conducted as well as fire safety tips provided by the control center. Specific burn permit guidelines are established by the state, such as the burning season and the time when a burn may begin on a given day.

There are currently no restrictions on development based on the need to mitigate wildfires. However, the Shutesbury Fire Department reviews subdivision plans to ensure that their trucks will have adequate access and that the water supply is adequate for firefighting purposes.

### ***Earthquakes***

Although there are five mapped seismological faults in Massachusetts, there is no discernible pattern of previous earthquakes along these faults nor is there a reliable way to predict future earthquakes along these faults or in any other areas of the state. Consequently, earthquakes are arguably the most difficult natural hazard for which to plan. Most buildings and structures in the state were constructed without specific earthquake resistant design features. In addition, earthquakes precipitate several potential devastating secondary effects such as building collapse, utility pipeline rupture, water contamination, and extended power outages. Therefore, many of the mitigation efforts for other natural hazards identified in this plan may be applicable during the Town's recovery from an earthquake.

### ***Dam Failure***

Dam failure is a highly infrequent occurrence, but a severe incident could prove catastrophic. In addition, dam failure most often coincides with flooding, so its impacts can be multiplied, as the additional water has nowhere to flow. The only mitigation measures currently in place are the state regulations governing the construction, inspection, and maintenance of dams. This is managed through the Office of Dam Safety at the Department of Conservation and Recreation. Owners of dams are responsible for hiring a qualified engineer to inspect their dams and report the results to the DCR. Owners of High Hazard Potential dams and certain Significant Hazard Potential dams are also required to prepare, maintain, and update Emergency Action Plans. Potential problems may arise if the ownership of a dam is unknown or contested. Additionally, the cost of hiring an engineer to inspect a dam or to prepare an Emergency Action Plan may be prohibitive for some owners.

The current Shutesbury CEMP identifies the Lake Wyola Dam, the Atkins Reservoir Dam, and the Dudleyville Pond Dam as high hazard dams in Shutesbury. The Ames Pond Upper Dam and the Baker Reservoir Dam are classified as low hazard dams in town. Neither high-hazard dam in Shutesbury would have significant impact on Shutesbury residences. In the event of

catastrophic failure of an upstream dam such as the Lake Wyola Dam, there is potential for damage to some portions of the town along North Leverett Road in Leverett.

### ***Drought***

The Northeast is generally considered to be a moist region with ample rain and snow, but droughts are not uncommon. Widespread drought has occurred across the region as recently as 2016, and before that in the early 2000s, 1980s, and mid-1960s. More frequent and severe droughts are expected as climate change continues to increase temperatures, raise evaporation rates, and dry out soils - even in spite of more precipitation and heavier rainfall events.<sup>80</sup>

There are five small public water supply wells servicing community institutions and the Sirius Community. There are no public surface water supply areas. Businesses and residents throughout the town rely on individual wells for drinking water. Regular monitoring of test wells by the Town's Water Resource Committee has not identified any decrease in water quantity along the ridge of Shutesbury, even though some residents' wells have run dry during dry summers and have had to be re-drilled.

Forest landowners in town can be encouraged to conserve and manage their forests for climate resiliency. Strategies for promoting a resilient forest include increasing the diversity of tree species and age of trees in a forest, and promoting trees not currently threatened by pests or diseases that will thrive in a warming climate.<sup>81</sup>

### ***Extreme Temperatures***

A primary mitigation measure for extreme temperatures is establishing and publicizing warming or cooling centers in anticipation of extreme temperature events. Getting the word out to vulnerable populations, especially the homeless and elderly, and providing transportation is particularly important but can be challenging. The Shutesbury Elementary School acts as an emergency shelter, though there is concern about how use as a shelter would impact the school children. The Town library acts as an unofficial cooling shelter and may be expanded in the near future.

Planting and maintaining shade trees in villages and developed areas of towns can help mitigate extreme heat in these areas. Roofs and paving absorb and hold heat from the sun, making developed areas hotter during the summer than surrounding forested areas. Trees that shade these surfaces can significantly lower the temperature in a neighborhood, making it easier to be

---

<sup>80</sup> MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <https://climateactiontool.org/content/drought>. Accessed March 8, 2019.

<sup>81</sup> Catanzaro, Paul, Anthony D'Amato, and Emily Silver Huff. *Increasing Forest Resiliency for an Uncertain Future*. University of Massachusetts Amherst, University of Vermont, USDA Forest Service. 2016

outside and reducing cooling costs for homeowners.

### ***Invasive Species***

The spread of invasive species is a serious concern as species ranges shift with a changing climate. People can also be a carrier of invasive plant species. Installing boot brushes at hiking entrances can help slow the spread of invasive species by removing seeds being carried in soil on hiking boots. Landowners can learn the top unwanted plants and look for them when out on their land, and can be encouraged to work with neighbors to control invasive exotic plants.

Before implementing any forest management, landowners should be sure to inventory for invasive exotic species. They will need to be controlled before harvesting trees and allowing sunlight into the forest, which will trigger their growth and spread. Also, timber harvesters could be required to power wash their machines before entering the woods. Financial assistance may be available to landowners through the USDA NRCS Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) to address invasive species.<sup>82</sup>

The working forests in Shutesbury (such as the properties owned by W.D. Cowl, Inc. are managed to limit the impacts of invasives. For example, hemlocks damaged by hemlock pests are routinely cleared from their forests in order to mitigate the effects of a wildfire, if one were to break out.

### ***All Hazards***

The Town of Shutesbury has identified the Town Hall, elementary school, and library to serve residents in the event of a storm, hazard event, or emergency. The Town Hall acts as a warming or cooling center for up to 10 people. The library, also equipped with back-up power, would act as a cooling shelter and the elementary school for use as a warming shelter if the Town Hall reached capacity. The school is equipped with backup power and food supplies would be adequate for several days of sheltering during the school year. However, it is difficult to have the shelter running in the Elementary School building when classes resume after an emergency or hazard event. If the Elementary School cannot be used as a shelter, then the Town would revert to the county regional sheltering plan.

The regional sheltering location alternates between Turner Falls High School and Greenfield Middle School, which take turns providing emergency shelter during events that impact the broader region. Greenfield Middle School has backup power and a limited inventory of emergency supplies. A regional sheltering plan that identifies regional shelter sites was

---

<sup>82</sup> MassWildlife Climate Action Tool: <https://climateactiontool.org/content/maintain-or-restore-soil-quality-limit-recreational-impacts>. Accessed March 8, 2019.

completed for Franklin County with funds from the Western Region Homeland Security Advisory Council (WRHSAC). The Franklin County REPC is now working on operationalizing the plan by creating Shelter Management Teams and cost sharing agreements between towns.

Evacuation routes are shown on the Shutesbury Critical Facilities and Infrastructure Map by their level of importance as regional evacuation routes. According to the 2021 CEMP, Shutesbury Elementary School and Lake Wyola State Park function as Evacuation Assembly Points (EAPs)—locations where evacuees who do not have their own transportation should assemble. Route 202 is a primary evacuation route. Wendell/Locks Pond Road, Leverett Road, and Pelham Hill Road serve as tertiary evacuation routes. Route 202 is a relatively well-traveled road in this area, and accidents on this road would complicate access to needed resources.

A regional disaster debris management plan was created for Franklin County in 2015. The Franklin County REPC is currently working to verify the sites identified in the plan and complete agreements between municipalities for use of the regional sites. Towns may need to identify a site within their own borders if regional agreements cannot be made. Progress has been made and the effort will be ongoing to plan for managing windstorm and microburst response activities.

### Existing Mitigation Capabilities

The Town of Shutesbury had numerous policies, plans, practices, programs and regulations in place, prior to the creation of this plan, that were serving to mitigate the impact of natural hazards in the town. These various initiatives are summarized and assessed in Table 4-1 on the following pages.

<b>Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities</b>				
<b>Strategy</b>	<b>Capability Type</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Hazard</b>	<b>Effectiveness/ Improvements</b>
<b>State Building Code</b>	State Regulation	The Town of Shutesbury has adopted the Massachusetts State Building Code.	All-Hazards	Effective.
<b>Burning Permits</b>	State Regulation	Residents are required to obtain burn permits over the phone and online. Public outreach about safe burning practices also available online.	Wildfire	Effective. The Town should post information about this process on Facebook.
<b>Dam Inspections</b>	State Regulation	DCR inspection schedule is based on the hazard rating of the dam; owners are responsible for inspections. FERC high & significant hazard dams are inspected annually. The Lake Wyola Dam is the only Town-owned dam in Shutesbury ...	Dam Failure	Not very effective. The DCR does not have adequate staff and resources to inspect dams.  DCR needs more resources to enforce inspection schedules/  Identify sources of funding for dam safety inspections. Incorporate dam safety into development review process.
<b>Permits required for new dam construction</b>	State Regulation	State law requires a permit for the construction of any dam.	Dam failure	Effective. Ensures dams are adequately designed and monitored.

**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
<b>Earth Removal</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Requires a Special Permit and a performance bond for removal of more than 50 cubic yards of sand, gravel, stone, topsoil, loam, or similar materials within any twelve-month period. Following removal all excavated areas are to be restored to meet drainage, slope, and vegetative cover requirements.	Flooding Erosion Landslides	Somewhat effective for controlling surface runoff and erosion problems.
<b>Erosion Control</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Site plan review and special permitting requires that the site design, materials, and construction processes be designed to avoid flooding, increased runoff, erosion, sedimentation, changes in groundwater levels, and surface water and groundwater pollution.  Regulates slope and vegetative cover.	Flooding Erosion Landslides	Somewhat effective for ensuring that permitted projects do not increase flooding potential.
<b>Flood Hazard District</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Requires that the following be kept to a minimum: cut and fill, number of large trees removed, area of wetland vegetation displaced, increase of storm water flow from the site and soil erosion.  Purpose includes to preserve natural flood control characteristics and flood storage capacity of the flood plain prevent public emergencies and reduce cost of damage.	Flooding	Somewhat effective for minimizing impacts of development on flood levels within flood plain and minimizing impacts of development to surface waters in town. Adopting the 2020 state model floodplain bylaw will improve this mitigation measure.
<b>Zoning regulations for Tele-communications Facilities</b>	Zoning Bylaws	No facility shall exceed 150 feet in height as measured from the mean finished grade at facility base.	Wind Storms Severe Winter Storms	Somewhat effective.

**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
		No tower exclusive of any attachments shall be erected nearer to any property line than a distance equal to 125% of its height.		
<b>Site Plan Review</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Requires that plans show storm drainage.	Flooding Erosion Landslides	Somewhat effective for controlling surface runoff and erosion problems.
<b>Special Permits</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Prior to issuing of a Special Permit, the Planning Board or Zoning Board will consider potential impacts on the natural environment and on neighborhood character and social structures.	Flooding	Effective.
<b>Emergency Vehicle Access</b>	Zoning Bylaws	Requires that development is accessible and serviceable by fire, police, and other emergency vehicles	All Hazards	Effective.
<b>Fire Safety</b>	Subdivision Regulations	Requires provision of water supply for firefighting.	Wildfire	Effective.
<b>Submission and Contents of Definitive Plan of Subdivision</b>	Subdivision Rules and Regulations	Requires that plans be prepared by a registered engineer or registered land surveyor.  Plans must include water courses, one hundred year flood plains, wetlands, ponds, marshes, rock outcrop, ground water conditions, depth to groundwater, storm drainage system with rim elevations together with surface elevations of all waterways within subdivision, etc.	Flooding Erosion Landslides	Effective.
<b>Review of Definitive Plan</b>	Subdivision Rules and Regulations	Requires the Planning Board to solicit comments from the Fire Department and other Town Officials	Flooding Erosion Landslides Wildfires	Effective.
<b>Completion of Subdivisions</b>	Subdivision Rules and	Requires submission by applicant of “as built” plan with location and inverts of required utilities and	Flooding Erosion	Effective.



**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
	Regulations	drainage.	Severe Winter Storms	
<b>Development Impact Statements</b>		Applications must provide detailed assessments of the probable impacts of proposed project on circulations systems, support systems, natural conditions, design factors, and the environmental impact.	All hazards	Somewhat effective, merits review.
<b>Wetland and storm drainage planning</b>	Subdivision Rules and Regulations	<p>No activity of any kind subject to regulation under the MA Wetland Protection Act or the Town’s General Wetlands Protection Bylaw may be carried out unless approved in accordance with that Act and the bylaw.</p> <p>Storm sewer standards include consideration of upstream and downstream effects and peak stream flows and runoff.</p> <p>Groundwater drainage required during construction where unforeseen groundwater conditions are encountered.</p>	Flooding	Somewhat effective for mitigating or preventing localized flooding of roads and other infrastructure.
<b>Design Standards for Roads</b>	Subdivision Regulations	Standards include street grade regulations (five to eight percent maximum).	Severe Winter Storms Flooding	Somewhat effective.
<b>Trees &amp; Plantings</b>	Subdivision Regulations	Requires preservation of trees on site, tree plantings along new road, and ground cover plantings on all erosion-prone cut or fill bankings.	Flooding Erosion	Somewhat effective.
<b>Utilities (electric and telephone)</b>	Subdivision Regulations	Requires the undergrounding of all utilities and communication lines in new subdivisions and requires flood proofing where in the floodplain.	Severe Winter Storms Wind	Work with utility companies to underground existing utility lines in locations where repetitive outages occur.

**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
			Storms	<p>Encourage planting of small tree species around new utilities along with improved maintenance and removal of mature trees along existing utility lines.</p> <p>Plan an adequate budget for tree maintenance to reduce the potential for loss of service.</p>
<p><b>Town of Shutesbury Open Space and Recreation Plan</b></p>	Plan	<p>Inventories natural features and promotes natural resource preservation in the town, including areas in the floodplain such as wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, farms and forests, rivers, streams, and brooks.</p>	Flooding	<p>Effective in identifying sensitive resource areas, including floodplains. The 2015 plan is being updated in 2021.</p> <p>Continue to implement the Seven-Year Action Plan strategies, particularly those dealing with protection of forests, farmland and floodplain forests.</p>
<p><b>Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan</b></p>	Plan	<p>The MVP makes Shutesbury eligible to apply for funding for projects that can increase community resilience.</p>	All Hazards	<p>Effective. MVP action plan has been incorporated into this plan's Action Plan.</p>
<p><b>Debris Management Plan</b></p>	Plan	<p>Participation in the REPC with the Regional Debris Management Plan.</p>	All Hazards	<p>Somewhat effective. The town is more than capable of clearing considerable amounts of wood/natural debris from roadways using town resources and maintains an existing relationship</p>

**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
				with a debris removal company.
<b>Evacuation Plans</b>	Plan	Comprehensive evacuation plans ensure the safety of the citizens in the event of dam failure. A plan is in place for the Lake Wyola and N. Leverett corridor. Evacuation routes have been identified for the Town.	Dam Failure	Effective.
<b>Sheltering Plan</b>	Plan	The Shutesbury Elementary School is the shelter identified for victims of hurricanes. The school has a back-up generator.	Multiple Hazards	Partially effective.
<b>Capital Needs Assessment Report</b>	Plan	The Collins Center for Public Management prepared a Capital Needs Assessment Report, which provided Shutesbury with a completed evaluation of roads, bridges, and buildings in Town. The report also details expected lifespans of these structures and other capital assets.	Multiple Hazards	Effective. The Town is using the findings of the report to prioritize repairs and upgrades to culverts and bridges.
<b>Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP)</b>	Plan	COOP is an effort to ensure that Primary Mission-Essential Functions of a town continue to be performed during a wide range of emergencies, including localized acts of nature, accidents, and other disasters that interrupt normal town operations. This may be included in your towns Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan or be a standalone plan.	All Hazards	Considered effective if the critical functions for town are capable of maintaining sustained operations for 30 days after being disrupted by a disaster. Examples include fires in town offices, power outages, and flood damage. Part of the effectiveness is how town documents and records are stored/backed up to in the event that the originals are damaged or destroyed by a disaster.
<b>Water Supply</b>	Practice	Regular test well monitoring for water supply.	Drought	Effective.

**Table 4-1: Existing Mitigation Capabilities**

Strategy	Capability Type	Description	Hazard	Effectiveness/ Improvements
<b>Town Fire Roads</b>	Practice	Adequate and well-maintained fire roads provide access to town forests for firefighting purposes.	Wildfire	Effective. However, there are some unmaintained logging and recreational roads/trails in forest lands, which will require the Town to work with land owners.
<b>Public Education and Outreach</b>	Program	The Fire Department has an ongoing educational program in the schools and for the broader community.	Wildfire	Somewhat effective. The Covid-19 pandemic disrupted programming in 2020/2021
<b>Participation in the National Flood Insurance Program</b>	Program	As of 2018, there were two (2) homeowners with flood insurance policies.	Flooding	Somewhat effective.
<b>Mass Communication System (CodeRED)</b>	Program	Allows for town to send emergency alerts to residents. Program considered effective if contact list is maintained, if the system is used regularly, and multiple town officials have access and training for use of this system.	All Hazards	Somewhat effective.  Poor cell service results in not all residents receiving emergency alerts in a timely manner. Potential new cell tower may improve this.

### 4.3 HAZARD MITIGATION GOAL STATEMENTS AND ACTION PLAN

As part of the multi-hazard mitigation planning process undertaken by the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Planning Team, existing gaps in protection and possible deficiencies were identified and discussed. The Team then developed general goal statements and mitigation action items that, when implemented, will help to reduce risks and future damages from multiple hazards. The goal statements, action items, Town department(s) responsible for implementation, and the proposed timeframe for implementation for each category of hazard are described below. It is important to note that the Town of Shutesbury has limited capabilities and resources (especially staffing) to be able to expand and improve upon existing policies and programs when the town identifies a need for improvement.

#### Hazard Mitigation Goals

Based on the findings of the Risk Assessment, public outreach, and a review of previous town plans and reports, the Town of Shutesbury has developed the following goals to serve as a framework for mitigating the hazards identified in this plan:

- To provide adequate shelter, water, food and basic first aid to displaced residents in the event of a natural disaster.
- To provide adequate notification and information regarding evacuation procedures, etc., to residents in the event of a natural disaster.
- To minimize the loss of life, damage to property, and the disruption of governmental services and general business activities due to natural hazards.

#### Prioritization of Hazards

The Team examined the results of the Risk Assessment (see Section 3) and used the results to prioritize the identified hazards. The Team evaluated the natural hazards that can impact the town based on probability of occurrence, severity of impacts, area of occurrence and preparedness. Those hazards assigned the highest priority are the six priority hazards identified in the MVP Plan, as shown in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2: Shutesbury Hazard Priority Level Rating		
Natural Hazard	Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating	Priority Level
<b>Flooding</b>	2 - Medium	HIGH
<b>Severe Snow Storms/ Ice Storms</b>	2 - Medium	HIGH
<b>Hurricanes / Tropical Storms</b>	2 – Medium	LOW
<b>Severe Thunderstorms / Wind / Microbursts</b>	1 - High	HIGH

Table 4-2: Shutesbury Hazard Priority Level Rating		
Natural Hazard	Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating	Priority Level
Tornadoes	2 - Medium	LOW
Wildfires	2 - Medium	HIGH
Earthquakes	2 – Medium	MEDIUM
Dam Failure (manmade dams and beaver dams)	2 - Medium	MEDIUM
Drought	1 - High	HIGH
Landslides	3 - Low	LOW
Extreme Temperatures	2 - Medium	HIGH
Invasive Species	3 - Low	LOW

### Prioritization of Action Items

The Hazard Mitigation Team identified several strategies that are currently being pursued, and other strategies that will require additional resources to implement. Strategies are based on previous experience, as well as the hazard identification and risk assessment in this plan.

### Prioritization Methodology

The Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Planning Team reviewed and prioritized a list of mitigation strategies using the following criteria:

- **Application to high priority or multiple hazards** – Strategies are given a higher priority if they assist in the mitigation of hazards identified as high priorities (Table 4-2) or apply to several natural hazards.
- **Time required for completion** – Projects that are faster to implement, either due to the nature of the permitting process or other regulatory procedures, or because of the time it takes to secure funding, are given higher priority.
- **Estimated benefit** – Strategies which would provide the highest degree of reduction in loss of property and life are given a higher priority. This estimate is based on the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment Chapter, particularly with regard to how much of each hazard’s impact would be mitigated.

- **Cost effectiveness** – In order to maximize the effect of mitigation efforts using limited funds, priority is given to low-cost strategies. For example, regular tree maintenance is a relatively low-cost operational strategy that can significantly reduce the length of time of power outages during a winter storm. Strategies that have identified potential funding streams, such as the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, are also given higher priority.

The following categories are used to define the priority of each mitigation strategy:

- **Low** – Strategies that would not have a significant benefit to property or people, address only one or two hazards, or would require funding and time resources that are impractical.
- **Medium** – Strategies that would have some benefit to people and property and are somewhat cost effective at reducing damage to property and people.
- **High** – Strategies that provide mitigation of high priority hazards or multiple hazards and have a large benefit that warrants their cost and time to complete.
- **Very High** – extremely beneficial projects that will greatly contribute to mitigation of high priority and multiple hazards and the protection of people and property. These projects are also given a numeric ranking within the category.

### ***Cost Estimates***

Each of the following implementation strategies is provided with a cost estimate. Projects that already have secured funding are noted as such. Where precise financial estimates are not currently available, categories were used with the following assigned dollar ranges:

- **Low** – cost less than \$25,000
- **Medium** – cost between \$25,000 – \$100,000
- **High** – cost over \$100,000

Cost estimates take into account the following resources:

- Town staff time for grant application and administration (at a rate of \$25 per hour)
- Consultant design and construction cost (based on estimates for projects obtained from town and general knowledge of previous work in town)

- Town staff time for construction, maintenance, and operation activities (at a rate of \$25 per hour)

### ***Project Timeline***

The timeframe for implementation of the action items are listed in the Action Plan as Year 0-1, which is the first year following plan adoption, and subsequent years after plan adoption through the 5-year life of the plan (Year 2, Year 3, Year 4 and Year 5). The Team recognized that many mitigation action items have a timeframe that is ongoing due to either funding constraints that delay complete implementation and/or the action item should be implemented each of the five years of the plan, if possible. Therefore, a category of Year 0-1, to be reviewed annually and implemented in subsequent years (Years 2-5), as appropriate was added.

Even when the political will exists to implement the Action Items, the fact remains that Shutesbury is a small town that relies heavily on a small number of paid staff, many of whom have multiple responsibilities, and a dedicated group of volunteers who serve on town boards. However, some Action Items, when implemented by Town staff and volunteers, result in a large benefit to the community for a relatively small cost.

For larger construction projects, the town has limited funds to hire consultants and engineers to assist them with implementation. For these projects, the Town may seek assistance through the Franklin Regional Council of Governments (FRCOG). However, the availability of FRCOG staff can be constrained by the availability of grant funding.

The 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan is shown in Table 4-3. Potential funding sources for mitigation action items are listed when known. Other potential funding sources are listed in Table 5-1 of this plan. When Town funds are listed as a source to fund hazard mitigation projects or activities, either in part (match) or in full, these funds would be obtained from the Town's "general fund".



Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Replace the Locks Pond Road culvert with one that would be capable of conveying the design spillway flood of the Lake Wyola Dam to increase resilience of the dam and reduce the risk of flooding during heavy precipitation events.	Hurricanes/Tropical Storms Flooding Dam Failure	Select Board, Highway Department, Conservation Commission	High	Town \$750,000, Small Bridge grant \$500,000	2021	S, I, E	2015 High 2021 High	In process.  Bids are in June 9, 2021; construction summer 2021
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Conduct a field inventory of culverts and bridges to rank and prioritize projects for increased flooding resiliency and storm-hardening, followed by design and implementation of priority re-sizing or replacement projects. Include the following areas of concern identified in the 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan: Baker Road culvert, multiple culverts on Wendell Road. Green infrastructure, Low-Impact Design, and other nature-based solutions will be integrated with hard-infrastructure improvements to establish approaches that will be robust in the face of natural hazards and climate-change scenarios.	Flooding Dam Failure	Select Board, Highway Department, Conservation Commission	Medium-High	MVP, 3C Transportation funding from FRCOG	Year 1	S, I, E	2015 Medium 2021 High	New Action Item
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Engage an engineer to modify the design of the trash rack at the sluice gate at Lake Wyola so that it does not continue to get blocked with debris and increase the potential for flooding or failure.	Dam Failure	EMD, Select Board, Highway Department, Conservation Commission, Dam Keeper	Medium	Town, MEMA/FEMA, Transportation bonds, MassDOT Chapter 90 Program, MassWorks Infrastructure Program	Year 2	S, I, E	2015 High 2021 High	Regular maintenance is done but is not a sustainable solution. Residential leaf blowing contributes to clogged rack.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Coordinate with the Town of Amherst on inspections for the Atkins Reservoir dyke and dam. Consider climate change impacts, such as increased intensity and frequency of heavy precipitation events, when assessing the dam.	Flooding Dam Failure	Emergency Management Team	Low-Medium	Town, MVP	Year 1	S, I, E	2021 High	New Action Item

Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Education & Awareness	<b>Explore options for enhanced and effective emergency communication with residents.</b> Utilize the Town's recently installed broadband internet, and increase transparency and awareness in Town. Make communications with residents more interactive. Pursue 100% enrollment in the Town announcement program (approximately ½ [400] households are not enrolled). Assess communications alternatives that are not reliant on electricity the way that phone (VOIP) and internet systems in the community currently are.	Multiple Hazards	Emergency Management Team	Low	Town	Year 1	S	<b>2021 High</b>	The town is currently working on this
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Update the Town's Master Plan</b> to address a full range of zoning-related climate change mitigation/adaptation issues, such as limiting development in high-hazard areas, incorporating green infrastructure in new development plans, and encouraging low-impact development practices.	Multiple Hazards	Planning Board	Medium	Town, DLTA, EEA Planning Grant, MVP	Year 3	S, I, E	<b>2021 High</b>	Visioning process completed for several topics 3 years ago to begin an update to the 2008 Master Plan
Local Plans & Regulations	Continue to review and update land use regulations and the <b>development regulatory review process</b> to include climate resiliency provisions such as Best Management Practices for River Corridor areas (FRCOG's River Corridor Toolkit). Measures should address impervious cover, manage stormwater runoff, new development within the 100-year floodplain and River Corridor, and slope and soil stability.	Flooding	Planning Board	Low	DLTA, MVP	Year 3	S, I, E	<b>2021 High</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Work with the Council on Aging to develop transportation planning for vulnerable populations during hazard events</b> to ensure that vulnerable groups, notably seniors, will be able to get to shelters, obtain food and medications, or receive emergency services. Focus should be on identifying vulnerable populations and providing aid during all types of climate-induced risks, such as extreme temperatures, increasingly intense storms which may make travel difficult or flooding and storm events that may leave residents unprepared, stranded, or cut off from supplies.	Multiple Hazards	Emergency Management Team	Medium	MVP	Year 1 and ongoing	S, I, E	<b>2021 High</b>	New Action Item

**Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Identify vulnerable populations and foster a communications network</b> in advance of a hazard event to facilitate communication efforts and outreach to those most in need of information and assistance. Focus should be on populations that may be more vulnerable to climate-induced risks, such as extreme temperatures, may lack appropriate shelter during increasingly intense storms, or that may be unprepared if stranded or cut off from supplies due to flooding or storm events. Evaluate communication or emergency alert methods that may not require internet access, as vulnerable populations, notably seniors, may not be connected to broadband service.	Multiple Hazards	Council on Aging, Emergency Management Team	Low	MVP		S	<b>2021 High</b>	New Action Item
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Establish a designated cooling center in Town</b> and ensure that it has adequate capacity and power requirements. Assess the feasibility of establishing the new library facility as a cooling center and identify related needs during the planning stages of development. Future plans for a separate police department facility may also provide an opportunity to establish it as a designated cooling center.	Extreme Heat	Emergency Management Team	Low	MVP	Year 4	S, I	<b>2021 High</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations  Education & Awareness	<b>Conduct strategic planning to support the agricultural community</b> in the face of climate change. All of the identified hazards (heavy precipitation, drought, extreme temperatures, and extreme storm events) have the potential to significantly impact agricultural production, with corresponding threats to livelihoods. Planning should include education on adapting agricultural practices to impacts of climate change, such as longer growing seasons, extended periods of drought, and changes in overall precipitation patterns. Educate farmers and gardeners on adaptation measures, including drought resistant crops.	Multiple Hazards	Farm and Forestry Committee	Medium	MVP	Year 3	S, I, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item

Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Local Plans & Regulations  Education & Awareness	<b>Assess additional mosquito/pest control options</b> , including establishment of buffers between developed and undeveloped areas, determination of future risks due to increase in type and quantity of pests/disease vectors due to climate change, and development of an education and outreach program. Evaluate alternative methods for pest management, such as biological controls, that do not involve chemical application. Coordinate with the Board of Health to increase educational opportunities/awareness relating to pests and disease control. Conduct research on the impacts of pest management options on the environment to inform Town bylaws.	Vector-Borne Disease	Board of Health, Planning Board	Low	MVP	Year 1 and ongoing	S	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Develop comprehensive invasive species management</b> from inventory stage through management planning and implementation to address existing invasive populations that threaten features such as open space or forests, both of which contribute to resiliency, as well as anticipate new invasives that are likely to move into the area as climates shift. Continue to manage the invasive plants in Town and explore alternative spraying options for Japanese knotweed. Assess biological control options for pests, including gypsy moths, and alternatives to spraying for invasives.	Invasive Species	Conservation Commission	Medium	MVP	Year 2	I, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	Select Board has supported controlling Japanese Knotweed in town. Highway Dept. removed trees destroyed by Oriental Bittersweet
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Install central air conditioning</b> in the Town's elementary school and regional schools to protect children from the impacts of increasing heat as seasonal weather patterns become more unpredictable and average temperatures and days over 90 degrees F increase. <b>Explore alternative measures for addressing extreme heat conditions at the elementary school.</b> Assess the feasibility of planning for school closures and remote learning on extreme temperature days.	Extreme Heat	School Committee	Medium - High	Town, American Rescue funding	Year 1	S, I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item

Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Establish the elementary school as an emergency shelter.</b> Acquire necessary equipment and conduct policy review to plan for how best to safely shelter adults if school is still in session to identify potential safety issues regarding schoolchildren. Assess funding for AC and equipment.	Multiple Hazards	EMD	Low	MVP, Town	Year 3	S, I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	Adding AC to the current HVAC system at the school would be very expensive.
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>In the planning stages for the new Town library,</b> include provisions to have the facility serve as a community cooling shelter and explore options for incorporating a community meeting room.	Extreme Heat	Library Trustees	Medium	Town, Mass Board of Library Commissioners	Year 1	S, I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	Grant application being filed in July 2021
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Pursue public facilities upgrades that would increase resiliency,</b> including repairs to the roofs of the Town Hall, Fire Department, and elementary school. Continue to pursue an MSBA grant for replacement of the roof at the elementary school.	Extreme Snowstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms Tornadoes	School Committee	High	Mass School Building Assistance Program, Town	Year 1	S, I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	May 26, 2021 bids in for gym roof; submitting grant application in June 2021 for remainder of roof work
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Assess wells providing water for key municipal facilities,</b> including the Town Hall, elementary school, and Fire Department, to ensure reliability of water supplies. <b>Continue efforts related to the Town's well monitoring program</b> to observe available water quantity for private wells across Town. <b>Conduct a feasibility assessment for dispersed municipal water supply</b> that would rely on multiple supply sources to provide public water and relieve some of the burden from residents' need to rely on and maintain private wells at every residence.	Drought	Select Board Board of Health	Medium-High	MVP, FEMA BRIC, American Rescue Plan Funds	Year 1	S, I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item

Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<p><b>Conduct a detailed vulnerability and risk assessment of surface water supplies used for firefighting</b> by the Fire Department or Emergency Management. Individual source ponds already identified as potential water sources should be inspected, physical problems noted, and possible solutions identified.</p> <p><b>Conduct a wildfire risk assessment</b> to identify vulnerable areas and develop an action plan for the Fire Department</p> <p><b>Assess additional options for stand pipes or underground storage tanks</b> for water supply for firefighting to reduce the Fire Department's reliance on surface water, which is vulnerable to the impacts of drought. Coordinate permitting efforts with other Town projects.</p>	Wildfire Drought	Fire Department	Medium-High	MVP, FEMA BRIC	Year 2	S, I, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations  Education & Awareness	<p><b>Educate owners of private septic systems</b> about the importance of having systems pumped out and keeping them in good working condition in order to prevent risks to public health and the environment from systems that become overwhelmed during periods of heavy precipitation. Evaluate the possibility of developing a comprehensive Town-wide septic plan to uniformly address these concerns.</p>	Flooding	Board of Health, Select Board	Low	MVP, Town	Year 4	S, I, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	<p><b>Develop a comprehensive tree and forests management program</b> to identify, remove, and replace problem trees, preserve intact forests and street tree cover, provide guidance and resources for gradually moving toward more climate-resilient trees and forest communities (e.g. species that will tolerate warmer temperatures), and develop guidelines to manage conversion of forest land (e.g. solar guidelines).</p>	Extreme Snowstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms Tornadoes Extreme Heat	Highway Department, Tree Warden, Conservation Commission	Medium	MVP	Year 1	S, I, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item

Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority	Status
								2015 2021	
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Utilize Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding <b>for home rehabilitation work for low to moderate income households</b> to bring existing homes up to code and better withstand high wind events. Work with the Franklin County Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) to develop and distribute a brochure to publicize the program at the Town Hall, public events, through tax mailings, and on the Town website.	Hurricanes, Tropical Storms, Severe Thunderstorms, Microbursts	Select Board	Medium - High	Town, CDBG, Franklin County HRA	Year 1 and ongoing	S, I	<b>2021 Medium - High</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Continue to participate in the Franklin County Regional Emergency Planning Committee (REPC)</b> , which is currently working to complete and operationalize the Debris Management Plan. Coordinate with state and regional agencies to identify a location(s) in the Town for the temporary storage of contaminated and/or hazardous flood debris.	Multiple Hazards	Highway Department, Franklin County REPC	Low	MVP, Town	Year 1 and ongoing	S, E	<b>2021 Medium</b>	EMD attends regular meetings
Critical Facilities and Infrastructure	<b>Obtain funding for a Rural Roads/Dirt Road assessment</b> to determine low maintenance, low cost climate resilient drainage solutions on the Town's gravel roads. Identify locations where nature based solutions would be most effective.	Flooding	Highway Department	Medium	Town, MVP, MassDEP 604b	Year 3	I	<b>2021 Medium</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Establish a formal drought plan</b> to detail appropriate actions to be taken during times of extended drought, with particular attention to developing alternate water supply sources for farmers.	Drought	Farm and Forestry Committee, Water Resources Committee	Medium	MVP, Town	Year 4	S, I	<b>2021 Low</b>	New Action Item
Local Plans & Regulations	When updated, digitized FEMA FIRMs become available, <b>expand and update the Vulnerability Assessment</b> for properties located within the 100-year floodplain, including information on property and crop damages, if available.	Flooding	Planning Board, Board of Assessors	Low	Town, Volunteers	Year 4	S, I	<b>2015 High 2021 Low</b>	New Action Item

**Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority in Past Plan	Current Status
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Increase existing shelter capacity and capabilities, particularly in regard to storage space for supplies.	Hurricanes/Tropical Storms Tornadoes Severe Snowstorms	Town Administrator, EMD	Low	Town, Volunteers, DCR	S	High	Increase in storage space was completed.  Trailer obtained and items are stored in sealed containers in the trailer.
Local Plans & Regulations	Review operations of the Lake Wyola Dam and appurtenant structures to ensure that they are operating at maximum efficiency and make changes as necessary.	Dam Failure	EMD, Select Board, Highway Department, Conservation Commission, Dam Keeper	Low	Town, MEMA/FEMA, Transportation bonds, MassDOT Chapter 90 Program, MassWorks Infrastructure Program	S, I, E	Medium	Completed.  May 2017 Lake Wyola dam and operations manual written. Dam keeper responsible for implementation.
Education/Awareness	Provide training to the Building Inspector/FCCIP, as needed, to ensure new buildings are designed and constructed to reduce the risk of damage from high winds. Encourage the construction of new homes with basements, crawl spaces, or safe rooms to provide shelter during a hurricane or other storm event with high winds by providing information to prospective homeowners about structural designs that protect inhabitants from the effects of high winds.	Tornadoes Severe Thunderstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	FCCIP	Low	FCCIP	S, I, E	High	Obsolete  Covered by the current edition of State Building Code. Enforcement of the State Building Code is underway and ongoing by the Town of Shutesbury and the Franklin County Cooperative Inspection Program.  The Local Project Team determined that it is not necessary to include this as an Action Item in the 2021 Plan.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Engage a structural engineer to inspect the roof of the elementary school to determine what additional repairs are required for it to be able to withstand the potential weight of snow loads from severe winter storms and then seek funding to complete the repairs.	Severe Snowstorms	EMD, Town Administrator, FCCIP	Medium	Town, MEMA/FEMA	S, I	High	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.



**Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority in Past Plan	Current Status
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Hire an engineer to conduct a hydraulic analysis to provide prioritized recommendations for construction projects to mitigate damage from flood events in the following key areas of concern: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Baker Road culvert;</li> </ul> Multiple culverts along Wendell Road	Flooding	Select Board, Highway Department, Conservation Commission	Medium	Town, MEMA/FEMA, Transportation bonds, MassDOT Chapter 90 Prog., MassWorks Infrastructure Prog.	S, I, E	Medium	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	To reduce the risk to property and infrastructure during high wind events, implement a general program to inspect Town trees annually so pruning or removal of hazardous trees/limbs can be done on a regular basis to reduce risks/hazards.	Tornadoes Severe Thunderstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Tree Warden, Town Administrator, Highway Department, Conservation Commission, EMD	Low	Town	S, I, E	Medium	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	To reduce risk to infrastructure from high wind events, integrate the use of brush mowing equipment into a regular system of tree maintenance and pruning that complements work the utility companies are already doing under their 5-Year Plan	Tornadoes Severe Thunderstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Highway Department, Select Board, EVERSOURCE, National Grid	Low	Town, EVERSOURCE, National Grid	S, I	Medium	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Identify priority areas for tree maintenance near utility lines in town and submit the list to National Grid for inclusion in its five-year action plan, which includes regular tree maintenance to reduce the number of limbs near overhead power lines, to reduce risk to infrastructure from severe winter storms. Meet bi-annually with the utility to ensure priority areas are included in the plan.	Severe Snowstorms, Severe Thunder Storms	EMD, Select Board, Highway Department, National Grid	Low	Town, National Grid	S,I,E	High	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Remove trees from West Cemetery on Leverett Road that present a hazard in order to mitigate damage from a wind storm.	Tornadoes Severe Thunderstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Tree Warden, Town Administrator, Highway Department, Conservation Commission, EMD, Historical Commission	Medium	Town	S, I, E	Medium	Completed.

**Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority in Past Plan	Current Status
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Upgrade culverts and bridges throughout town to increase their size and/or capacity and to minimize or repair damage from hazard events and beaver activity.	Flooding	Highway Department	High	Town, MEMA/FEMA, Transportation bonds, MassDOT Chapter 90 Prog., MassWorks Infrastructure Prog.	S, I, E	High	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Develop and maintain a list of areas where repetitive power outages occur. Meet with National Grid to discuss future potential opportunities to underground existing utility lines in priority locations on the list. Work with National Grid to identify funding sources and to develop funding applications as needed.	Severe Snowstorms, Severe Thunder Storms	Select Board, Town Administrator, EMD, National Grid Highway Department	Low	Town, National Grid	S, I, E	Low	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Education/Awareness	Educate homeowners about general fire safety by publishing regular informational items on the Town website and in the community newsletter.	Wildfires	Fire Department	Medium	Town	S, I, E	Medium	Completed and the Fire Department has ongoing education and outreach programs.  The Local Project Team determined that it is not necessary to include this as an Action Item in the 2021 Plan.
Education/Awareness	Attend a forum with DCR staff foresters for Town officials and private landowners to discuss forest management practices and forest cutting plans on State-owned and private forest lands.	Wildfires	Conservation Commission, Planning Board, Fire Departments, Tree Warden	Low	Town, US Forest Service, DC R	S, I, E	Low	Obsolete.  The Local Project Team determined that it is not necessary to include this as an Action Item in the 2021 Plan.

**Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority in Past Plan	Current Status
Education/Awareness	Provide training to the Building Inspector/FCCIP, as needed, to ensure that all new construction complies with the appropriate seismic requirements of the State Building Code. Participate in trainings offered by FEMA's National Earthquake Technical Assistance Program (NETAP). NETAP is designed to help state, local, and tribal governments obtain the knowledge, tools, and support that they need to plan and implement effective earthquake mitigation strategies.	Earthquakes	FCCIP, EMD	Low	Town, FCCIP	S, I	Low	Obsolete  Covered by the current edition of State Building Code. Enforcement of the State Building Code is underway and ongoing by the Town of Shutesbury and the Franklin County Cooperative Inspection Program.  The Local Project Team determined that it is not necessary to include this as an Action Item in the 2021 Plan.
Education/Awareness	Implement a public education program for private well owners about proper construction methods and periodic inspections and testing to guard against contamination resulting from the infiltration of stormwater.	Flooding	Board of Health			S, I	High	Completed.  The Shutesbury Board of Health provides information on its web page.
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Identify locations of existing beaver activity and dams that create the potential for flooding and implement controlled breaching of dams, where appropriate, to limit the potential for accidental breaches.	Dam Failure	Town Administrator, Highway Department, EMD, Board of Health, Dam Keeper		Town	S,I, E	Medium	Obsolete  This work is ongoing and part of the regular duties of the Highway Department.  The Local Project Team determined that it is not necessary to include this as an Action Item in the 2021 Plan.
Local Plans & Regulations	Enact the Town's plan to join the Pioneer Valley Mosquito Control District. Membership includes periodic testing of mosquito and tick populations to help monitor vector-borne diseases.	Vector-Borne Diseases	Board of Health	Low	Town, MVP	S	N/A	Completed.
Local Plans & Regulations	Review Section 8.6.2.B, Common Driveways, of the town's zoning bylaws to determine if it is feasible to require utility lines be placed underground for all new development subject to the common driveway Bylaw.	Tornadoes Severe Thunderstorms Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Planning Board		Town, District Local Technical Assistance (DLTA) funding from FRCOG	S, I	Low	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.

**Table 4-4: Completed or Obsolete 2015 Hazard Mitigation Actions**

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Cost	Potential Funding Source	Benefits: Society (S) Infrastructure (I) Environment (E)	Priority in Past Plan	Current Status
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Assess and create water supply for fire prevention and identify methods for increasing storage capacity for fire prevention to mitigate impact to the built environment and forest resources.	Wildfires	Fire Department, EMD		Town		Medium	This action item was consolidated with related action items, updated during the MVP Planning process and included in the Shutesbury MVP Plan and added to Table 4-3 of the HMP.
Education/Awareness	To improve household disaster preparedness, disseminate information to residents via the Town website and the community newsletter on where to find emergency information, what to include in a 'home survival kit,' how to prepare homes and other structures to withstand flooding and high winds, and the proper evacuation procedures to follow during a disaster.	Multiple Hazards	EMD, Fire Department, Town Administrator	Low	Town, Volunteers	S, I	High	Completed. The Emergency Management Team periodically updates their web page with this information.

## **5 PLAN ADOPTION AND MAINTENANCE**

### **5.1 PLAN ADOPTION**

The Franklin Regional Council of Governments (FRCOG) provided support to the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Team as they underwent the planning process. Town officials such as the Emergency Management Director and the Town Administrator were invaluable resources to the FRCOG and provided background and policy information and municipal documents, which were crucial to facilitating completion of the plan.

When the preliminary draft of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan was completed, copies were disseminated to the Team for comment and approval. The Team was comprised of representatives of Town boards and departments who bear the responsibility for implementing the action items and recommendations of the completed plan (see the list of Team members at the beginning of the plan).

Copies of the Final Review Draft of the Hazard Mitigation Plan for the Town of Shutesbury were distributed to Town boards and officials, and to surrounding towns for review. Copies were made available at the Town Hall and a copy of the plan was also posted on the Town website for public review. Once reviewed and approved by MEMA, the plan was sent to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for Approval Pending Adoption (APA). After APA status was received on November 8, 2021, the Shutesbury Selectboard voted to adopt the plan on December 21, 2021. FEMA approved the plan on January 5th, 2022.

### **5.2 PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS**

The implementation of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan will begin following its approval by MEMA and FEMA and formal adoption by the Shutesbury Selectboard. Specific Town departments and boards will be responsible for ensuring the development of policies, bylaw revisions, and programs as described in the Action Plan (Table 4-3). The Shutesbury Emergency Management Team will oversee the implementation of the plan.

#### **Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan**

The measure of success of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan will be the number of identified mitigation strategies implemented. In order for the Town to become more disaster resilient and better equipped to respond to natural disasters, there must be a coordinated

effort between elected officials, appointed bodies, Town employees, regional and state agencies involved in disaster mitigation, and the general public.

### ***Implementation Schedule***

#### **Annual Meetings**

The Shutesbury Emergency Management Team will meet on an annual basis or as needed (i.e., following a natural or other disaster) to monitor the progress of implementation, evaluate the success or failure of implemented recommendations, and brainstorm for strategies to remove obstacles to implementation. Following these discussions, it is anticipated that the Team may decide to reassign the roles and responsibilities for implementing mitigation strategies to different Town departments and/or revise the goals and objectives contained in the plan. At a minimum, the Team will review and update the plan every five years. The meetings of the Team will be organized and facilitated by the Shutesbury Town Administrator and the Emergency Management Director.

#### **Bi-Annual Progress Report**

The Emergency Management Director will prepare and distribute a biannual progress report in years two and four of the plan. Members of the Team will be polled on any changes or revisions to the plan that may be needed, progress and accomplishments for implementation, failure to achieve progress, and any new hazards or problem areas that have been identified. Success or failure to implement recommendations will be evaluated differently depending on the nature of the individual Action Items being addressed, but will include, at a minimum, an analysis of the following: 1) whether or not the item has been addressed within the specified time frame; 2) whether actions have been taken by the designated responsible parties; 3) what funding sources were utilized; 4) whether or not the desired outcome has been achieved; and 4) identified barriers to implementation. This information will be used to prepare the bi-annual progress report which may be attached as an addendum, as needed, to the local hazard mitigation plan. The progress report will be distributed to all of the local implementation group members and other interested local stakeholders. The Emergency Management Director and the Team will have primary responsibility for tracking progress and updating the plan.

#### **Five-Year Update Preparation**

During the fourth year after initial plan adoption, the Emergency Management Director will convene the Team to begin preparations for an update of the plan, which will be required by the end of year five in order to maintain approved plan status with FEMA. The team will use the information from the annual meetings and the biannual progress reports to identify the needs and priorities for the plan update.

### Updated Local Hazard Mitigation Plan – Preparation and Adoption

FEMA's approval of this plan is valid for five years, by which time an updated plan must be approved by FEMA in order to maintain the town's approved plan status and its eligibility for FEMA mitigation grants. Because of the time required to secure a planning grant, prepare an updated plan, and complete the approval and adoption of an updated plan, the local Emergency Management Team should begin the process by the end of Year 3. This will help the town avoid a lapse in its approved plan status and grant eligibility when the current plan expires.

The Team may decide to undertake the update themselves, request assistance from the Franklin Regional Council of Governments, or hire another consultant. However the Team decides to proceed, the group will need to review the current FEMA hazard mitigation plan guidelines for any changes. The updated Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan will be forwarded to MEMA and to FEMA for approval.

As is the case with many Franklin County towns, Shutesbury's government relies on a few public servants filling many roles, upon citizen volunteers and upon limited budgets. As such, implementation of the recommendations of this plan could be a challenge to the Team. As the Team meets regularly to assess progress, it should strive to identify shortfalls in staffing and funding and other issues which may hinder Plan implementation. The Team can seek technical assistance from the Franklin Regional Council of Governments to help alleviate some of the staffing shortfalls. The Team can also seek assistance and funding from the sources listed in Table 5-1.

**Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Type of Assistance</b>	<b>Availability</b>	<b>Managing Agency</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
National Flood Insurance Program	Pre-disaster insurance	Rolling	DCR	Property Owner, FEMA
Community Assistance Program	State funds to provide assistance to communities in complying with NFIP requirements	Annually	DCR	FEMA/NFIP
Community Rating System (Part of the NFIP)	Flood insurance discounts	Rolling	DCR	Property Owner
Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program	Cost share grants for pre-disaster planning & projects	Annual	MEMA	75% FEMA/ 25% non-federal
Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)	Post-disaster cost-share Grants	Post Disaster	MEMA	75% FEMA/ 25% non-federal
Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Program	National, competitive grant program for projects & planning	Annual	MEMA	75% FEMA/ 25% non-federal
Small Business Administration Disaster Loans	Post- disaster loans to qualified applicants	Ongoing	MEMA	Small Business Administration
Public Assistance Program	Post-disaster aid to state and local governments	Post Disaster	MEMA	FEMA/ plus a non-federal share
Dam & Seawall Repair & Removal Program	Grant and loan funds for design, permitting, and construction of repair or removal of dams	Annual	EEA	Dam and Seawall Repair or Removal Fund
Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG)	Funding to assist local emergency management departments in building and maintaining an all-hazards emergency preparedness system, including planning; organizational support; equipment; training; and exercises	When funds are available	MEMA	
Volunteer Fire Assistance (VFA) Program	Grants and materials to towns with less than 10,000 population for technical, financial and other assistance for forest fire related purposes, including training, Class A foam, personal protective gear, forestry tools, and other fire suppression equipment	Annual	DCR	USDA Forest Service



**Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Type of Assistance</b>	<b>Availability</b>	<b>Managing Agency</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
Federal 604b Water Quality Management Planning Grant	Funding for assessment and planning that identifies water quality problems and provides preliminary designs for Best Management Practices to address the problems	Annual	MA DEP	EPA Clean Water Act
Section 319 Nonpoint Source Competitive Grant Program	Provides grants for wide variety of activities related to non-point source pollution runoff mitigation	Annual	MassDEP	EPA
Economic Development Administration Grants and Investment	Provides grants for community construction projects, which can include mitigation activities	Rolling	FRCOG	U.S. Department of Commerce, EDA
Emergency Watershed Protection	A disaster recovery program made available in emergency situations when neither the state nor the local community is able to repair a damaged watershed	Post-Disaster	NRCS MA	USDA NRCS
Agricultural Management Assistance	Funding for producers to develop or improve sources of irrigation water supply, construct new or reorganize irrigation delivery systems on existing cropland to mitigate the risk of drought	Rolling	NRCS MA	USDA NRCS
Conservation Stewardship Program	Agricultural producers and forest landowners earn payments for actively managing, maintaining, and expanding conservation activities – like cover crops, rotational grazing, ecologically-based pest management, buffer strips, and pollinator and beneficial insect habitat – while maintaining active agricultural production	Rolling	NRCS MA	USDA NRCS
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)	Provides technical and financial assistance to forestry & agricultural producers to plan and install conservation practices that address natural resource concerns including water quality degradation, water conservation, reducing greenhouse gases, improving wildlife habitat, controlling invasive plant species, and on-farm energy conservation and efficiency.	Rolling	NRCS MA	USDA NRCS
Agricultural Lands Conservation Program (ACEP)	Provides financial and technical assistance to help conserve agricultural lands and wetlands.	Rolling	NRCS MA	USDA NRCS

**Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Type of Assistance</b>	<b>Availability</b>	<b>Managing Agency</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
Forest Stewardship Program	Supports private landowners and municipalities to manage woodlands for timber, soil and water quality, wildlife and fish habitat, and recreation	Rolling	DCR / MA Woodlands Institute	USDA Forest Service
Community Forest Stewardship Implementation Grants for Municipalities	Municipalities that manage a town forest or have water supply land currently enrolled in the Forest Stewardship Program apply for 75-25 matching reimbursement grants to implement their forest stewardship plan	Rolling as funding permits	DCR	USDA Forest Service
USDA Community Facilities Direct Loan & Grant	Provides grants and loans for infrastructure and public safety development and enhancement in rural areas	Annual	USDA Rural Development MA	USDA Rural Development
Transportation Improvement Program	Prioritized, multi-year listing of transportation projects in a region that are to receive Federal funding for implementation. Projects are limited to certain roadways and are constrained by available funding for each fiscal year. Any transportation project in Franklin County that is to receive federal funding must be listed on the TIP.	Rolling	Franklin County Transportation Planning Organization / FRCOG	80% Federal / 20% State
Chapter 90 Program	Funds maintaining, repairing, improving and constructing town and county ways and bridges which qualify under the State Aid Highway Guidelines	Annual	Mass DOT	State Transportation Bond
Culvert Replacement Municipal Assistance Grant	Funds replacement of undersized, perched, and/or degraded culverts located in an area of high ecological value with better designed crossings that meet improved structural and environmental design standards and flood resiliency criteria	Annual	MA Division of Ecological Restoration	State Appropriation
MassWorks Infrastructure Program	Funds for public infrastructure such as roadways, streetscapes, water, and sewer	Annual	EOHED	State Appropriation
Municipal Small Bridge Program	5 year program (FY17 – FY21) to assist cities and towns with replacing or preserving bridges with spans between 10' and 20'	Bi-Annual	MassDOT	State Appropriation

**Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation**

<b>Program</b>	<b>Type of Assistance</b>	<b>Availability</b>	<b>Managing Agency</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning and Action Grant Programs	Funding to support cities and towns to begin the process of planning for climate change resiliency and implement priority projects; projects proposing nature-based solutions that rely on green infrastructure or conservation and enhancement of natural systems to improve community resilience are given priority for implementation funding through the MVP Action Grant	Annual	EEA	State Appropriation
Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant Program	Funding for municipalities for the acquisition of parkland, development of a new park, renovation of an existing park, development of trails in an existing conservation or recreation area, or the acquisition of conservation land	Annual	EEA	National Park Service
Drinking Water Supply Protection Grant	Provides financial assistance to public water systems and municipal water departments for the purchase of land in existing Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)-approved drinking water supply protection areas, or land in estimated protection areas of identified and planned future water supply wells or intakes	Annual	EEA	EEA
Landscape Partnership Grant	Funding for large-scale (min. 500 acres), joint conservation projects completed in partnership with federal, state, and local governments, and non-profits	Annual	EEA	EEA
Conservation Partnership Grant	Funds acquisition of conservation or recreation land by non-profit entities	Annual	EEA	EEA
LAND – Local Acquisitions for Natural Diversity	Funding for municipal conservation and agricultural commissions to acquire interests in land that will be used for conservation and passive recreation purposes	Annual	EEA	EEA
PARC - Parkland Acquisitions and Renovations for Communities	Funding for municipalities to acquire parkland, build a new park, or to renovate an existing park	Annual	EEA	EEA

**Table 5-1: Potential Funding Sources for Hazard Mitigation Plan Implementation**

Program	Type of Assistance	Availability	Managing Agency	Funding Source
<p><b>Table Acronym Key:</b> DCR = MA Department of Conservation &amp; Recreation; FEMA = Federal Emergency Management Agency; MEMA = MA Emergency Management Agency; EEA = MA Executive Office of Energy &amp; Environmental Affairs; USDA = U.S. Department of Agriculture; NRCS = Natural Resource Conservation Service; EDA = U.S. Economic Development Administration; EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; FRCOG = Franklin Regional Council of Governments; MassDOT = MA Department of Transportation; EOHD = MA Executive Office of Housing &amp; Economic Development</p>				

## **Incorporating the Plan into Existing Planning Mechanism**

### 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Town of Shutesbury has taken steps to apply the findings from the 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan into the following policy, programmatic areas and plans: the 2020 Shutesbury Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Resiliency Plan and the 2021 Open Space & Recreation Plan (currently in progress). The Town also incorporates items from the plans into its regular capital improvements planning.

### 2021 Hazard Mitigation Plan

Upon approval of the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan by FEMA, the Town Administrator will provide all interested parties and implementing departments with a copy of the plan, with emphasis on Table 4-3: 2021 Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Prioritized Action Plan. The Emergency Management Team should also consider initiating a discussion with each department on how the plan can be integrated into that department's ongoing work. At a minimum, the plan should be distributed to and reviewed with the following entities:

- Fire Department
- Emergency Management Director
- Police Department
- Public Works / Highway Department
- Planning Board
- Zoning Board of Appeals
- Conservation Commission
- Public Health Director
- Franklin County Regional Emergency Planning Committee
- Building Inspector/FCCIP
- Selectboard

Some possible planning mechanisms for incorporating the Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan into existing planning mechanisms to the fullest extent possible could include:

- Any future development of master plans and scenic byway plans could incorporate relevant material from this plan into sections such as the Natural Resources section and any action plans.
- When the Final Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan for the Town of Shutesbury is distributed to the Town boards for their review, a letter asking each board to endorse any action item

that lists that board as a responsible party would help to encourage completion of action items.

- The Planning Board could include discussions of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Action Items in one meeting annually and assess progress. Current Subdivision Rules and Regulations and Zoning Bylaws should be reviewed and revised by the EMD, Planning Board and Select Board based upon the recommendations of this plan. Technical assistance from the FRCOG may be available to assist in the modification of Shutesbury's current Bylaws.

### **Continued Public Involvement**

The Town of Shutesbury is dedicated to continued public involvement in the hazard mitigation planning and review process. During all phases of plan maintenance, the public will have the opportunity to provide feedback. The 2021 Plan will be maintained and available for review on the Town website through 2026. Individuals will have an opportunity to submit comments for the Plan update at any time. Any public meetings of the Team will be publicized. This will provide the public an opportunity to express their concerns, opinions, or ideas about any updates/changes that are proposed to the Plan.

## **APPENDIX A: Public Participation**

## Information for Meeting of Select Board

### Wednesday April 7, 2021 6 PM EDT

**The Town of Shutesbury will hold all its public meetings via remote participation using Zoom until further notice. The public can access the virtual meetings by internet enabled devices or telephone. Every meeting will be recorded and available to the public.**

**Web Instructions: Find the Meeting ID number and Password in the agenda box below. To join a virtual Zoom meeting go to [www.zoom.us](http://www.zoom.us). Click on Join a Meeting. Enter the Meeting ID, the prompted, enter the password and click join. It is highly recommended that you install the Zoom app if you're calling in from a smartphone or iPad device.**

**Or join by phone: Call 1 646 558-8656 and enter the Meeting ID and Password when prompted.**

**If you are new to video conferencing here are some helpful hints:**

- Fifteen minutes before the meeting get yourself to a quiet room with a strong internet connection
- On the Zoom page the microphone and video icons in the lower left of the screen. Use these to mute and unmute yourself and turn on/turn off your video feed of yourself.

Town: [Shutesbury, MA](#)  
 Board: [Select Board](#)  
 Time: Wednesday April 7, 2021 6 PM EDT  
 Location: \* Virtual Meeting

Pri

Agenda:

Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan Agenda  
 Shutesbury, MA 01072  
 VIRTUAL Meeting  
 6:00 p.m.  
 Monday, April 7, 2021

Hazard Mitigation Plan meeting with Selectboard, Emergency Mgmt Tea  
 Time: Apr 7, 2021 06:00 PM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting  
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85716712473?pwd=YVZhL3FleDdUQ3NJZlBlcmRvQ>

Meeting ID: 857 1671 2473  
 Passcode: 7aKnQ0

1-646-558-8656  
 Meeting ID: 857 1671 2473  
 Passcode: 476943

6:00pm Call to Order.  
 6:00pm Meeting with Emergency Management Team

Project Facilitator: Franklin Regional Council of Governments

1. Introductions
2. Overview of Project and Timeline
3. Overview of Hazards and Climate Change Stressors
4. Discussion of Shutesbury's Risk to Each Hazard Based on the Local Extent, Probability, and Severity of Hazards
  - a. Review of Draft Critical Facilities & Infrastructure Map
  - b. Review of Environmental Resources Map
5. Schedule Next Meeting

Adjourn

Scheduled By: [Rebecca Torres](#)  
 Posted At: Apr 5, 2021 3:08 PM EDT



**Town of Shutesbury Massachusetts**

**Emergency Management Team and Shutesbury Select Board DRAFT VIRTUAL Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, April 7, 2021**

**6:00 p.m.**

Virtual via zoom: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85716712473?pwd=YVZhL3FleDdUQ3NJZlBlcmRvQ>

**Members Present:** Emergency Management Director (EMD) Walter Tibbetts, Deputy Emergency Management Director (Dep. EMD) and Police Officer in Charge (OIC) Kristen Burgess, Assistant Emergency Management Director (Asst. EMD) Mark Foster, Board of Selectmen Chairman Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil, and member April Stein, Board of Health Chairperson Norene Pease, and member Garret Simonson (Norene and Garrett left at 7 PM due to a conflict with a regularly scheduled Board of Health meeting.) Public Information Officer (PIO) David Perlmutter, Town Administrator (TA) Rebecca (Becky) Torres, Town Clerk (TC) Grace Bannasch, Team Secretary Leslie Bracebridge, recording.

**Meeting facilitators from Franklin Regional Council of Governments:** Kimberly Noake MacPhee, Land-Use & Natural Resources Program Manager, and Tamsin Flanders, Land-Use & Natural Resources Planner.

**Guests:** Shutesbury Tree Warden Don Wakoluk, Finance Committee member James Walton, Baker RD resident Robert Seletsky.

1. **Meeting opened at 6 PM and Introductions were made.**

## **Meeting of Shutesbury, MA Select Board Wednesday April 28, 2021 5:30 PM EDT**

Joint Meeting of the Emergency Management Team including  
Selectboard, Fire Chief and all relevant parties

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85161738703>

pwd=M0VUQ252cTkwZEE0emZXSHE3K3E2Zz09

Meeting ID: 851 6173 8703

Passcode: n4pPy2

1-646-558-8656

Meeting ID: 851 6173 8703

Passcode: 470272

Project Facilitator: Franklin Regional Council of Governments

1. Introductions
2. Final Review of Draft Sections 2 &
3. Final Review of Draft Critical Facilities & Infrastructure Map  
and Draft Environmental Resources Map
4. Discuss Draft Problem Statements for Each Hazard
5. Discuss Draft Section 4
6. Schedule Next Meeting

**Town of Shutesbury Massachusetts**

**Emergency Management Team and Shutesbury Select Board DRAFT VIRTUAL Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, April 28, 2021**

**5:30 p.m.**

Virtual via zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85161738703?pwd=M0VUQ252cTkwZEE0emZXSHE3K3E2Zz09>

**Members Present:** Emergency Management Director (EMD) Walter Tibbetts, Assistant Emergency Management Director (Asst. EMD) Mark Foster, Board of Selectmen Chairman Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil, and members April Stein and Rita Farrell, Public Information Officer (PIO) David Perlmutter, Town Administrator (TA) Rebecca (Becky) Torres, Town Clerk (TC) Grace Bannasch.

**Meeting facilitators from Franklin Regional Council of Governments:** Kimberly Noake MacPhee, Land-Use & Natural Resources Program Manager, and Tamsin Flanders, Land-Use & Natural Resources Planner.

**Guests:** Shutesbury Tree Warden Don Wakoluk

## **Meeting of Shutesbury, MA Select Board Thursday May 20, 2021 5 PM EDT**

\* Virtual Meeting

Virtual Town Hall

Topic: Hazard Mitigation Plan Meeting of Selectboard and other EMT members

Time: May 20, 2021 05:00 PM Eastern Time

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81736686695?>

pwd=MkNBWEc5bXNYNUVjTWITRXY0M1JGdz09

Meeting ID: 817 3668 6695

Passcode: u2Uast

Meeting ID: 817 3668 6695

Passcode: 428537

1. Introductions
  2. Check in on Review of Draft Critical Facilities & Infrastructure Map and Draft Environmental Resources Map
  3. Discuss Draft Action Plan Table 4-
  4. Discuss Draft Completed & Obsolete Action Items Table 4-4
  5. Discuss Draft Table 4-1 Existing Mitigation Capabilities
  6. Schedule Next Meeting
- Or discuss anything not anticipated by the Chair of the Committee

**Town of Shutesbury Massachusetts**

**Emergency Management Team and Shutesbury Select Board DRAFT VIRTUAL Meeting Minutes**

**Thursday, May 20, 2021**

**5:00 p.m.**

Virtual via zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81736686695?pwd=MkNBWEc5bXNYNUVjTWITRXY0MlJGdz09>

**Members Present:** Emergency Management Director (EMD) Walter Tibbetts, Board of Selectmen Chairman Melissa Makepeace-O'Neil, and members April Stein, and Rita Farrell, Town Administrator (TA) Rebecca (Becky) Torres, Team Secretary Leslie Bracebridge, recording.

**Meeting facilitators from Franklin Regional Council of Governments:** Kimberly Noake MacPhee, Land-Use & Natural Resources Program Manager, and Tamsin Flanders, Land-Use & Natural Resources Planner.

**Guests:** I have no guests listed as present, and none as participating. Some may have drifted in and out of Zoom, which I was not aware of?



## Town of Shutesbury Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan

### **DRAFT AVAILABLE for REVIEW**

### **June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2021**

Dear Stakeholder:

Increasingly, we find ourselves responding to more unpredictable and severe weather events that damage the Town of Shutesbury's infrastructure, natural resources, and local economy, and threaten the health and welfare of residents. The costs and impacts to the Town remind us that we need to continue working to reduce our risk and increase our resilience to these extreme storm events. The Shutesbury Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Team, in partnership with the Franklin Regional Council of Governments (FRCOG) Planning Department, has prepared a draft 2021 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan that is ready for public review. Once the 2021 Plan is approved by FEMA and adopted by the Town, Shutesbury will be eligible for state and Federal grant monies to fund pre- and post-disaster mitigation projects. The purpose of this Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan update is to identify natural and other hazards that may impact the community; conduct a risk assessment to identify infrastructure at the highest risk for being damaged by hazards; inventory and assess current Town hazard mitigation policies, programs, and regulations; and identify action steps to prevent damage to property and loss of life.

A draft version of the 2021 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is available on the Town's website for your review and can be accessed here: <https://www.shutesbury.org/>

A paper copy is also available at the Town Office on 1 Cooleyville Road in Shutesbury.

**\*Public Comment Period to run until September 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021\***

Comments can be submitted to:

Becky Torres

Town Administrator

1 Cooleyville Road

Shutesbury, MA 01072

[townadmin@shutesbury.org](mailto:townadmin@shutesbury.org)

## Tamsin Flanders

---

**From:** Tamsin Flanders  
**Sent:** Monday, June 28, 2021 2:55 PM  
**To:** 'townadministrator@leverett.ma.us'; 'newsalemwendell@gmail.com';  
'pelhamtownclerk@comcast.net'; 'townmanager@amherstma.gov'  
**Cc:** Kimberly Noake MacPhee  
**Subject:** Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Comment  
**Attachments:** FLYER\_HazMit Plan DRAFT available.pdf

Good afternoon,

I am writing to let you know Shutesbury's draft Hazard Mitigation Plan is available for review and the public comment period is open until the end of the day tomorrow, Tuesday June 29<sup>th</sup>. A public forum on the plan will be held virtually tomorrow, Tuesday June 29<sup>th</sup>, at 5:30 via this link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89874518818?pwd=ZzY4ZkxXMVhiUG80OU5PMzkva3Z3QT09>.

The plan is posted online here: <https://www.shutesbury.org/sites/default/files/HMP%20Plan%20draft.pdf>. If you would like to submit a comment, please contact Becky Torres at [townadmin@shutesbury.org](mailto:townadmin@shutesbury.org). Additional information is on the attached flyer.

Thank you,  
Tamsin

**Tamsin Flanders, MRP, MSED**  
Planner, Land Use & Natural Resources Planning Program  
Franklin Regional Council of Governments | [frcog.org](http://frcog.org)



**Meeting of Shutesbury, MA Select Board  
Tuesday June 29, 2021 5:30 PM EDT**

Topic: SB 6/29/21

Time: Jun 29, 2021 05:30 PM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89874518818?>

[pwd=ZzY4ZkxXMVhIUg80OU5PMzkva3Z3QT09](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89874518818?pwd=ZzY4ZkxXMVhIUg80OU5PMzkva3Z3QT09)

Meeting ID: 898 7451 8818

Passcode: M4kku0

1-646-558-8656

Meeting ID: 898 7451 8818

Passcode: 775561

Find your local number:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kWRc291KH>

Agenda:

5:30pm Call to Order

5:30pm Review Agenda

5:30pm Plan Meeting with Personnel Bd to discuss Assessor Staffing

6:00pm Hazard Mitigation Plan Review with the Public

7:00pm Review Minutes from June 17 and 22, 2021

Adjourn

And any other issue not reasonably anticipated by the Chair of the Committee

Future Virtual Select Board Meetings:

July 6, 2021

5:30pm





**Welcome to Shutesbury**, a rural town in Franklin County, MA, established as Roadtown in 1735 and incorporated as Shutesbury in 1761, named for Governor Samuel Shute. This is the official Town Website, maintained by the Town's Web Committee.

**Hazard Mitigation Plan documents for public review:** draft of the Hazard Mitigation Plan, the Hazard Mitigation Action Plan (the most important of these documents for public to review), and maps of hazards, infrastructure, & resources.

[Stay Informed About Coronavirus - COVID-19. Read more](#)

Looking for a meeting time & agenda? Zoom address? past meeting? Go to the Official [Calendar](#) of Town meetings.

Learn about [Annual Town Meeting](#), the legislative branch of Shutesbury Town government.

## News & Updates

## Public Hearing

Update on FY19 Wendell Shutesbury Community Development Block Grant Activities

## Annual Town Meeting 2021



### Town Hall

P. O. Box 276.  
1 Cooleyville Rd.  
Shutesbury MA 01072-0276

[TownAdmin@shutesbury.org](mailto:TownAdmin@shutesbury.org)  
(413) 259-1214 voice

[TownClerk@shutesbury.org](mailto:TownClerk@shutesbury.org)  
(413) 259-1204 voice  
(413) 259-1107 fax

### Tax Collector

P.O. Box 175  
Shutesbury MA 01072-0175

*Pay taxes online here.*

[Contact Town Officials](#)

# SHUTESBURY HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE PUBLIC FORUM

June 29, 2021



## Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan

- The purpose of hazard mitigation is to reduce potential losses from future disasters.
- Mitigation plans identify the natural hazards that impact communities, identify actions to reduce losses from those hazards, and establish a coordinated process to implement the plan.

## Shutesbury Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan 2015

- Inventoried *historic* hazard events – frequency, magnitude and damages
- Vulnerability assessment for flooding was prepared based on damages from *past* events and location in 100 year floodplain
- Prioritized all hazards and included action items for each hazard

## 2015 Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Hazard ID & Vulnerability Assessment

Potential Hazards Identified for Shutesbury
Dam Failure
Severe Winter Storm/Ice Storm
Earthquake
Hurricane
Wind Storms, Microbursts, etc.
Tornado
Ice Jam
Flood
Wild Fire/Brush Fire
Landslide
Drought - 2021
Invasive Species - 2021
Temperature Extremes - 2021

A changing climate is exposing us to greater risk.



## Massachusetts' Changing Climate

- **Changing weather**
  - Higher temperatures
  - Shorter winters
  - More frequent & intense storms
  - Droughts
- **Amplifies existing risks**
  - Community and regional infrastructure
  - Local and regional economies
  - Public health
  - Natural resources and our environment

**Goal for Building Resilience to a Changing Climate:**

**Protect life, property, natural resources and the economy**



## Higher Temperatures



The average annual temperature is projected to increase from 45.3°F to 50.6°F (5.32°F change) by mid-century, and to 52.8°F (7.48°F change) by the end of this century

Source: Resilient MA, 2018

## Extreme Temperatures




- Projected to increase by 18 days by the 2050s, and by 32 days by the end of the century for a total of 36 days over 90°F
- 1971 to 2000 average of 4 days per year

Source: Resilient MA, 2018

## Changes in Precipitation

### Observed Increase in Heavy Precipitation



- Total annual precipitation is projected to increase by 3 inches by mid-century, and by 4 inches by the end of this century
- Less snow / more rain in winter
- Heavier precipitation events overall

Source: Resilient MA, 2018

## Extreme Weather Events

- Tropical storms
- Tornadoes
- Thunderstorms
- Snow storms
- Drought

The frequency, intensity, duration and geographic extent of these extreme storms is likely to increase.



## 2021 Hazard Identification & Risk Analysis

- **Identify Past, Current and Future Hazards**
- **Discuss How Climate Change Increases the Risks from these Hazards**
  - Infrastructure
  - Society
  - Environment
- **Determine Top Priority Hazards**
  - Which hazards pose the greatest threat to the town currently and in the future?
- **Incorporate results of the Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) plan**

## 2021 Hazard Identification & Risk Analysis

**Table 3-5: Shutesbury Hazard Identification and Risk Analysis**

Type of Hazard	Location of Occurrence	Probability of Future Events	Impact	Overall Hazard Vulnerability Rating
Flooding	Medium	High	Minor	2 - Medium
Severe Winter Storms	Large	Very High	Minor/Limited	2 – Medium
Hurricanes/Tropical Storms	Large	High	Limited/Critical	2 – Medium
Severe Thunderstorms/ Wind/ Microbursts	Medium	Very High	Limited	1 – High
Tornadoes	Isolated	Moderate	Critical	2 – Medium
Wildfires	Large	High	Minor	2 – Medium
Earthquakes	Large	Low	Critical	2 – Medium
Dam Failure	Medium	Low	Limited	2 – Medium
Drought	Large	Very High	Minor/Limited	1 – High
Landslides	Isolated	Low	Limited	3- Low
Extreme Temperatures	Large	Very High	Minor	2 – Medium
Invasive Species	Large	Very High	Minor	Low

1=High  
2=Medium  
3=Low

## Vulnerability Assessment

For each hazard, the following information is included:

- Potential Impacts of Climate Change on the Hazard
- A Description of the Hazard, including:
  - Location
  - Extent
  - Previous Occurrences
  - Probability of Future Events, and
  - Impacts
- Discussion of the vulnerability of the town:
  - Society (including Vulnerable Populations)
  - Health Impacts
  - Economic Impacts
  - Infrastructure Impacts
  - Environmental Impacts

Estimated Vulnerable Population in Shutesbury

Population Age 65 Years and Over	329	19%
Population with a Disability	203	12%
Population who Speak English Less than "Very Well"	13	0.7%
Vulnerable Household Category	Number	Percent of Total Households*
Low Income Households (annual income less than \$35,000)	149	20%
Householder Age 65 Years and Over Living Alone	90	12%
Households Without Access to a Vehicle	35	5%

\*Total population = 1,755; Total households = 740  
 Note: Individuals and households may be counted under multiple categories.  
 Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey 2015-2019 Five-Year Estimates.

## Mitigation Strategies

- Hazard Problem Statements
- Evaluation of Current Mitigation Strategies
- Status of 2015 Action Plan Items
- 2021 Action Plan

Flood Hazard Problem Statements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shutesbury's town bylaws, subdivision regulations, and regulatory review process do not fully address how development affects flooding or include requirements for mitigating floods.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uncontrolled runoff from Morse Hill is contributing to erosion problems along Locks Pond Road and roadways located along the western side of Lake Wyola; this problem would be exacerbated during a heavy rain event.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many gravel roads throughout Town do not have proper drainage and are prone to inundation flooding or erosion. In particular, Roads along Lake Wyola, Cooleyville Road, Montague Road, Sandhill Road, Pratt Corner Road, and Baker Roads are prone to chronic flooding. Nature-based solutions to increase flood resiliency are needed at these locations.</li> </ul>

## 2021 Action Plan

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Responsible Department / Board	Estimated Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated Timeframe	Benefits: % Society (S) % Infrastructure (I) % Environment (E)	Priority: % 2015 % 2021	Status
Local Plans & Regulations Education & Awareness	Educate owners of private septic systems about the importance of having systems pumped out and keeping them in good working condition in order to prevent risks to public health and the environment from systems that become overwhelmed during periods of heavy precipitation. Evaluate the possibility of developing a comprehensive Town-wide septic plan to uniformly address these concerns.	Flooding	Board of Health, Select Board	Low	MVP, Town	Year 4	S, I, E	2021-Medium	II
Local Plans & Regulations	In the planning stages for the new Town Library, include provisions to have the facility serve as a community cooling shelter and explore options for incorporating a community meeting room.	Extreme Heat	Library Trustees	Medium	Town, Mass Board of Library Commissioners	Year 3	S, I	2021-Medium	Grant application being filed in July 2021
Local Plans & Regulations	Develop a comprehensive tree and forest management program to identify, remove, and replace problem trees, preserve intact forests and street tree cover, provide guidance and resources for gradually moving toward more climate-resilient trees and forest communities (e.g. species that will tolerate warmer temperatures), and develop guidelines to manage conversion of forest-land (e.g. solar guidelines).	Extreme Snowstorms, Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, Tornadoes, Extreme Heat	Highway Department, Tree Warden, Conservation Commission	Medium	MVP	Year 3	S, I, E	2021-Medium	II
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Pursue public facilities upgrades that would increase resiliency, including repairs to the roofs of the Town Hall, Fire Department, and elementary school. Continue to pursue an MSBA grant for replacement of the roof at the elementary school.	Extreme Snowstorms, Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, Tornadoes	School Committee	High	Mass School Building Assistance Program, Town	Year 3	S, I	2021-Medium	May 26, 2021 bids in for gym roof-submitting grant application in June 2021 for remainder of roof work
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Utilize Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for home rehabilitation work for low to moderate income households to bring existing homes up to code and better withstand high wind events. Work with the Franklin County Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) to develop and distribute a brochure to publicize the program at the Town Hall, public events, through tax mailings, and on the Town website.	Hurricanes, Tropical Storms, Severe Thunderstorms, Microbursts	Select Board	Medium-High	Town, CDBG, Franklin County HRA	Year 1 and ongoing	S, I	2021-Medium-High	II



## Sample of 2021 Action Items

Action Type	Action Description	Hazards Addressed	Priority
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Conduct a field inventory of culverts and bridges</b> to rank and prioritize projects for increased flooding resiliency and storm-hardening, followed by design and implementation of priority re-sizing or replacement projects. Include the following areas of concern identified in the 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan: Baker Road culvert, multiple culverts on Wendell Road.	Flooding Dam Failure	Medium
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	Engage an engineer to <b>modify the design of the trash rack at the sluice gate at Lake Wyola</b> so that it does not continue to get blocked with debris and increase the potential for flooding or failure.	Dam Failure	Low-Medium
Critical Facilities & Infrastructure	<b>Coordinate with the Town of Amherst on inspections for the Atkins Reservoir dyke and dam.</b> Consider climate change impacts, such as increased intensity and frequency of heavy precipitation events, when assessing the dam.	Flooding Dam Failure	Medium
Local Plans & Regulations	<b>Work with the Council on Aging to develop transportation planning for vulnerable populations during hazard events</b> to ensure that vulnerable groups, notably seniors, will be able to get to shelters, obtain food and medications, or receive emergency services.	Multiple Hazards	Medium

## Next Steps

- Review the 2021 Action Items and identify any actions that are missing. The complete plan is available on the Town of Shutesbury website.
- Provide comments on the plan by NOON TOMORROW to Tamsin Flanders, FRCOG, [tflanders@frcog.org](mailto:tflanders@frcog.org)
- Final draft of the plan will be submitted to MEMA/FEMA for review and approval.
- Select Board will vote to adopt the plan after MEMA has given the plan Approval Pending Adoption (~ 3 months)

THANK YOU!

**Town of Shutesbury Massachusetts**

**Emergency Management Team and Shutesbury Select Board DRAFT VIRTUAL Meeting Minutes**

**Public Hearing for the 2021 update of the Town of Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan**

**Tuesday, June 29, 2021**

**5:30 p.m.**

Virtual via zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89874518818?pwd=ZzY4ZkxXMVhIUG80OU5PMzkva3Z3QT09>

**Members Present:** Emergency Management Director (EMD) Walter Tibbetts, Deputy Emergency Management Director (Dep. EMD) and Police Officer in Charge (OIC) Kristen Burgess, Board of Selectmen Chairman Melissa Makepeace-O’Neil, and members April Stein, and Rita Farrell, and Selectman-Elect Eric Stocker, Town Administrator (TA) Rebecca (Becky) Torres, Select Board Administrative Secretary Linda Avis Scott, and Emergency Management Team Clerk Leslie Bracebridge, recording.

**Meeting facilitators from Franklin Regional Council of Governments:** Tamsin Flanders, Land-Use & Natural Resources Planner, and Senior Transportation and Land Use Planner Megan Rhodes.

**Guests:** Shutesbury Historical Commission Chairman Henry Geddes, Shutesbury Conservation Commission member and Historical Commission member Miriam DeFant, Shutesbury Planning Board member Michael Dechiara, Shutesbury Historical Commission member Mary Lou Ferro, Shutesbury Board of Health member-elect Mary David, Co-Owner with Town of Wendell of MacAvoy Pond Ray Didonato, Baker RD resident Robert Seletsky.

- 1. Public Hearing opened at 6 PM and closed at 6:19 PM.**

## **Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan – Public Forum**

**June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021 @ 5:30pm**

### ***Comments:***

Resident Mary Lou Ferro asked whether the plan commented on the loss of forest to solar development. It was replied that the plan does not address deforestation.

Ray DiDonato, owner of the dam on MacAvoy pond, informed FRCOG staff that the MacAvoy dam is rated by DCR as being in “fair” condition, not “poor,” as the plan states. The condition of the dam was upgraded in 2018 by a follow-up inspection after trees in proximity were addressed.

Planning Board member Michael Dechiara commented that the Planning Board is hoping to revise the Town Master Plan and Zoning Bylaws in the next few years and will look at the results of the plan to inform that process.

No other questions or comments were received.

**APPENDIX B: FEMA PLAN REVIEW TOOL**

# LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL - Final

## Town of Shutesbury, MA

---

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

<b>Jurisdiction:</b> Town of Shutesbury, MA	<b>Title of Plan:</b> Town of Shutesbury Hazard Mitigation Plan	<b>Date of Plan:</b> June 2021
<b>Single or Multi-jurisdiction plan?</b> Single		<b>New Plan or Plan Update?</b> Update
<b>Regional Point of Contact:</b> Kimberly Noake MacPhee <b>Title:</b> Land Use & Natural Resources Planning Program Manager <b>Agency/Address:</b> Franklin Regional Council of Governments 12 Olive Street, Suite 2 Greenfield, MA 01301 <b>Phone Number:</b> 413-774-3167 x130 <b>E-Mail:</b> <a href="mailto:kmacphee@frcog.org">kmacphee@frcog.org</a>		<b>Local Point of Contact:</b> Rebecca Torres <b>Title:</b> Town Administrator <b>Agency/Address:</b> Town of Shutesbury 1 Cooleyville Road, P.O. Box 276 Shutesbury, MA 01072-0276 <b>Phone Number:</b> 413-259-1214 <b>E-Mail:</b> <a href="mailto:townadmin@shutesbury.org">townadmin@shutesbury.org</a>

<b>State Reviewer:</b> Jeffrey Zukowski	<b>Title:</b> Hazard Mitigation Planner	<b>Date:</b> 9/23/2021 & 01/03/2022
--	--	--

<b>FEMA Reviewer:</b> Jay Neiderbach Brigitte Ndikum-Nyada	<b>Title:</b> FEMA Community Planner Community Planner	<b>Date:</b> 11/2/21 11/8/2021 & 01/07/2022
<b>Date Received in FEMA Region I</b>	9/23/2021 & 01/03/2022	
<b>Plan Not Approved</b>		
<b>Plan Approvable Pending Adoption</b>	11/8/2021	
<b>Plan Adopted</b>	12/21/2022	
<b>Plan Approved</b>	01/05/2022	

**SECTION 1:  
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met.’ The ‘Required Revisions’ summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is ‘Not Met.’ Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
<b>ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS</b>				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	pp. 1-4, Appendices A & B	X		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	pp. 1-4, Appendices A & B	X		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	pp. 1-4, Appendices A & B	X		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	p. 5, citations throughout	X		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	pp. 244-246, 252-253	X		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	pp. 244-246	X		
<b>ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS</b>				
<b>ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT</b>				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all-natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	pp. 22-210, Appendix C	X		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	pp. 22-210, Appendix C	X		

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	pp. 22-210, Appendix C	X		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	p. 49	X		
<b><u>ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
<b>ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY</b>				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	pp. 211-227	X		
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	pp. 10-11, 49, 238	X		
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	p. 228	X		
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	pp. 228-243	X		
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	pp. 228-243	X		
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	pp. 252-253	X		
<b><u>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
<b>ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION</b> (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	pp. 8-10	X		
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	pp. 232-243	X		
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	pp. 232-238	X		

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
<b><u>ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
<b>ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION</b>				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Signed adoption certificate is on file. HMP adopted on <b>12/21/2021</b>		X	
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	N/A			
<b><u>ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
<b>ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)</b>				
F1.				
F2.				
<b><u>ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				



## SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

### A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

#### Element A: Planning Process

**Strengths:**

- Inclusion of meeting and outreach materials will be a useful reference for future plan updates.

**Opportunities for Improvement:**

- In the next plan's update ensure that the official HMP title is referenced and captured throughout the plan as well as on the formal adoption certificate too. If multiple titles are referenced, the HMP will be returned for revision.
- Consider expanding public engagement in the planning process for future updates. Other communities have found success offering distributing surveys or posting on social media accounts.
- As part of the meeting minutes, include a description of major discussion points or decisions that were made by the planning team.
- Include more information about how input was provided by members of the planning team who did not attend any planning team meetings.
- To encourage their participation, provide neighboring communities with more advanced notice about public meetings.

#### Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

**Strengths:**

- The plan does an excellent job of identifying how the probability or severity of future hazard events may change in the future due to changes in climate, population, or land use.
- Problem statements concisely and clearly explain the community's greatest vulnerabilities related to each hazard.
- A wide range of impacts from each hazard are discussed, including social vulnerabilities and economic impacts.

**Opportunities for Improvement:**

- Ensure that the most recent data on previous occurrences is included for all hazards.

#### Element C: Mitigation Strategy

**Strengths:**

- The plan includes a strong list of mitigation actions, with details about what the action will entail and a range of different types of actions (structural, plans, programs, etc.).

- The plan identifies a range of potential resources for implementing the mitigation strategy, increasing opportunities for success.

***Opportunities for Improvement:***

- Establish detailed goals that are meaningful to the community and encourage the development of a robust mitigation strategy. Consider integrating and aligning the plan's goals with the goals of other current planning initiatives within the community, such as the 2020 MVP plan.
- Further develop the analysis of how existing capabilities could be expanded. As part of the analysis, specify what is currently lacking (funding, personnel, equipment, regulations, authority, community consensus, etc.).
- In the update, focus on existing **capabilities, do not** only described them, but provide descriptions on how mitigation related existing authorities, policies, programs and resources can **be expanded and improved upon**. A discussion could identify any need for modifications on how they could be expanded and improved upon, to further reduce risk. **See** FEMA Local Mitigation Planning Handbook page 4-1 for Capability Assessment and the **worksheet** on pages 4-16 to 4-28. [Local Mitigation Planning Handbook \(fema.gov\)](https://www.fema.gov/local-mitigation-planning-handbook). A plan must provide a comprehensive, detailed description of the community's existing programs, plans, and policies that relate to mitigation. One good way is by utilizing the worksheets in the Local Mitigation Planning Handbook (link provided above) to develop this information.

**Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (*Plan Updates Only*)**

***Strengths:***

- The community evaluated recent developments for their effect on vulnerabilities from hazards.

***Opportunities for Improvement:***

- As part of the discussion of how the community's vulnerability has changed from development, include the effect that recently completed mitigation actions have had on reducing risk.
  - **Looking ahead and planning for the next HMP update:** Continue to get specific when identifying all forms of changes in development whether its expansions or improvements to existing structures and infrastructure, changes in use, future development plans and policies, or just building permit increases and changes in population, and social justice and equity planning. Be sure these changes are always connected to whether it is increasing, decreasing, or have no effect on the Town's risk and/or vulnerability.
  - Discuss the effect that recently completed mitigation work has had on reducing the community's risk.
  - Expand on and describe how the mitigation strategy has helped to meet community's overhaul goals. Are the community's mitigation goals still valid?
  - Expand and describe status of the community's existing mitigation related capabilities and how the community can improve upon them.
  - In the next update, include a discussion on an approach to evaluating future climate conditions and its impacts on the community taking the following into account (i.e., expanding mitigation

and making connection to equity, socio-economic, environmental, demographic, change in built environment etc.).

- Describe general land use changes in neighboring jurisdictions that may affect the community's risk.
- Consider including a discussion on how mitigation activities have increased the community's resilience and support other long-term community planning goals

## B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Refer to the [Massachusetts Integrated State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Action Plan](#), [Resilient MA Climate Clearinghouse](#), and State's [Climate Action Page](#) to learn about hazards relevant to Massachusetts and the State's efforts and action plan.

### Technical Assistance:

#### FEMA

- [FEMA Climate Change](#): Provides resources that address climate change.
- [FEMA Library](#): FEMA publications can be downloaded from the library website. These resources may be especially useful in public information and outreach programs. Topics include building and construction techniques, NFIP policies, and integrating historic preservation and cultural resource protection with mitigation.
- [FEMA RiskMAP](#): Technical assistance is available through RiskMAP to assist communities in identifying, selecting, and implementing activities to support mitigation planning and risk reduction. Attend RiskMAP discovery meetings that may be scheduled in the state, especially any in neighboring communities with shared watersheds boundaries.

#### Other Federal

- [EPA Resilience and Adaptation in New England \(RAINE\)](#): A collection of vulnerability, resilience and adaptation reports, plans, and webpages at the state, regional, and community levels. Communities can use the RAINE database to learn from nearby communities about building resiliency and adapting to climate change.
- [EPA Soak Up the Rain](#): Soak Up the Rain is a public outreach campaign focused on stormwater quality and flooding. The website contains helpful resources for public outreach and easy implementation projects for individuals and communities.
- [NOAA C-CAP Land Cover Atlas](#): This interactive mapping tool allows communities to see their land uses, how they have changed over time, and what impact those changes may be having on resilience.
- [NOAA Sea Grant](#): Sea Grant's mission is to provide integrated research, communication, education, extension and legal programs to coastal communities that lead to the responsible use of the nation's ocean, coastal and Great Lakes resources through informed personal, policy and management decisions. Examples of the resources available help communities plan, adapt, and recovery are the Community Resilience Map of Projects and the National Sea Grant Resilience Toolkit
- [NOAA Sea Level Rise Viewer](#) and [Union for Concerned Scientists Inundation Mapper](#): These interactive mapping tools help coastal communities understand how their hazard risks may be changing. The "Preparing for Impacts" section of the inundation mapper addresses policy responses to protect communities.
- [NOAA U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit](#): This resource provides scientific tools, information, and expertise to help manage climate-related risks and improve resilience to extreme events. The "[Steps to Resilience](#)" tool may be especially helpful in mitigation planning and implementation.

#### State

- [Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency](#): The Massachusetts State Hazard Mitigation Officer (SHMO) and State Mitigation Planner(s) can provide guidance regarding grants, technical assistance, available publications, and training opportunities.

- Massachusetts Departments of [Conservation and Recreation](#) and [Environmental Protection](#) can provide technical assistance and resources to communities seeking to implement their hazard mitigation plans.
- <https://www.mass.gov/guides/floodplain-management> Massachusetts 2020 Model Floodplain Bylaws. <https://msc.fema.gov/portal>
- [MA Mapping Portal](#): Interactive mapping tool with downloadable data



#### **Not for Profit**


- [Kresge Foundation Online Library](#): Reports and documents on increasing urban resilience, among other topics.
- [Naturally Resilient Communities](#): A collaboration of organizations put together this guide to nature-based solutions and case studies so that communities can learn which nature-based solutions can work for them.
- [Rockefeller Foundation Resilient Cities](#): Helping cities, organizations, and communities better prepare for, respond to, and transform from disruption.

#### **Funding Sources:**

- [Massachusetts Coastal Resilience Grant Program](#): Funding for coastal communities to address coastal flooding, erosion, and sea level rise.
- [Massachusetts Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness](#) program: Provides support for communities to plan for climate change and resilience and implement priority projects.
- [Massachusetts Water Quality Grants](#): Clean water grants that can be used for river restoration or other kinds of hazard mitigation implementation projects.
- [Grants.gov](#): Lists of grant opportunities from federal agencies (HUD, DOT/FHWA, EPA, etc.) to support rural development, sustainable communities and smart growth, climate change and adaptation, historic preservation, risk analyses, wildfire mitigation, conservation, Federal Highways pilot projects, etc.
- [FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance](#) (HMA): FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Assistance provides funding for projects under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM), and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA). States, federally recognized tribes, local governments, and some not-for-profit organizations are eligible applicants.
- [GrantWatch](#): The website posts current foundation, local, state, and federal grants on one website, making it easy to consider a variety of sources for grants, guidance, and partnerships. Grants listed include The Partnership for Resilient Communities, the Institute for Sustainable Communities, the Rockefeller Foundation Resilience, The Nature Conservancy, The Kresge Climate-Resilient Initiative, the Threshold Foundation's Thriving Resilient Communities funding, the RAND Corporation, and ICLEI Local Governments for Sustainability.
- USDA [Natural Resource Conservation Service](#) (NRCS) and [Rural Development Grants](#): NRCS provides conservation technical assistance, financial assistance, and conservation innovation grants. USDA Rural Development operates over fifty financial assistance programs for a variety of rural applications.

**APPENDIX C: Lake Wyola and McAvoy Pond Inundation Mapping**





**Inundation Summary**  
**Plan**  
**McAvoy Pond Dam**  
**Wendell, MA**

**Dam Failure**  
**Time to failure - 0.5 Hours**  
**Flood flow - 5620 cfs**  
**Water level at dam crest**

**McAvoy Pond Dam**

**Lake Wyola - TERMINATION OF MAPPING**  
**Distance from dam - 3400 Ft**  
**Time from dam failure - 0.3 Hour**  
**Flood flow - Less than 100 cfs**  
**Flow depth - Less than 1.0 Ft**

**Lakeview Road**  
**Distance from dam - 2600 Ft.**  
**Time from dam failure - 0.5 Hours**  
**Flood flow - 500 cfs**  
**Depth of flow - Less than 2.0 Ft**

